

# San Francisco Public Utilities Commission

# Wholesale Customer Water Conservation Potential Technical Report

# Prepared by:

URS Corporation—Oakland, California Maddaus Water Management—Alamo, California Jordan Jones & Goulding—Norcross, Georgia

# December 2004



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section	Executive Summary				
Section 1	Introduction				
	1.1 Purpose of Study				
	1.1	Overview of SEPLIC and Wholesale Customers	11		
	1.2	Approach to Conservation Evaluation	1-3		
Section 2	Selectio	on of Conservation Measures	2-1		
	2.1	Introduction			
	2.2	Initial Conservation Measures Considered			
		2.2.1 Wholesale Customers Current Conservation Practices			
		2.2.2 Initial List of Conservation Measures			
	2.3	Measure Screening Process			
	2.4	Measures Selected for Further Evaluation	2-9		
Section 3	Evaluat	ion of Conservation Measures	3-1		
	3.1	Introduction			
	3.2	Wholesale Customer Service Area Data	3-1		
	3.3	Conservation Measure Assumptions	3-1		
		3.3.1 Targeted Water Use	3-2		
		3.3.2 Market Penetration Goal	3-2		
		3.3.3 Conservation Measure Water Savings	3-6		
		3.3.4 Conservation Measure Length: Length of Time the			
		Measure Is Implemented	3-6		
		3.3.5 Conservation Measure Life: Length of Time Water Savings			
		Last	3-6		
		3.3.6 Costs of Conservation Measures	3-7		
		3.3.7 Water Savings Decay	3-8		
	3.4	DSS Model Conservation Evaluation	3-9		
		3.4.1 DSS Model Overview	3-9		
		3.4.2 Structure of DSS Model Conservation Evaluation	3-10		
		3.4.3 Analyzing Benefits and Costs	3-17		
Section 4	Wholes	ale Customer Conservation Program Development	4-1		
	4.1	Introduction	4-1		
	4.2	Development of Potential Wholesale Customer Conservation			
		Programs	4-1		
		4.2.1 Definition of Conservation Programs	4-2		
		4.2.2 Selection of Measures for Program A	4-3		
		4.2.3 Selection of Measures for Programs B and C	4-3		

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

Section 5	SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area Conservation Evaluation Results5-1				
	5.1	Introduction	5-1		
	5.2	Benefit-Cost Analysis of Wholesale Customer Programs			
	5.3	Conservation Evaluation Results			
	5.4	Concurrence Process	5-5		
		5.4.1 Workshops and One-On-One Meetings	5-5		
Section 6	Refer	rences	6-1		

Tables		Page
Table ES-1	Program-Specific Conservation Evaluation Results for SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area	ES-3
Table 1-1	SFPUC Wholesale Customers	1-2
Table 2-1	Initial List of Conservation Measures	2-5
Table 2-2	Description of Conservation Measures Selected for Further Evaluation	2-10
Table 3-1	Data Collected to Establish Market Potential for Conservation Measures	3-3
Table 3-2	Conservation Measure Variables	3-11
Table 3-3	Summary of SFPUC Conservation Evaluation Results by Measure (example wholesale customer)	3-18
Table 3-4	SFPUC Cost of Water Schedule 2003–2015	3-21
Table 5-1	Conservation Savings as a Percentage of Total New Demand by SFPUC Wholesale Customer	5-2
Table 5-2	Program Specific Conservation Evaluation Results by SFPUC Wholesale Customer	5-3
Table 5-3	Program-Specific Conservation Evaluation Results for SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area	5-4
Table 5-4	Conservation Savings as a Percentage of Total New Demand for SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area	5-4
Table A-1	Current Conservation BMPs Being Implemented by SFPUC Wholesale Customers FY 2004/2005	A-8
Table B-1	Scoring Guidelines Used to Rate Measures	B-2
Table B-2	Results of Screening Potential Conservation Measures for SFPUC Wholesale Customers	B-3
Figures		Page
Figure 1-1	SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area	1-5
Figure 4-1	Present Value of Utility Costs versus Water Saved (30-Year Analysis) (example customer)	4-2
Appendices		
Appendix A	Wholesale Customer Service Area Descriptions and Their Current Conservation Programs	Water

- Appendix B Description of Potential Water Conservation Measures Screened for SFPUC Wholesale Area
- Appendix C Additional Assumptions for Evaluation of DSS Conservation Measures

Appendix D	<ul> <li>SFPUC Wholesale Customer Conservation Information</li> <li><i>Each Sub-appendix contains the following tables</i>:</li> <li>-Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation</li> <li>-Summary of Current Conservation Programs</li> <li>-Summary of Conservation Measures Selected in DSS Conservation Programs</li> </ul>				
	-Present Value of Utility Costs versus Water Saved				
	Sub-appendix Number	Wholesale Customer			
	D1	Alameda County Water District			
	D2	Brisbane, City of			
	D3	Burlingame, City of			
	D4	California Water Service Company- Bear Gulch District			
	D5	California Water Service Company- Mid Peninsula District			
	D6	California Water Service Company- South San Francisco District			
	D7	Coastside County Water District			
	D8	Daly City, City of			
	D9	East Palo Alto, City of			
	D10	Estero Municipal Improvement District/Foster City			
	D11	Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District			
	D12	Hayward, City of			
	D13	Hillsborough, Town of			
	D14	Los Trancos County Water District			
	D15	Menlo Park, City of			
	D16	Mid-Peninsula Water District			
	D17	Millbrae, City of			
	D18	Milpitas, City of			
	D19	Mountain View, City of			
	D20	North Coast County Water District			
	D21	Palo Alto, City of			
	D22	Purissima Hills Water District			
	D23	Redwood City, City of			
	D24	San Bruno, City of			
	D25	San Jose, City of			
	D26	Santa Clara, City of			
	D27	Skyline County Water District			
	D28	Stanford University			
	D29	Sunnyvale, City of			
	D30	Westborough Water District			

6/3	Dual Flush
ACWD	Alameda County Water District
AWWARF	American Water Works Association Research Foundation
BAWSCA	Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency
BAWUA	Bay Area Water Users Association
BMP	Best Management Practice
CII	Commercial, Industrial, Institutional
CIP	Capital Improvement Project
CUWCC	California Urban Water Conservation Council
CWS	California Water Service (Company)
DSS	Demand Side Management Least-Cost Planning Decision Support System (model)
ET	Evapotranspiration
gpd	gallon(s) per day
gpm	gallon(s) per minute
MGD	million gallons per day
MID	Municipal Improvement District
MOU	Memorandum of Understanding
PUB	Public
RSF	Single-Family Residential
RMF	Multi-Family Residential
SFPUC	San Francisco Public Utilities Commission
UFW	Unaccounted-for-Water
US EPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency
UWMP	Urban Water Management Plan
WD	Water District
WMP	Water Master Plan

<b>"30-year" annual average water savings</b>	"30-year" annual average water savings represents the water savings for implementing a conservation measure averaged over the 30-year analysis period.
2001 DSS base year water demand	Estimated 2001 DSS base-year water demand developed during the SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections Study.
2030 demand increase (new demand) from 2001	The difference between water demand in 2001 and 2030. Calculated by subtracting the 2001 demand from the 2030 demand.
2030 DSS projected water demand	Projected DSS water demand for the year 2030 developed during the SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections Study.
2030 outdoor water savings due to conservation programs	The amount of outdoor water savings in the year 2030 achieved due to the implementation of a conservation program.
2030 water savings due to conservation programs	The amount of water saved in the year 2030 due to the implementation of a conservation program.
Account	Used by water suppliers to bill for water use measured by a water meter for retail customers; one account per meter.
Average gal/day/acct	The amount of water in gallons that is used per day per account and averaged over a period of time (year, month, etc.).
Base year	The starting year for the water demand analysis; the year used to establish initial conditions. The base year for this study is 2001.
Census 2000	Data provided by the United States Census Bureau. Census 2000 data (U.S. Census Bureau 2002) were used as a resource to obtain population, household sizes, dwelling units by building type, and age of structures for each individual city and unincorporated areas serviced by the water agencies (wholesale customers).
Consumption by customer class	Annual amount of water used and billed by each customer class or category (Single-Family Residential, Multi-Family Residential, Commercial, Industrial, etc.)
Cost of water saved	Cost of water saved is calculated by taking the present value of the water utility costs and dividing by the cumulative amount of water saved over the 30-year analysis period. We express it as \$/MG or \$/AF.
Cost-effective	For purposes of this study, the definition of cost-effective is being less expensive than the water in 2015. For water purchased from SFPUC, that cost is \$1076/AF.

Customer class	Customer-billing category specific to the types of retail customer (Single-Family Residential, Multi-Family Residential, Commercial, Industrial, etc.)
Customer unit cost	Customer costs represent the customer's share of the cost to implement the measure. For example, if the rebate on a clothes washer only covers one-third of the cost difference to purchase an efficient model that is eligible for the rebate, then the customer's cost is the difference required for the purchase and installation.
Customer-billing category	A designation used by water agencies to categorize groups of water users in a billing system. Common customer-billing categories include Single-Family Residential, Multi-Family Residential, Commercial, and Industrial.
DSS model	Demand Side Management Least-Cost Planning Decision Support System (DSS) model; an end-use model used to develop water demand projections for this study. The end-use model approach uses growth in number of accounts and a complete breakdown of water uses by customer-billing category ("end uses") to forecast water demands.
End use	The ultimate use of the water; can be a fixture, appliance, or other category of water use within an account.
Evapotranspiration	Loss of water from soil both by evaporation and by transpiration from the plants growing thereon.
First five years utility cost	First five years utility cost is the cost (sum of the actual costs) to the utility of implementing the conservation measure during the first five years of the measure.
Fixture	Any plumbing device in homes or businesses using water such as toilets, showers, or faucets.
Implementable	For purposes of this study, an implementable conservation measure is a measure that an individual wholesale customer believes can be funded and implemented with success in its service area. An implementable program is a program that consists of a number of measures that can be run concurrently by the individual wholesale customer, can be financed concurrently by an individual wholesale customer, and can be implemented successfully in the customer's service area.
Indoor water use	The amount of water used indoors in an account for uses such as toilets, laundry, showers, faucets, dishwashers, etc.

Market penetration goal	The market penetration goal for a measure is the extent to which		
	the product or service related to the conservation measure occupies		
	the potential market. This is also sometimes referred to as the		
	installation rate goal. The market penetration goal is often		
	expressed in terms of the number of fixtures, rebates, surveys, etc.,		
	offered or conducted per year.		

Measure life The measure life is how long the water savings from implementing a measure can be expected to last. Measure life is expressed in terms of years. In general there are two categories of measure life (1) those measures that have a "permanent life" and (2) those measures that have a "finite life." Measures with a permanent life include those measures whose water savings essentially last forever. Measures with a finite life experience water savings that decay or are reduced over time.

**Measure water savings** Water savings for each conservation measure are considered in terms of end-use water reductions. To determine how much water is saved from implementing each conservation measure, water reductions are applied to the specific end use targeted by the conservation measure and are expressed as a percent reduction in water use per end use.

**Multi-Family Residential** Residential customer class including more than one dwelling unit on a single meter, such as condominiums or apartment buildings.

**Net utility benefit** Net utility benefit is the present value of the utility benefits less the present value of the utility costs. Measures with benefit-cost ratios less than 1.0 have a negative net utility benefit.

**Outdoor water use** The amount of water used outdoors in an account for uses such as irrigation and car washing.

Per-capita use Water use per person.

Present value of water-<br/>utility costsThe present value of the total utility cost of implementing a<br/>measure over the 30-year analysis period

**Program length** The measure length is the amount of time the measure must be implemented in order to achieve the market penetration goal. Measure length is expressed in terms of years. Some measures are intended to run indefinitely to reach the market penetration or maintain the water savings associated with the market penetration goal.

**Reasonable** For the purposes of this study, a reasonable range of conservation potential represents the range of water savings that seems achievable based on service area water use characteristics, retail customer behavioral patterns, budgetary consideration, and ease of implementation within the individual wholesale customer service area.

Recycled water	Treated water available for nonpotable reuse.
Single-Family Residential	Residential customer class including single-family dwelling units.
Target water user group	Targeted water user groups could include Single-Family Residential (RSF); Multi-Family Residential (RMF); Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional (CII); and public (PUB). Measures may apply to more than one water user group.
Total potential 2030 water savings	The potential water savings in the year 2030 due to the plumbing code and implementing conservation programs.
Total utility-customer benefit-cost ratio	Total utility-customer benefit-cost ratio is calculated by taking the present value of the water saved plus reduced retail customer energy costs (present value of utility water benefits and customer energy benefits <i>based on water's projected value in the year 2015</i> ) divided by the present value of the total utility and retail customer costs of implementing a measure over its life
Unaccounted-for-water (UFW)	The mathematical difference between amount of water produced in a system and water billed to customers (water consumed). This water is often referred to as "lost" water and includes water delivery system leaks and water not billed or tracked in the system (i.e., water used for flushing water system pipelines, fire fighting).
Utility administration and marketing costs	Utility costs also include an administrative cost that covers the cost to the utility of the staff administering the measure. The administrative cost often includes consultant contract administration, marketing and participant tracking. The administrative cost is expressed as a percentage of the cost of the utility unit cost (rebate, incentive, or consultant cost per participant) to implement the measure.
Utility unit cost	Utility unit costs include the costs of rebates and incentives and contractors hired to implement measures. Utility unit costs exclude administrative costs.
Water consumed	Water billed to retail customers in a wholesale customer service area.
Water demand projections	Estimates of water demands for the future based on applying a projection (or growth forecast) to an established base-year value.
Water produced	Water produced is the total of water consumed plus UFW. This includes water purchased from others (such as SFPUC), groundwater, or other sources.
Water purchased	Same as water produced for agencies with a single source of water, such as those who buy all their water from SFPUC.
Water savings as a percentage of total new demand	The water savings due to conservation programs taken as a percentage of the 2030 total new demand (demand increase from 2001 to 2030).

Water savings decay	Water savings decay is the reversal of the water use reductions achieved through implementing a conservation measure. Water savings decay occurs in two ways: (1) as a result of an end user's behavioral change and (2) as a result of a fixture's loss of water use efficiency.
Water utility benefit-cost ratio	Water utility benefit-cost ratio is calculated by taking the present value of the water saved (present value of the benefits <i>based on water's projected value in the year 2015</i> ) divided by the present value of the total utility cost of implementing a measure over the 30-year analysis period.
Wholesale customer	Water agency purchasing water from SFPUC for distribution to retail customers in their service area.

#### INTRODUCTION

In spring 2004, the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC), in conjunction with its 28 wholesale customers, embarked on a comprehensive study to assess the potential for water conservation savings in the SFPUC's wholesale customers' service area. The Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA)<sup>1</sup> had an active role throughout this study in coordinating the efforts of the wholesale customers with the SFPUC and its consultant team to ensure overall project integrity. This report documents the methodology used in the study and the resulting water conservation potential.

This water conservation potential report is a companion document to other technical memoranda and reports that document water demand projections and ranges for potential recycled water in the wholesale and retail service areas, as well as estimates of future SFPUC purchases:

- *SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections* (URS 2004)
- SFPUC Wholesale Customer Recycled Water Potential (RMC 2004)
- *SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Purchase Estimates* (SFPUC 2004)
- City and County of San Francisco Retail Water Demands and Conservation Potential (SFPUC 2004)

#### WATER CONSERVATION EVALUATION METHODOLOGY

The SFPUC employed the Demand Side Management Least-Cost Planning Decision Support System (DSS) model in determining water conservation potential in the wholesale customer service area. The DSS model is an "end-use model" that was also used to develop water demand projections to year 2030 for each wholesale customer. For the conservation potential evaluation, the DSS model was specifically used to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of implementing 32 conservation measures over a 30-year planning period for the 28 wholesale customers.

An initial list of 75 conservation measures was screened using qualitative criteria related to the following:

- Does the product (water-using fixture) work well and is it readily available?
- Would the measure have widespread application in the Bay Area?
- Will the retail customer participate in the measure or use the product and is it fair how the measure is applied throughout the service area among the different customer types?
- Among similar measures that accomplish the same thing, is this measure the best way to save water?

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) was created to represent the interests of 26 cities and water districts, and two private utilities, in Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo counties that purchase water on a wholesale basis from the San Francisco regional water system. BAWSCA is the only entity having the authority to directly represent the needs of the cities, water districts and private utilities (wholesale customers) that depend on the regional water system (BAWSCA website).

Thirty-two measures passed the initial screening. The market potential, costs, and benefits<sup>2</sup> were identified for these 32 conservation measures. A benefit-cost analysis was conducted to evaluate the cost-effectiveness<sup>3</sup> of each individual conservation measure for each wholesale customer. Using the results of a benefit-cost analysis for each conservation measure, and considering other factors such as service area water use characteristics, retail customer behavioral patterns, budgetary consideration, and relative ease of implementation for each conservation measure, three packages of measures were selected by each wholesale customer to develop Programs A, B, and C. In general, Program A represents the conservation measures from the list of 32 measures currently being implemented by the wholesale customers. Program B includes the Program A measures plus the additional measures determined to be most implementable<sup>4</sup> by the wholesale customers. Program K and B plus the additional measures that represent the full extent of measures that appear to be implementable, and cost-effective. Together, the three programs represent a reasonable<sup>5</sup> range of conservation potential for long-range planning purposes. A benefit-cost analysis was conducted for each of the three conservation programs to indicate program cost-effectiveness.

#### WATER CONSERVATION POTENTIAL

Table ES-1 presents the results of the cost-effectiveness analysis for the wholesale customer service area. Results are provided for the range of conservation potential represented by Programs A, B, and C. The range of conservation potential reflects a potential water savings associated with packages of specific conservation measures chosen by the individual wholesale customers that are considered implementable in their individual service areas. The table also includes an estimate of the savings associated with the effects of the plumbing code changes that result in more water-efficient toilets, showerheads, and clothes washers. Table ES-1 provides the total potential water savings in the year 2030 (including the plumbing code savings) if the programs were implemented by the individual wholesale customers. The results presented in Table ES-1 do not necessarily represent water savings to the SFPUC regional water system because several SFPUC wholesale customers meet water demands through multiple sources of supply.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  For purposes of this study, costs factored into the analysis include the cost to the utility and its retail customers for implementing conservation measures. Benefits evaluated in the study include reduced energy costs to the retail customer from reduction in hot water use and the avoided cost of water to the utility.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> For purposes of this study, the definition of cost-effective is being less expensive than the projected cost of water in 2015. For water purchased from the SFPUC, that cost is \$1076/AF.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> An implementable conservation measure is a measure that an individual wholesale customer believes can be funded and implemented with success in its service area. An implementable program is a program that consists of a number of measures that can be run concurrently by the individual wholesale customer, can be financed concurrently by an individual wholesale customer, and can be implemented successfully in that wholesale customer's service area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> For the purposes of this study, a reasonable range of conservation potential represents a range of water savings that seems achievable based on service area water use characteristics, retail customer behavioral patterns, budgetary consideration, and ease of implementation within the individual wholesale customer service area.

SFPUC Wholesale Customer Area Conservation Program	Water Utility Benefit-Cost Ratio (30-Year Period)	Present Value of Water Utility Costs (\$1,000) (30-Year Period)	2030 Water Savings due to Conservation Programs (MGD)	2030 Outdoor Water Savings due to Conservation Programs (MGD)	Cost of Water Saved (\$/AF) (30-Year Period)	Total Potential 2030 Water Savings (MGD)
(Plumbing Code) <sup>1</sup>	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	25.4
Program A	1.95	\$62,601	7.65	3.52	\$280	33.1 <sup>2</sup>
Program B	2.35	\$93,385	14.53	7.77	\$235	$40.0^{3}$
		<b>0115</b> 066	10.50	10.56	¢226	45.04
Program C	2.50	\$117,866	19.59	10.56	\$220	45.0

Table ES-1 **Program-Specific Conservation Evaluation Results for** SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area

<sup>1</sup>Plumbing code savings represent water use savings associated with the natural replacement of plumbing fixtures with waterefficient models (i.e., toilets, showerheads, or washing machines). <sup>2</sup>Includes plumbing code savings and Program A savings.

<sup>3</sup>Includes plumbing code savings and Programs A and B savings. <sup>4</sup>Includes plumbing code savings and Programs A, B, and C savings.

#### 1.1 PURPOSE OF STUDY

This report documents the methodology and results of a water conservation potential study conducted under the direction of the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) in conjunction with its 28 wholesale customers. The results of this study include the identification of three potential conservation programs for each wholesale customer that represent a reasonable range of conservation potential. The wholesale customers concurred in writing that they reviewed the estimated water savings resulting from the conservation analysis and, to the best of their knowledge, considered the water savings estimate to reflect a reasonable<sup>6</sup> range of potential water conservation savings for long-range planning purposes.

The Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA)<sup>7</sup> had an active role throughout this project in coordinating the efforts of the wholesale customers with the SFPUC and its consultant team to ensure overall project integrity. The study used an end-use demand model called the Demand Side Management Least-Cost Planning Decision Support System (DSS) model (Maddaus 2003) to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of 32 water conservation measures for each of the SFPUC's wholesale customers. The DSS model was also used to project total water demand to 2030 for the SFPUC wholesale customer service area as documented in a technical report *SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections* (URS 2004). The demand projections study established base-year (2001) conditions and wholesale customer service area demographics that were used as a baseline for this study. The DSS model prepared for each individual wholesale customer for the demand projections study was used to evaluate water conservation potential as described in this technical report.

Retail and wholesale demand and conservation studies were conducted in an effort to comprehensively assess future demand on the SFPUC regional water system. The SFPUC is currently implementing a capital improvement program (CIP) to improve the reliability of the SFPUC system and reduce its risk of failure. The CIP includes several projects to repair and replace existing transmission and storage facilities in the regional water system. These facilities are critical to providing a reliable water supply to the SFPUC's retail and wholesale customer service areas. Understanding the future demands on the regional water system is an important aspect of improving the system's reliability.

This water conservation potential report is a companion document to other technical memoranda and reports that document water demand projections and ranges for potential recycled water in the wholesale and retail service areas as well as estimates of future SFPUC purchases:

• SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections (URS 2004)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For the purposes of this study, a reasonable range of conservation potential represents a range of water savings that seems achievable based on service area water use characteristics, retail customer behavioral patterns, budgetary consideration, and ease of implementation within the individual wholesale customer service area.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> The Bay Area Water Supply and Conservation Agency (BAWSCA) was created to represent the interests of 26 cities and water districts, and two private utilities, in Alameda, Santa Clara and San Mateo counties that purchase water on a wholesale basis from the San Francisco regional water system. BAWSCA is the only entity having the authority to directly represent the needs of the cities, water districts and private utilities (wholesale customers) that depend on the regional water system (BAWSCA website).

# **Section**ONE

- SFPUC Wholesale Customer Recycled Water Potential (RMC 2004)
- SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Purchase Estimates (SFPUC 2004)
- City and County of San Francisco Retail Water Demands and Conservation Potential (SFPUC 2004)

#### 1.2 OVERVIEW OF SFPUC AND WHOLESALE CUSTOMERS

The SFPUC is a department of the City and County of San Francisco (City) that provides water, wastewater services, and municipal power to the City. Under contractual agreements, 28 wholesale water agencies in Alameda, San Mateo, and Santa Clara Counties also purchase water supplies from the SFPUC. The 28 wholesale customers comprise BAWSCA.<sup>8</sup> Table 1-1 lists the 28 wholesale customers that purchase water from San Francisco. About 32 percent of the SFPUC's water supply is served to retail customers in the City and County of San Francisco; the remaining 68 percent is served to wholesale customers and large retail customers outside the city.<sup>9</sup> In all, nearly 2.4 million people rely entirely or in part on water supplied by the SFPUC regional water system to meet their daily water demands.

Alameda County				
Alameda County Water District	City of Hayward			
San Mat	eo County			
City of Brisbane	Town of Hillsborough			
City of Burlingame	Los Trancos County Water District			
Cal Water Service Company - Bear Gulch District	City of Menlo Park			
Cal Water Service Company - Mid Peninsula District	Mid-Peninsula Water District			
Cal Water Service Company - South San Francisco				
District	City of Millbrae			
Coastside County Water District	North Coast County Water District			
City of Daly City	City of Redwood City			
City of East Palo Alto	City of San Bruno			
Estero Municipal Improvement District	Skyline County Water District			
Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District	Westborough Water District			
Santa Clara County				
City of Milpitas	City of San Jose (portion of north San Jose)			
City of Mountain View	City of Santa Clara			
City of Palo Alto	Stanford University			
Purissima Hills Water District	City of Sunnyvale			

Table 1-1
SFPUC Wholesale Customers

Source: SFPUC

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>For modeling purposes, this study refers to 30 SFPUC Wholesale Customers; one customer, California Water Service Company, was evaluated as three districts. One additional SFPUC wholesale customer, Cordilleras Mutual Water Users Association, did not participate in this study because they are a finite group (18 single-family homes) with minimal usage (4600 gallons/day).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The larger retail customers receive water from direct connections to SFPUC's regional transmission mains and are the end users of the water located outside the geographical boundaries of the City, such as the San Francisco County Jail, San Francisco International Airport, and Lawrence Livermore Laboratories.

In 2001-2002, the SFPUC wholesale customers collectively purchased two-thirds of their water supply needs from the SFPUC regional water system, approximately 170 million gallons per day (BAWUA 2002). Their remaining demands were met through local surface water, groundwater, recycled water, water conservation, and other supply sources such as the State Water Project and supplies delivered from the Santa Clara Valley Water District. Because several SFPUC wholesale customers meet demand through multiple sources of supply, the results of this study do not necessarily represent water savings to the SFPUC regional water system.

Figure 1-1 illustrates the location of the wholesale customers. In general, the wholesale customers are located throughout the Bay Area's different microclimates, with some serving cool coastal areas and others in warmer inland areas. The wholesale customer service areas vary dramatically in size and character. For example, Los Trancos County Water District encompasses 4.5 square miles and serves approximately 270 Residential accounts whereas the Alameda County Water District encompasses approximately 103 square miles serving 77,000 residential, Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional accounts. Appendix A provides a brief description of each wholesale customer that purchases water from SFPUC.

### 1.3 APPROACH TO CONSERVATION EVALUATION

The approach used in this study to develop and quantify potential water conservation savings in the SFPUC wholesale customer service area involved the following series of steps:

- 1. The first step involved identifying a suite of conservation measures to be evaluated for costeffectiveness, implementability, and potential for water savings in the wholesale customer service area.
- 2. The second step involved gathering data for each conservation measure to be evaluated in the study, including the applicable market (i.e., the retail customers who would be targeted) for the measure, the cost of the measure, and other implementation factors.
- 3. The third step involved using the DSS model to forecast costs and benefits to the utility and the retail customer from implementing each conservation measure. In this step, the DSS model was used to calculate the cost-effectiveness of each conservation measure.
- 4. The fourth step involved working with each individual wholesale customer to develop three packages of conservation measures. Specifically, each wholesale customer used the cost-effectiveness evaluation from the third step and, after considering other factors such as service area water use characteristics, retail customer behavioral patterns, budgetary consideration, and relative ease of implementation for each conservation measure, chose the appropriate conservation measures for their service area, and developed them into three packages of measures that build upon each other and that represent a range of conservation potential.

These steps are described in detail in the following four main sections of the Report:

- Selection of Conservation Measures (Section 2)
- Evaluation of Conservation Measures (Section 3)
- Wholesale Customer Conservation Program Development (Section 4)
- SFPUC Wholesale Customer Area Conservation Evaluation Results (Section 5)

Section 2, Selection of Conservation Measures, describes the screening process for choosing the conservation measures to be evaluated for their cost-effectiveness in achieving water conservation savings in each individual wholesale customer service area.

Section 3, **Evaluation of Conservation Measures**, describes the data collection and evaluation process used to determine cost-effectiveness and savings potential of each conservation measure for the individual wholesale customer service areas. The section identifies the evaluation variables used and describes how the DSS model was used to evaluate the costs and savings potential for each conservation measure.

Section 4, Wholesale Customer Conservation Program Development, details the process employed to develop a range of three conservation programs for each individual wholesale customer based on cost-effectiveness and other factors such as service area water use characteristics, retail customer behavioral patterns, budgetary consideration, and relative ease of implementation for conservation measures in their service area.

Section 5, **SFPUC Wholesale Customer Area Conservation Evaluation Results**, presents the potential water conservation savings and costs for the range of conservation programs developed by the individual wholesale customers.

# **SECTIONONE**



Map courtesy of BAWSCA website

CWS - California Water Service (Company) MID - Municipal Improvement District

Figure 1-1 SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area

#### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

This section describes the process used to arrive at the suite of 32 conservation measures that were evaluated for cost-effectiveness and potential water savings for this study. The process involved the following three steps:

- 1. A large menu of 75 potential water conservation measures that appeared relatively appropriate for the region were screened down to 31 measures that met specific criteria related to implementability in the region.
- 2. The 31 measures were then collapsed to 22 measures to avoid duplication and to take advantage of economies of scale possible by combining related measures.
- 3. Ten additional Best Management Practices (BMPs) for water conservation widely implemented in the wholesale service area and throughout California were added to create the "short list" of 32 conservation measures evaluated in this study.

The following sections describe these steps in detail.

#### 2.2 INITIAL CONSERVATION MEASURES CONSIDERED

An initial comprehensive list of 75 potential water conservation measures was compiled for screening. The list of 75 measures was developed by reviewing (1) wholesale customers current conservation practices and (2) water conservation measures that other water agencies across the country and in other countries have considered or are currently implementing. No water agency considered in the review is currently implementing all 75 measures. It was important that the initial list of 75 conservation measures consist of measures that went beyond what the wholesale customers were currently implementing. However, it was assumed that the short list of measures to be evaluated in this study would be a combination of current conservation activities and measures screened from the list of 75 measures.

The following sections briefly describes the measures currently being implemented by the wholesale customers and the initial list of 75 conservation measures.

#### 2.2.1 Wholesale Customers Current Conservation Practices

The SFPUC wholesale customers have been implementing water conservation practices since the mid-1970s. Table A-1 in Appendix A provides a list of conservation measures currently being implemented by each wholesale customer. Thirteen<sup>10</sup> of the 28 wholesale customers are signatories to a *Memorandum of Understanding Regarding Urban Water Conservation in California* (MOU) developed among urban water agencies in 1991 to encourage water conservation practices. Collectively, these 13 agencies represent over 70 percent of the current

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> In addition to these 13 wholesale customers, eight wholesale customers (Cities of Milpitas, Mountain View, San Jose, Santa Clara, Palo Alto and Sunnyvale, Purissima Hills Water District, and Stanford University) are located within the Santa Clara Valley Water District (District) service area and participate in the District's conservation program. The District is a signatory to the MOU and implements the 14 BMPs within its entire service area.

SFPUC wholesale deliveries. Those wholesale customers that are signatories to the MOU include:

Alameda County Water District	City of Millbrae
California Water Service Company	City of Mountain View
-Bear Gulch District	North Coast County Water District
-Mid Peninsula District	City of Palo Alto
-South San Francisco District	Purissima Hills Water District
Coastside County Water District	City of Redwood City
City of Hayward	City of San Jose
Mid-Peninsula Water District	Westborough Water District

In conjunction with the MOU, Best Management Practices (BMPs) were established. Signatories to the MOU commit to implementing those BMPs that are cost-effective.<sup>11</sup> The current list of BMPs include:

1.	. Interior and exterior water audits and		7. Public Information		
	multi-family residential customers	8.	School education programs		
2.	Residential plumbing retrofits	9.	Commercial, Industrial and Institutional water conservation		
3.	. System water audits, leak detection and		10		
	repair	10.	Wholesale agency assistance programs <sup>12</sup>		
4.	4. Metering with commodity rates for all new	11.	Conservation pricing		
	connections and retrofit of existing connections	12.	Conservation coordinator		
5.	Large landscape conservation programs	13.	Water waste prohibition		
6.	Horizontal axis washer rebate programs	14.	Residential ULFT rebate program		

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> The SFPUC wholesale customers that are not signatories to the MOU also implement cost-effective water conservation measures appropriate for their service areas. Appendix A provides information on these activities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Wholesale Water Agency Assistance Programs requires wholesale water agencies such as the SFPUC to provide technical and/or financial assistance to its wholesale customers.

Appendix A (Table A-1) provides a list of BMPs being implemented by each wholesale customer. In addition to the BMPs, the wholesale customers are also implementing the following conservation measures:

- Rebates for dual flush /4L toilets
- ET controller rebates
- RMF washing machine rebates
- Incentives for water efficient landscaping and irrigation upgrades
- Restaurant low-flow spray nozzle replacement program
- High-efficiency dishwasher rebate program

#### 2.2.2 Initial List of Conservation Measures

Table 2-1 provides the list of initial conservation measures considered covering the following retail customer categories:

- Single-Family Residential (RSF)
- Multi-Family Residential (RMF)
- Commercial, Industrial, Institutional (CII)
- Water Utility or City/County (PUB)

The list describes each conservation measure, how it would be implemented, and identifies the potential implementing agency (Water Utility or City/County). To summarize, the initial list of 75 measures includes (1) rebate and other incentive programs for installing water saving devices, (2) City/County ordinances requiring the installation of water saving devices, and (3) educational outreach and award programs that promote water use reductions in businesses and landscaping.

### 2.3 MEASURE SCREENING PROCESS

Using the initial list of 75 potential conservation measures, a screening process was undertaken to develop a list of measures considered suitable for the region and to eliminate those measures that are not as well suited to the wholesale customers as other potential measures. It was intended that all measures resulting from the screening process could generally apply to the Bay Area. The 75 conservation measures were scored using qualitative criteria related to the following:

- Does the product (water-using fixture) work well and is it readily available? (Technology/Market Maturity)
- Would the measure have widespread application in the Bay Area? (Service Area Match)
- Will the retail customer participate in the measure or use the product and is it fair how the measure is applied throughout the service area among the different customer types? (Customer Acceptance/Equity)
- Among similar measures that accomplish the same thing, is this measure the best way to save water? (Relative Effectiveness of Measure Available)

The criteria and general scoring parameters are described in more detail as follows. Each measure was evaluated by a team including a representative from the SFPUC, a representative from BAWSCA, a representative from the wholesale customer group, and a member of the consultant team. Each potential measure was scored on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest score and 5 being the highest. A maximum score of 20 was possible for each measure. Measures with low scores were eliminated from further consideration, while those with high scores were included in the short list of conservation measures for further evaluation. The results of how each measure scored in each criterion are shown in Appendix B. Appendix B also provides a more detailed explanation of the scoring process. Qualitative Screening Criteria:

- **Technology/Market Maturity** Refers to whether the technology needed to implement the conservation measure, such as an irrigation control device, is commercially available and supported by the local service industry. A measure was scored low if the technology was not commercially available or high if the technology was widely available in the service area. A device may be screened out if it is not yet commercially available in the region.
- Service Area Match Refers to whether the measure or related technology is appropriate for the area's climate, building stock, or lifestyle. For example, promoting Xeriscape gardens for multi-family or commercial sites may not be appropriate where water use analysis indicates little outdoor irrigation. Thus, a measure scored low in this category if it was not well suited for the area's characteristics and could not save water. A measure scored high in this criterion if it was well suited for the area and could save water.
- Customer Acceptance/Equity Refers to whether retail customers within the wholesale customer service area would be willing to implement and accept the conservation measures. For example, would retail customers attend homeowner irrigation classes and implement lessons learned from these classes. If not, then the water savings associated with this measure would not be achieved and a measure with this characteristic would score low for this criterion. This criterion also refers to retail customer equitability (i.e., one category of retail customers receives benefit while another pays the costs without receiving benefits). Retail customer acceptance may be also based on convenience, economics, perceived fairness, or aesthetics.
- **Relative Effectiveness of Measure Available** Refers to the selection of the most effective measure if alternate conservation measures address the same end use. If the measures are equally effective the most appropriate was selected (e.g., the measure that was easier or less expensive to implement).

Thirty-one measures passed the qualitative screening process. These measures were then combined together to avoid duplication and take advantage of economies of scale. For example, individual single-family, multifamily, and commercial toilet rebate measures were combined into one measure. In addition, some measures that initially did not pass the screening test were combined with a similar measure that did pass the screening test to create an equitable and workable program. For example, the dual-flush toilet rebate program did not pass the screening test for existing homes but did pass for new homes. As a result the dual-flush toilet rebate program included in the short list targeted both new and existing homes, as it was determined that if an agency is going to run a dual-flush toilet rebate program they should offer the program to all residents. This step reduced the number of measures from 31 measures to 22 new measures.

	Table 2-1         Initial List of Conservation Measures		
	Conservation Measure	Implementing Agency (Water Utility or City/County)	Description
			Single-Family Residential – Indoor
	Measures Applying to Existing Accounts		
1.	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	Provide a rebate or voucher for the retrofit of a 6/3 dual flush, 4-liter or equivalent very low purchase cost often in the range of \$50 to \$100 per toilet replaced.
2.	Home leak detection and repair	Water Utility	Use leak detection equipment to determine whether and where leaks are occurring on the p
3.	Increase school education programs	Water Utility	Sponsor school conservation programs with workbooks and presentations; teaching materia importance of conserving water.
	Measures Applying to New Homes		
4.	Require high efficiency clothes washing machines	City/County	City/County would enforce, through building permits, a state law that would be passed that washers. Educate retail customers using bill inserts, displays at points of purchase, the med
5.	Insulate hot water piping	City/County	Change building codes as necessary to require installation of hot water pipe insulation on n
6.	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	Provide coupon or rebate to developers to install these toilets in new homes and to new hor code) with a 6/3 dual flush toilet.
7.	Require 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets in new homes	City/County	City/County would enforce, through building permits, a state law that would be passed to
			Single-Family Residential – Outdoor
	Measures Applying to Existing Homes		
8.	Regulations for rain sensor/shut-offs on automatic systems	Water Utility and City/County	Pass a city ordinance to require rain shut-off devices on new irrigation systems. Provide a rautomatic irrigation systems in new construction. Fine those that do not have a rain shut-o systems, law required.
9.	ET controller rebates	Water Utility	Provide a rebate for ET controllers that have on-site temperature sensors or rely on a signal least weekly (preferably daily) as the weather changes.
10.	Additional Xeriscape demonstration gardens	Water Utility	Donate a portion of public land to create a demonstration garden displaying living example brochures educating visitors on garden features.
11.	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	Water Utility	Sponsor training for staff at stores where plants and irrigation equipment is sold about the l
12.	Homeowner irrigation classes	Water Utility	Provide classes at stores where irrigation equipment is sold or other suitable venues on sele efficient equipment (drip irrigation, smart controllers, low volume sprinklers, etc.).
13.	Trigger shut-off valves and hose timers	Water Utility	Encourage manufacturers to include trigger shut-off valves with hoses, and offer rebates to shut-off valves.
	Measures Applying to New Homes		
14.	ET Controller Rebates	Water Utility	Provide a rebate for ET controllers that have on-site temperature sensors or rely on a signal least weekly (preferably daily) as the weather changes.
15.	New home efficiency rating system	City / County	Using a point system for installed conservation devices, require the new homeowner/contr meter.
16.	Require model homes be landscaped with low water use landscaping	City/County	Enforce a regulation that specifies that at least half of the model homes in a subdivision be Xeriscape would be given to new homebuyers.
17.	New home efficiency award programs	Water Utility	Provide annual award to developers that are "Green Builders" and offer homes for sale that efficient homes.
18.	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	Water Utility	Provide information for planting water-efficient landscaping, including avoiding strip turf

displays at Utility offices and nurseries.

### **Selection of Conservation Measures**

w water use toilet. Rebate amounts would reflect the incremental premises and provide a plumber to repair leaks for free. als and other educational tools to teach the students the t would require new homes to have high efficiency clothes dia, on high efficiency clothes washer technology. new residences. meowners to replace the 6 liter toilet (required by the plumbing require 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets in new homes. rebate incentive for the installation of these devices with off device installed. Building code doesn't cover irrigation from a central weather station that modifies irrigation times at es of low water-using gardens and landscaping include signs and benefits of native (low water use) plants, efficiently irrigated. ection of low water use plants and selection and installation of retail customers that purchase hoses with shut-off valves, or from a central weather station that modifies irrigation times at ractor to meet a certain number of points to receive a water landscaped according to Xeriscape principles. Information on

t meet certain criteria. This could be combined with energy

Provide information for planting water-efficient landscaping, including avoiding strip turf sections that are difficult to water-efficiently and using native plants that do not require supplemental watering. Information would be provided in brochures with the water bill, or mailed or through informational

		Ir	nitial List of Conservation Measures
	Conservation Measure	Implementing Agency (Water Utility or City/County)	Description
19.	Landscape requirements for new homes (turf limitations/regulations)	City/County	Require the use of low-water-using or native plants for landscaping purposes. Proof of com new residential projects. Non-compliers would face a surcharge on their water bill until the
20.	Rebates for rain sensor/shut-offs on automatic systems	Water Utility	Provide a rebate for the installation of rain sensors with automatic irrigation systems in new randomly inspect large summer volume users) those that do not have a rain shut-off device i
21.	Developer financed off-site conservation projects	Water Utility	Require developers of new homes to contribute money to the water conservation program to
			Multi-Family Residential – Indoor
	Measures Applying to Existing Accounts		
22.	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	Water Utility	Offer apartment managers or washing machine leasing companies incentives to retrofit or us
23.	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	Water Utility	Rescind regulations that prohibit sub-metering of multi-family buildings. Encourage sub-m incentives to building owners.
24.	Regulations on sub-metering procedures (to protect tenant)	Water Utility	Develop regulations for metering and billing tenants in an equitable manner.
25.	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	Provide a rebate or voucher for the retrofit of a 6/3 dual flush, 4-liter or equivalent very low purchase cost and would be in the range of \$50 to \$100 per toilet replaced.
	Measures Applying to New Development		
26.	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	Offer a coupon or rebate to developers to install these toilets in new homes or to new homeo code) with a 6/3 dual flush toilet
27.	Require sub-metering multifamily units	City/County	Require all new multi-family units to be sub-metered. To reduce financial impacts on tenan
28.	Rebates for efficient clothes washers (such as horizontal axis)	Water Utility	Provide rebate to new apartment complexes over a certain size that equip common laundry r
29.	Require 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets in new units	City/County	Require building departments to ensure that a 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilet was installed bet
_			Multi-Family Residential – Outdoor
	Measures Applying to Existing Accounts		
30.	ET controller rebates	Water Utility	Use the latest state of the art irrigation controllers. These controllers have on-site temperatu modifies irrigation times at least weekly (preferably daily) as the weather changes. Water U
31.	Add rain sensors to existing irrigation controllers	Water Utility	Water Utility would provide a voucher for a free rain sensor, or rebate to building owners w
	Measures Applying to New Development		
32.	ET controller rebates	Water Utility	Provide a rebate for ET controllers that have on-site temperature sensors or rely on a signal is least weekly (preferably daily) as the weather changes.
33.	Rebates for rain sensor/shut-offs on automatic irrigation systems	Water Utility	Provide rebates for the installation of rain sensors with automatic irrigation systems in new
34.	New home efficiency rating system	City/County	Using a point system for installed conservation devices, require the new homeowner/contra meter.
35.	New home award programs	Water Utility	Provide annual award to developers that are "Green Builders" and offer apartments for rent could be combined with energy efficient homes.
36.	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations/regulations)	Water Utility	Enforce existing requirements on use of low-water-using or native plants for landscaping pu water connection on all new residential projects. Non-compliers would face a surcharge on
37.	Require efficient irrigation system design standards	City/County	Require installation of irrigation systems that are efficient and installed by trained/certified of
38	Developer financed off-site development conservation projects	Water Utility	Require developers of new homes to contribute money to the water conservation program to

Table 2-1 al List of Conservation Measure

# **Selection of Conservation Measures**

pliance would be necessary to obtain a water connection on all y complied.

construction. Inspect and fine Irrigation accounts (or installed.

help generate the water needed to supply their project.

se efficient clothes washers.

etering through water audits, direct mail promotions, and/or

water use toilet. Rebate amounts would reflect the incremental

owners to replace the 6 liter toilet (required by the plumbing

ts specify acceptable methods of metering and billing.

ooms with efficient washing machines.

fore new unit occupancy.

The sensors or rely on a signal from a central weather station that Utility would provide a rebate for the controller.

with automatic irrigation systems.

from a central weather station that modifies irrigation times at

construction.

ctor to meet a certain number of points to receive a water

for condominiums for sale that meet certain criteria. This

rposes. Proof of compliance would be necessary to obtain a their water bill until they complied.

contractors.

help generate the water needed to supply their project.

Table 2-1 Initial List of Conservation Measure

		I	Initial List of Conservation Measures
	<b>Conservation Measure</b>	Implementing Agency (Water Utility or City/County)	Description
-		<u> </u>	Commercial/Industrial/Institutional – Indoor
-	Measures Applying to Existing Accounts		
39.	Rebates for replacing high use commercial urinals with 0.5 gal/flush urinals	Water Utility	Selectively provide rebates to businesses to convert to efficient urinals only where urinals ar etc.
40.	Require 1.6 gal flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale	City/ County	Require a certificate of compliance be submitted to the Water Utility that verifies that a plun either already there or were installed at the time of sale, before close of escrow.
41.	Offer incentives for replacement or lease of clothes washers in coin- operated laundries	Water Utility	Offer laundromat managers or washing machine leasing companies incentives to retrofit or u
42.	Require car washes to recycle water	City/ County	Pass a regulation that required all existing drive-through car washes install equipment to rec
43.	Offer rebates for meters on cooling towers	Water Utility	Offer a rebate to buildings that install sub-meters to measure the make-up and bleed-off wate brochures and a phone contact of a knowledgeable person to provide conservation information
44.	Cooling tower regulations	Water Utility	Prohibit discharge of cooling tower blow down unless the TDS of the water is at least a certa
45.	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	Water Utility	Provide free installation of 1.6 gpm spray nozzles for the rinse and clean operation in restaur 2002-3 CUWCC program.
46.	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	Water Utility	Provide free water audits to hotels and motels. Standardize the types of services offered to r cooling towers, landscaping, and irrigation systems and schedules.
47.	WAVE Program (sponsored by US EPA) for hotels	Water Utility	Provide hotels with information about the US EPA's WAVE program. This program encour water use with the software provided. The software identifies water saving projects and com program also agree to install cost-effective water conserving equipment.
48.	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	Water Utility	Following a free water audit offer participating hotels a rebate for identified water saving. P as air-cooled ice machines for hotels that don't participate in an audit.
49.	Employee education program	Water Utility	Conduct a workshop for high water use account managers explaining the latest water conser could be achieved through implementation.
50.	Award program for water savings by businesses	Water Utility	Sponsor an annual awards program for businesses that significantly reduce water use. They
51.	Capacity buy-back for process improvements	Water Utility	Set-up a low interest loan or grant program to buy back capacity from large users who instal propose a project (possibly as the result of a water audit) and the Water Utility would estima avoided costs for new capacity. Retail customer would receive an upfront payment upon sig
52.	Rebates for X-Ray recycling units	Water Utility	Conduct a brief audit of x-ray machines to identify machines where the process water from t for water-recycling equipment.
53.	Replace inefficient water using equipment	Water Utility	Provide a rebate for a standard list of water efficient equipment including icemakers, efficien irrigation controllers, and certain process equipment.
	Measures Applying to New Accounts		
54.	Require car washes to recycle water	City/County	Pass a regulation that requires all new drive-through car washes to recycle water in order to g
55.	Require efficient (such as horizontal axis) clothes washers	City/County	Require clothes washers in all coin-operated Laundromats and common laundry rooms mee Energy Efficiency, Inc.
56.	Rebates for waterless urinals	Water Utility	Encourage Commercial accounts retrofit existing public restrooms with waterless urinals. Prebates and coupons.
57.	Promotion and/or rebates for laundry recycle systems at commercial laundries	Water Utility	Provide information on recycling water use in laundries, either during an audit or through ed Provide rebates to decrease the payback period.
58.	Self-closing faucets	City/County	Require Non-Residential accounts to install automatic (infrared sensor) or manual self-closin
59.	Require efficient process equipment for selected businesses (restaurants, hotels/motels, office sanitation)	City/County	Require new facilities to install water efficient equipment.

### **Selection of Conservation Measures**

re subject to high use, such as restaurants, theaters, stadiums mber has inspected the property and efficient fixtures where se efficient clothes washers. ycle water by a certain date. er of the facility cooling towers. Provide educational on. ain level (that would ensure 5-10 cycles of concentration). rants and other commercial kitchens that did not participate in reduce costs including bathrooms, kitchens, ice machines, rages hotels to do their own water audit and then analyze their nputes paybacks. Hotels that agree to participate in the Provide a rebate schedule for certain efficient equipment such ving -plumbing fixtures and describing the water savings that would receive a plaque, presented at a lunch with the mayor. water efficient equipment. The retail customer would ate the water savings and calculate a rebate based on their gning a contract to install the equipment. the developer or filter solution could be recycled. Offer rebates nt dishwashers, cooling towers to replace once through cooling,

get a water meter.

t a certain water efficiency level as rated by the Consortium on

Provide educational brochures presented with water bills,

ducational brochures presented with the water bill provides.

ng faucets for all new retail customer or high use restrooms.

		In	uitial List of Conservation Measures
	Conservation Measure	Implementing Agency (Water Utility or City/County)	Description
60.	Prohibit once through cooling and non-recycling fountains, other non efficient water features	City/County	Pass a city ordinance to require rain shut-off devices on new irrigation systems. Provide a r automatic irrigation systems in new construction. Fine those that do not have a rain shut-or systems, law required.
61.	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	City/County	Require that new buildings be fitted with 0.5 gal/flush urinals rather than the current standa
		Ca	ommercial/Industrial/Institutional – Outdoor
	Measures Applying to Existing Accounts		
62.	Rebates for ET irrigation controllers for Irrigation accounts	Water Utility	Provide a rebate for advanced irrigation controllers that have at least a water-budgeting fea sensor. Rebates could be financed by water rates or a surcharge on water bills for irrigation
63.	Add rain sensors to existing irrigation controllers	Water Utility	Provide rebates for the installation of rain sensors with automatic irrigation systems on exist accounts (or randomly inspect large summer volume users) those that do not have a rain sh
64.	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	Water Utility	Link a landscape water budget to a rate schedule that penalizes the account holder for exceedudget.
65.	Financial incentives, rebates for irrigation upgrades	Water Utility	Provide rebates for selected types of irrigation equipment upgrade.
	Measures Applying to New Accounts		
66.	Rebates for rain sensor/shut-offs on automatic systems	Water Utility	Rebates for the installation of rain sensors with automatic irrigation systems in new construinspect large summer volume users) those that do not have a rain shut-off device installed.
67.	Require dedicated irrigation meters	Water Utility	Require that new accounts that plan a substantial amount of irrigated landscape have a dedischedule that recognizes the high peak demand placed on the system by irrigators.
68.	ET controllers rebates	Water Utility	Provide rebate for new sites fitted with state of the art irrigation commercial controllers that
69.	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations/regulations)	Water Utility	Enforce existing requirement for landscaping of new non-residential properties to use only inspect those affected by the ordinance and ensure effective implementation.
70.	Require efficient irrigation system design standards	City/County	Require installation of irrigation systems that are efficient and installed by trained/certified
71.	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	Water Utility	Link a landscape water budget to a rate schedule that penalizes the account holder for exceedudget.
			Water Utility / City – Indoor
72.	Installation of waterless urinals, dual flush toilets	Water Utility	Selectively retrofit public restrooms with state of the art plumbing fixtures such as waterles
73.	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	Water Utility	Provide water use reduction goals for metered City and County accounts and offer assistant
			Water Utility / City – Outdoor
74.	Public swimming pool water audits	Water Utility	Provide water audits of public swimming pools and showers in changing rooms and educat
75.	ET controllers rebates	Water Utility	Provide rebates for existing school play fields, parks, sports fields, golf courses, and other s controllers that automatically adjust for changes in the weather.

Table 2-1 al List of Conservation Measure

# **Selection of Conservation Measures**

rebate incentive for the installation of these devices with ff device installed. Building code doesn't cover irrigation

ard of 1.0-gal/flush models.

ature and multiple start times and a rain sensor/soil moisture n meters.

sting accounts by a certain date. Inspect and fine Irrigation nut-off device installed.

beding its water budget and rewards them for using less than the

uction. Inspect and fine Irrigation accounts (or randomly

icated landscape meter and be charged on a separate rate

at automatically adjust for changes in the weather.

native or water conserving species. Provide personnel to

l contractors.

beding its water budget and rewards them for using less than the

ss urinals and dual flush toilets.

ice in the form of audits and employee education.

te pool operators on proper pool maintenance. such sites fitted with state of the art irrigation commercial

#### 2.4 MEASURES SELECTED FOR FURTHER EVALUATION

The final short list of measures that were evaluated includes 32 measures, based on 10 CUWCC BMPs currently being implemented by wholesale customers and 22 measures from the initial list of 75 measures described in the previous section. Table 2-2 provides a list of the 32 measures with a short description of each measure and the targeted retail customer use. Four CUWUCC BMPs that are currently being implemented by wholesale customers were not included in the final short list for reasons described below. They include: conservation pricing, conservation coordinator, wholesale agency assistance programs, and water waste prohibition.

- **Conservation pricing** was not included because it is difficult to ascertain quantitative water savings associated with instituting conservation pricing. All of the SFPUC wholesale customers employ conservation pricing as defined by the BMP.
- **Conservation coordinator** was not considered because it is also difficult to associate a quantitative water savings with a conservation coordinator. Similarly, several wholesale customers serve a relatively small service area that does not necessarily require a dedicated conservation coordinator to be on staff in order to implement a conservation program.
- Wholesale agency assistance program was not included because this measure is only applicable to wholesale water agencies.
- Water waste prohibition was also not included because it is difficult to quantify water savings generated from having a water waste prohibition.

	<b>Conservation Measure</b>	Measure Description
1.	Residential Water Surveys	Offer indoor and outdoor water surveys to existing Single-Family and Multi-Family residential retail customers with high water use; provide customized report to homeowner.
2.	Residential Retrofit	Provide owners of pre-1992 homes with retrofit kits that contain easy-to-install low flow showerheads, faucet aerators, and toilet tank retrofit devices.
3.	Large Landscape Conservation Audits	Provide free landscape water audits to all public and private irrigators of landscapes larger than one acre with separate Irrigation accounts upon request.
4.	Water Budgets	Provide a monthly irrigation water use budget as information on the water bill for all irrigators of landscapes larger than one acre with separate Irrigation accounts.
5.	Clothes Washer Rebate	Provide a rebate on a new water efficient clothes washer for homeowners.
6.	Public Information Program	Provide public education to raise awareness of conservation measures available to retail customers. Programs could include poster contests, speakers to community groups, radio and television time, and printed educational material such as bill inserts, etc.
7.	Commercial Water Audits	Provide a free water audit to high water use Commercial accounts that evaluates ways for the business to save water and money.
8.	ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	Provide rebates to pre-1994 businesses with high use fixtures for commercial ULF toilets (1.6 gal/flush) and commercial ULF urinals (1.0 gal/flush).
9.	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	Provide a rebate to homeowners to replace an existing high volume toilet with a new water efficient toilet.
10.	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	Work with the real estate industry to require a certificate of compliance be submitted to the water utility verifying that a plumber has inspected the RSF or RMF property and efficient fixtures were either present or installed at the time of sale, before close of escrow.
11.	Home Leak Detection and Repair	Use leak detection equipment to determine whether and where leaks are occurring on the premises and provide a plumber to the retail customer to repair leaks for free.
12.	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	Provide a rebate or voucher for the retrofit of a 6/3 dual flush, 4-liter or equivalent very low water use toilet. Rebate amounts would reflect the incremental purchase cost and would be in the range of \$50 to \$100 per toilet replaced.

 Table 2-2

 Description of Conservation Measures Selected for Further Evaluation

	<b>Conservation Measure</b>	Measure Description
13.	ET Controller Rebates	Provide a rebate for the latest state of the art irrigation controllers with on-site temperature sensors or a signal from a central weather station that modifies irrigation times at least weekly (preferably daily) as the weather changes.
14.	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	Sponsor training for staff of stores where plants and irrigation equipment is sold to educate sales people about the benefits of native (low water use) plants, efficiently irrigated.
15.	Homeowner irrigation classes	Sponsor classes at stores where irrigation equipment is sold or other suitable venues on selection and installation of efficient equipment (drip irrigation, smart controllers, low volume sprinklers, etc.)and proper plant.
16.	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	Provide information for planting water-efficient landscaping, including avoiding strip turf sections that are difficult to water efficiently and using native plants that do not require supplemental watering. Information would be provided in brochures with the water bill, or mailed. Informational displays at Water Utility offices and nurseries could also be provided.
17.	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	Offer incentives to apartment and coin-op laundry managers to retrofit or use efficient clothes washers. The rebate would either go to the manager or the washing machine leasing company.
18.	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	Rescind any regulations that prohibit sub-metering of multi-family buildings and encourage sub-metering through water audits and direct mail promotions, and/or incentives to building owners.
19.	Require sub-metering multifamily units	Require all new multi-family units to provide sub-meters on individual units. To help reduce financial impacts on tenants, regulations would be adopted that specify acceptable methods of metering and billing.
20.	Rebate efficient clothes washers	Provide a rebate to new apartment complexes over a certain size with a common laundry room equipped with efficient washing machines.
21.	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	Enforce existing requirements on use of native or low-water-using plants for landscaping purposes. Proof of compliance would be necessary to obtain a water connection on all new Multi-Family Residential and commercial projects. Non-compliers would face a surcharge on their water bill until they complied.
22.	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	Provide free installation of 1.6 gpm spray nozzles for the rinse and clean operation in restaurants and other commercial kitchens.

Table 2-2	
<b>Description of Conservation Measures Selected for Fu</b>	urther Evaluation

	Conconnation Massura	Moogure Description
	Conservation Measure	Measure Description
23.	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	Provide free water audits to hotels and motels covering bathrooms, kitchens, ice machines, cooling towers, and irrigation system schedules.
24.	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	Provide hotels with information about the US EPA's WAVE program. This program encourages hotels to do their own water audit and then analyze their water use with the software provided. The software identifies water saving projects and computes paybacks. Hotels that agree to participate in the program also agree to install cost-effective water conserving equipment.
25.	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	Following a free water audit offer participating hotels a rebate for identified water saving. Provide a rebate schedule for certain efficient equipment such as air-cooled ice machines for hotels that don't participate in an audit.
26.	Award program for water savings by businesses	Sponsor an annual awards program for businesses that significantly reduce water use. Provide a plaque, presented at a lunch with the mayor.
27.	Replace inefficient water using equipment	Provide a rebate for a standard list of water efficient equipment including icemakers, efficient dishwashers, cooling towers to replace once through cooling, irrigation controllers, and certain process equipment.
28.	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	Require new buildings be fitted with 0.5 gal/flush urinals.
29.	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	Link a landscape water budget to a rate schedule that penalizes the account holder for exceeding its water budget and rewards them for using less than the budget.
30.	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	Provide rebates for selected types of irrigation equipment upgrade.
31.	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	Require new accounts with a substantial amount of irrigated landscape have dedicated landscape meters and are charged on a separate rate schedule that recognizes the high peak demand placed on the system by irrigators.
32.	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	Provide water use reduction goals for metered City and County accounts and offer audits and employee education.

Table 2-2
<b>Description of Conservation Measures Selected for Further Evaluation</b>

#### 3.1 INTRODUCTION

This section describes the evaluation process conducted to determine the cost-effectiveness of the 32 conservation measures selected for further evaluation. Cost-effectiveness was not the only evaluation factor used by the wholesale customers in determining a reasonable range of conservation potential. The additional factors included in the evaluation were employed on an individual basis and included factors such as ability to implement conservation measures based on service area water use characteristics, behavioral patterns of the retail customers, budgetary consideration, and ease of implementation. This section reviews the assumptions and wholesale service area data used in evaluating the 32 conservation measures how the additional factors considered in the evaluation, identified above, were considered by the individual wholesale customers.

### 3.2 WHOLESALE CUSTOMER SERVICE AREA DATA

To evaluate each conservation measure in the individual wholesale customer service areas, it was necessary to determine the potential "market" within which the conservation measure could be implemented. The applicable markets for each of 32 conservation measures include factors such as number and types of toilets, number of large landscape areas in Residential and Non-Residential accounts (i.e., parks, school grounds and athletic fields, golf courses, and cemeteries), number of hotels/motels, etc. in each wholesale customer's service area. Table 3-1 provides a list of wholesale customers' service area data collected to determine the market for each conservation measure. In addition to the data collected, assumptions were also made regarding water use for particular categories of use within the wholesale customers' service area such as the amount of commercial water use that goes to hotels or average amount of irrigable land per park, school, commercial site, etc.

In addition to establishing the market potential for 32 conservation measures, this information was also used to estimate the total cost of implementing conservation measures. For example, knowing the number of hotel rooms in a wholesale customer's service area allows costs to be developed for a conservation measure that retrofits a certain number of hotel rooms in the service area. Costs are further discussed in the following sections of this report.

#### 3.3 CONSERVATION MEASURE ASSUMPTIONS

In addition to understanding the market potential for each measure, several variables associated with cost and implementation of each conservation measure were identified. These variables include:

- targeted water use
- the market penetration goal for a measure
- potential water savings from implementing a measure
- length of time measure is implemented to reach desired market penetration
- length of time the water savings will last from implementing a measure

- costs associated with implementing the conservation measure
- measure savings decay

These variables are described below. Following the description, Table 3-2 provides specific assumptions related to these variables for each conservation measure. Appendix C provides additional assumptions for each conservation measure that was used in the evaluation process.

#### 3.3.1 Targeted Water Use

Each conservation measure targets a particular water use such as indoor single-family water use. Targeted water uses are categorized by water user group and by end use. Targeted water user groups could include Single-Family Residential (RSF); Multi-Family Residential (RMF); Commercial, Industrial, and Institutional (CII); and public (PUB). Measures may apply to more than one water user group. Targeted end uses include indoor and outdoor use. The targeted water user group and end use is indicated in Table 3-2 for each conservation measure. The targeted water use is important to identify because the water savings are generated from reductions in water use for the targeted end use. For example, Residential Retrofits (Measure 2, Table 2-2) targets Single-Family and Multi-Family Residential indoor use, specifically shower use. When considering the water savings potential generated by a residential retrofit one considers the water saved by installing low-flow showerheads in single- and multi-family homes. Baseline information on how much water is used in homes for shower use was developed in the *SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections* (URS 2004). A brief discussion of information regarding baseline water use is also included in Section 3.4 of this report.

#### 3.3.2 Market Penetration Goal

The market penetration goal for a measure is the extent to which the product or service related to the conservation measure occupies the potential market. In essence, the market penetration goal identifies how many fixtures, rebates, surveys, etc. the wholesale customer would have to offer or conduct over a period of time to reach its water savings goal for that conservation measure. This is often expressed in terms of the number of fixtures, rebates, surveys, etc. offered or conducted per year.

For example, in a case of a residential water survey program (Measure 1, Table 2-2):

- Assume 10,000 residential dwelling units exist at the start of a residential water survey program
- Assume the ultimate penetration rate is to conduct water surveys on 10 percent of all residential dwelling units after three years
- Therefore, 1000 residential water surveys would need to be conducted by the third year. (10,000 units x 10 percent to be surveyed = 1,000 surveys)
- To meet this goal, 333 residential water surveys would be conducted each year for three years. (333 surveys x 3 years = 999 surveys)

	<b>Conservation Measure</b>	Information Needed	Sources of Data Collected
1.	Residential Water Surveys	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency), i.e. number of surveys done to date.	Wholesale customer Urban Water Management Plan (UWMP) or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002; Agency/City Water Conservation Representative
2.	Residential Retrofit	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency), i.e. number of devices distributed in last ten years.	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; Agency/City Water Conservation Representative
3.	Large Landscape Conservation Audits	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency), i.e., number of audits done to date; If none, amount of turf irrigated with Agency supplied water on parcels 3 acres or more. An estimate of the total amount of turf area for schools, parks and golf courses.	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002; Yahoo Yellow Pages; Personal contact with golf course representatives; Survey of selected park districts, school districts, golf courses, and cemeteries; Personal contact with City personnel.
4.	Water Budgets	1. History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency). 2. Number of separate irrigation meters by agency.	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; Water conservation representative for agency
5.	Clothes Washer Rebate	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency), i.e. number of rebates given to date.	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002; Water conservation representative for agency
6.	Public Information Program	Detailed description of current public information program including annual budget for labor and materials. Detailed description of school education program including number of students receiving information in last five years.	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002; Agency/City Water Conservation Representative
7.	Commercial Water Audits	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency), i.e. number of surveys done to date; Number of Commercial, Industrial, Institutional accounts using more than 5,000 gal/day (estimate).	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002; Agency/City Water Conservation Representative
8.	ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency), i.e. number of commercial toilet rebates given to date. Number of pre-1992 old commercial toilets by zip code (allocated to service area)	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002; Agency/City Water Conservation Representative; California Urban Water Conservation Council
9.	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency), i.e. number of residential toilet rebates given to date.	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; Agency/City Water Conservation Representative

 Table 3-1

 Data Collected to Establish Market Potential for Conservation Measures

Conservation Measure	Information Needed	Sources of Data Collected
10. Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	Single-Family, Multi-Family housing turnover rate (percent of homes or apartment buildings that sell every year).	Orange Coast/California Title Company; Data Quick; Published real estate data tracked by real estate associations, newspapers, county assessors offices, or water agency billing departments; CUWCC has data for one year by city (mid 1990's).
11. Home Leak Detection and Repair	Number of miles of water distribution piping by agency	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002; wholesale agency representative
12. Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	None given to date but same information as for measure no. 9 will be helpful	Water conservation representative for agency
13. ET Controller Rebates	Number of accounts by category and growth in new accounts.	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002;
14. Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	History of implementation, if any, by each wholesale customer (agency) of low water use landscaping promotion program. Number of nurseries, irrigation equipment retail stores, Xeriscape demonstration gardens, and brochures distributed, if any.	Water conservation representative for agency, stores and nurseries from "yellow pages"; City Websites; Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan.
15. Homeowner irrigation classes	Number of nurseries, irrigation equipment retail stores, number of single-family homes.	Same as measure 14
16. Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	Existing information available for planting water-efficient landscaping, sites and opportunities to promote measure. Number of new homes added each year.	Water conservation representative for agency; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002.
17. Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	Number of large apartment complexes, number of coin- op Laundromats in agency service area. Average number of machines per site.	Apartmentguide.com; homestore.com; Yellow pages for coin-op Laundromats, apartment manager associations, or water utilities for apartment laundry rooms; Yahoo Yellow Pages; Telephone survey for average number of machines per installation.
18. Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	Number of apartment buildings with more than 20 units	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; Apartmentguide.com; homestore.com; Census data
19. Require sub-metering multifamily units	Number of new multifamily units added each year.	Wholesale customer-billing data
20. Rebate efficient clothes washers	Growth in new apartment units.	Wholesale customer-billing records

Table 3-1
Data Collected to Establish Market Potential for Conservation Measures

Conservation Measure	Information Needed	Sources of Data Collected
21. Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	Existing landscape requirements for new non-Single- Family individual lot developments. Includes common areas and on-property landscaping for multifamily and commercial projects. Growth in new accounts.	Research on city/county web sites; limited telephone survey of planning departments; BAWUA Annual Survey 2002
22. Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	Number of participants in 2002-3 CUWCC 1.6 gpm spray nozzle replacement program; number of sit down restaurants or cafeterias with kitchens	SFPUC data; BAWSCA data; Yahoo Yellow Pages
23. Focused water audits for hotels/motels	Number of hotels/motels and number of rooms by agency.	Yahoo Yellow Pages; AAA Tour Book; Telephone Book; travel web sites; Personal contact with hotel/motel representatives
24. WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	Same as number 23	Same as measure 23
25. Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	Same as number 23	Same as measure 23
26. Award program for water savings by businesses	Number of CII accounts	Wholesale customer UWMP or Water Master Plan; Wholesale customer-billing data
27. Replace inefficient water using equipment	Same as number 7, number of CII accounts.	Same as measure 5
28. Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	Estimated current square footage of office building rentable space, growth in new accounts.	Chamber(s) of commerce; City and County General Plans; Real Estate Associations; BT Commercial Market Reports; Colliers International Market Reports
29. Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	Same as number 4	Same as measure 4
30. Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	Same as number 3, 4	Same as measures 3 and, 4
31. Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	Same as number 3, 4	Same as measures 3 and 4
32. Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	Number of municipal or public accounts.	Wholesale customer-billing data

 Table 3-1

 Data Collected to Establish Market Potential for Conservation Measures
The potential for errors in market penetration goal estimates for each measure can be significant because they are based on previous experience, chosen implementation methods, projected utility effort and funds allocated to implement the measure. The potential error can be corrected through re-evaluation of the measure as the implementation of the measure progresses. For example, if the market penetration required to achieve specific water savings turns out to be more or less than predicted, adjustments to the implementation efforts can be made. Larger rebates or additional promotions are often used to increase the market penetration. The process is iterative to reflect actual conditions and helps to ensure that market penetration and needed savings are achieved regardless of future variances between estimates and actual conditions.

In contrast, market penetration for mandatory ordinances can be more predictable with the greatest potential for error occurring in implementing the ordinance change. For example, requiring dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts (Measure 31, Table 2-2) through an ordinance can assure an almost 100 percent market penetration for affected properties.

#### 3.3.3 Conservation Measure Water Savings

Water savings for each conservation measure are considered in terms of end-use water reductions. Each conservation measure evaluated in this study targets a particular water user group (i.e., Single-Family Residential, Multi-Family Residential, Commercial) and a particular water use within that user group (i.e., toilets, showerheads, irrigation). Sometimes a conservation measure will target multiple end uses, for example, residential water surveys often target indoor uses such as toilets, showerheads, and faucet aerators. To determine how much water is saved from implementing each conservation measure, water reductions are applied to the specific end use targeted by the conservation measure.

Water savings are expressed as a percent reduction in water use per end use. The percent reductions are only applied to the amount of water identified for the end use, not the entire category of use. For example, Residential Retrofits (Measure 2, Table 2-2) target replacing showerheads in Residential accounts, therefore the water savings is applied as a percentage of shower use in the residential water use sector. Table 3-2 indicates the target use for each measure. Additional information regarding assumed water use by retail customer category and end use is briefly described in Section 3.4 and more explicitly explained in *SFPUC Wholesale Customers Demand Projections* (URS 2004).

#### 3.3.4 Conservation Measure Length: Length of Time the Measure Is Implemented

The measure length is the amount of time the measure must be implemented to achieve the market penetration goal. Measure length is expressed in terms of years. Some measures are intended to run indefinitely in order to reach the market penetration or maintain the water savings associated with the market penetration goal. In this latter circumstance, the measure is implemented indefinitely to combat decay in water savings over time. Water savings decay is described in more detail in Section 3.3.7.

#### 3.3.5 Conservation Measure Life: Length of Time Water Savings Last

The measure life is how long the water savings from implementing a measure can be expected to last. Measure life is expressed in terms of years. In general there are two categories of measure

life: (1) those measures that have a "permanent life" and (2) those measures that have a "finite life." Measures with a permanent life include those measures whose water savings essentially last forever. Measures with a finite life experience water savings that decay or are reduced over time.

Permanent life measures are generally applied to conservation measures that (1) involve the replacement of water using-equipment, such as a clothes washer or (2) recommend specific equipment replacement, such as with a commercial water audit. For these measures, the measure life is assumed to be permanent because it is highly unlikely that when the equipment wears out, it would be replaced with an inefficient model.

In contrast, measures that rely on the behavioral change of a homeowner or water user to save water are assumed to have a finite life. For example, a conservation measure that involves a landscape contractor setting an irrigation controller to reduce water use does not create permanent water savings because the homeowner may change landscape contractors or the house may be sold to another owner and there is no guarantee that the new homeowner or contractor will continue the same behavior. This is particularly true when the action requested is voluntary and there is no compliance monitoring. In these cases, a finite life is assumed. The factors associated with a finite life, such as decay, and how they are addressed in the cost-effectiveness analysis is discussed in Section 3.3.7.

#### 3.3.6 Costs of Conservation Measures

Costs were determined for each of the conservation measures based on industry knowledge and professional experience. Costs may include incentive costs, usually determined on a perparticipant basis; fixed costs, such as marketing; and variable costs including the cost of staffing implementation of the measures, and costs of purchasing and maintaining equipment necessary to implement the conservation measure.

The costs are expressed on a dollar-per-participant basis and are presented as "utility costs" and "customer costs." Utility costs include the cost of rebates and incentives and contractors hired to implement measures. Utility costs also include an administrative cost that covers the cost to the utility of the staff administering the measure. The administrative cost often includes consultant contract administration, marketing, and participant tracking. The administrative cost is expressed as a percentage of the cost of the rebate, incentive, or consultant cost per participant to implement the measure.

Customer costs represent the retail customer's share of the cost to implement the measure. For example, if the rebate on a clothes washer only covers one-third of the cost difference to purchase an efficient model that is eligible for the rebate then the retail customer's cost is the difference required for the purchase and installation.

Lost revenue due to reduced water sales is not included as a cost because the conservation measures evaluated herein generally take effect over a span of time that is sufficient to enable timely rate adjustments, if necessary, to meet fixed cost obligations.

Costs were allocated uniformly over the study's planning period unless implementation of the measure only occurs in the first three to five years, after which only the costs to maintain the measure are incurred.

#### 3.3.7 Water Savings Decay

Water savings decay is the reversal of water use reductions achieved through implementing a conservation measure. Water savings decay occurs in two ways: (1) as a result of end user's behavioral change and (2) as a result of a fixture's loss of water use efficiency. These two occurrences are described below.

#### Water Savings Decay from Behavioral Change

A portion of water savings gained through certain conservation measures can decrease as a result of changes in retail customer behavior. Water savings decay often occurs when a conservation measure requires replacement with a water-savings device that might be removed over time. For example, in a residential water survey program (Measure 1, Table 2-2) retail customers replace high water use toilets, faucet aerators, and showerheads with low-flow fixtures. The water savings gained by replacing these fixtures can change over time if the homeowner removes them or the home is sold to a new owner who chooses to remove them. When this occurs, the water savings that was experienced decays. Water savings decay can have an effect on market penetration goals and the length of time a measure is implemented.

The conservation measures evaluated in this study that are prone to decay as a result of behavioral change are:

- Measure 1 Residential Water Surveys
- Measure 3 Large Landscape Conservation Audits
- Measure 6 Public Information Program
- Measure 7 Commercial Water Audits
- Measure 14 Xeriscape Education and Staff Training at Retail Garden/Irrigation Supply Houses
- Measure 15 Homeowner Irrigation Classes
- Measure 16 Promote Water-Efficient Plantings at New Homes

#### Water Savings Decay from Reduced Fixture Efficiency

Another form of decay that impacts the savings values assigned to the measures is decay due to plumbing fixtures that lose their water use efficiency over time (e.g., ultra-low flow toilets that develop a leak and begin to use more water than originally assumed). These types of decays reduce the water savings achievable by implementing particular measures over time. To address this issue, making conservative assumptions regarding the water savings for the particular measure are made based on utilizing an annual decay rate. The conservation measures evaluated in this study that are prone to decay as a result of loss of water efficiency are:

- Measure 1 Residential Water Surveys
- Measure 3 Large Landscape Conservation Audits
- Measure 11 Home Leak Detection and Repair

#### Addressing Water Savings Decay

One way to address water savings decay is to extend the measure length of implementation from a set number of years to an indefinite period. In this circumstance, a measure that may only require 5 years to implement will either (1) need to be repeated indefinitely on new retail customers or (2) follow-up would need to be performed on retail customers that already participated in the measure. For example, with residential water surveys, in order for the associated water saving to be considered consistent over the life of the conservation measure, surveys would need to be done every year to maintain the measure's overall savings. This is because the effects of individual surveys may have a limited life due to potential behavioral change. Thus, if water savings from the surveys are assumed to last seven years (the life of the measure), then additional surveys or other appropriate follow-up with prior surveyed homes would need to be done every year to ensure that water savings are permanent. In this way, the total water savings would not increase beyond the level achieved at the end of the measure life but there is also no decay in total water savings for the measure.

Another way to address water savings decay is to factor the annual decay rate into the measure's cost-effectiveness evaluation by setting a decay rate equal to 1 divided by the measure life expressed as a percentage. So, for example if the measure life was 10 years then the decay rate would be 1/10 or 10 percent per year. If the implementation of the measure stopped, 10 percent of the savings would go away and therefore, the water savings would be zero in year 10.

#### 3.4 DSS MODEL CONSERVATION EVALUATION

Using the data on the market potential for each conservation measure and the assumptions for each conservation measure variable, a cost-effectiveness evaluation can be performed on each conservation measure. The cost-effectiveness evaluation was conducted using the DSS model. The DSS model was used to develop water demand projections for each wholesale customer as documented in *SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections* (URS 2004). The following sections describe the DSS model, its components, and how it was used to evaluate the cost-effectiveness of the 32 conservation measures selected for this study.

#### 3.4.1 DSS Model Overview

The DSS model is a Microsoft Excel-based application that provides a framework for the development of an end-use model, water demand forecasts and the cost-effectiveness analysis of water conservation measures. In evaluating potential water conservation programs, a large number of costs and benefits must be considered. The DSS model provides a framework for consideration of these benefits and costs.

In general, DSS model components were used in the following steps of the SFPUC studies:

#### **Demand Forecasting**

- Establishing wholesale-customer base-year water use conditions by customer-billing category and then by end use
- Model calibration to current water use conditions by end use using plumbing code fixture models

• Water demand forecasting using growth parameters such as population and employment projections and plumbing fixture models to forecast future conditions

#### Water Conservation Cost-Effectiveness Evaluation

- Establishing service area conditions for evaluation of conservation measures by creating a database of service area data relevant to the conservation measures to be evaluated
- Using the service area data to perform a benefits and costs evaluation of each measure individually to aid in wholesale customer measure selection
- Combining individual measures into programs (containing multiple measures) and performing a benefits and costs evaluation that avoids double counting water savings for similar measures and effectively evaluates the individual measures as they would function in the selected programs

The water demand forecasting methodology of the DSS model and the water demand forecasting results are documented in *SFPUC Wholesale Customers Water Demand Projections* (URS 2004). The water conservation cost-effectiveness evaluation elements of the DSS model are discussed in the following sections of this report.

#### 3.4.2 Structure of DSS Model Conservation Evaluation

The DSS model utilizes the market potential data for each conservation measure (Table 3-1) and the variables associated with implementation and water savings for each conservation measure (Table 3-2). In addition to these data, the DSS model also uses data generated by the water demand forecasts for each wholesale customer to arrive at the costs and benefits of implementing the individual conservation measures. The data include information on projected water use for customer-billing categories (water user groups) and end-use assumptions. The end-use assumptions provide estimates on how much future water will be consumed by different indoor plumbing fixtures and outdoor use. These assumptions are based on a 2001 base-year water demand for each wholesale customer. In essence, water use for the base year 2001 is broken down into indoor and outdoor use and then by end use (i.e., toilets, showers, clothes washing machines, etc.). The method for determining the appropriate breakdown in water end use is described in *SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections* (URS 2004).

These data are important to the conservation analysis, as the data provide a baseline from which water savings from each conservation measure can be estimated. Using these three types of data, the DSS model estimates the potential for water savings in the future as a result of a specific conservation measure and calculates associated costs and benefits through the following key steps:

- Calculate the water savings for each year that the conservation measure is implemented. This is accomplished by using the end-use estimates in the water demand forecast, the unit water savings and market penetration (Table 3-2), and the market potential data (Table 3-1).
- Calculate the cost of the measure for each year the measure is implemented. This is based on the number of participating accounts and the unit costs shown in Table 3-2, and data from Table 3-1 as required.

# **SECTION**THREE

				Conservation	Measure Variable	es			
		Target Water		Measure Water Savings (as a percent of total	Measure Design				Utility Administration and
		User Group;		water usage (per end use) on each account to	Length	Measure Life	Utility Unit Cost		Marketing Cost
	Measure	End use	Market Penetration Goal <sup>10</sup>	which the measure is applied)	(years)	(years)	(for contractor)	<b>Retail Customer Unit Cost</b>	(percentage per participant)
1.	Residential Water Surveys	RSF, RMF;	15% <sup>1</sup> of target water user group	5% - Internal water savings, 10% - Leaks &	Indefinitely	$7^{2}$	\$80/RSF account, \$130/RMF	\$15/RSF account, \$50/RMF	25%
		Indoor and	accounts with applicable end	Exterior <sup>1</sup> water savings			account	account	
		Outdoor	use at end of ten years		Measure planned to	CUWA Report			MWM experience, requires large
				Cost & Savings Study (C&S Study) for	continue indefinitely	page 20	RSF survey costs within range	MWM experience, allows for	marketing effort to hit targets
				untargeted surveys, pg 2-46; savings per dwelling	so savings stay at the		provided by C&S Study, pg 2-	minor leak repair and retrofits	
				unit surveyed converted to end-use percentage	level reached after 7		48, which is \$40-200 per RSF	by owner	
				basis for DSS Model using SFPUC mean	years (the measure		survey; costs for RMF based on		
				customer indoor/outdoor per capita use and	life)		Maddaus Water Management		
				household size (see SFPUC Wholesale Customer			(MWM) experience.		
				Water Demand Projections Technical Report					
				(URS 2004))))					
2.	Residential Retrofit	RSF, RMF;	75% of existing non-low flow	21% - Internal water savings, end use is	5	Permanent	\$30/RSF, \$15/RMF dwelling	0	10%
		Indoor	devices in target water user	Showers <sup>3,9</sup>			unit <sup>1</sup>		
			group accounts with applicable			Only low flow		Customer installed - no cost	MWM experience, many prior
			end use (varies by city) <sup>1</sup>	Adapted from AWWARF REUS report, by John		showerheads can	Costs within range provided by	assigned	examples available to follow
				Olaf Nelson, originally posted at		be purchased to	C&S Study, pg 2-49, assuming	_	_
				www.waterwiser.org, and published in his Kobe,		replace original	2 showers/RSF and 1 per RMF		
				Japan paper.		retrofit	_		
3.	Large Landscape	CII; Outdoor	15% of target water user group	15% Exterior water savings, end use is Irrigation <sup>1</sup>	10	10 <sup>2</sup>	\$800/acre <sup>4,5</sup>	\$200/acre	30%
	Conservation Audits		accounts with mixed use meters <sup>1</sup>						
				C&S Study in the range reported on pages 2-		CUWA Report	Adapted from BMP 5	MWM experience	MWM experience, sometimes
				99,100		page 20	Handbook, pg. 3-11, deleting	_	difficult to promote
							marketing cost and adding 30%		
							allowance for periodic follow-		
							up to maintain savings.		
							Converted to \$/acre using avg		
							survey site value of 1.25 acres		
							(From BMP Reporting Database		
							Water Savings Calculator		
							default value)		
4.	Water Budgets	CII; Outdoor	90% of CII sites with irrigation meters <sup>1</sup>	15% Exterior water savings, end use is Irrigation <sup>2</sup>	5	Permanent <sup>2</sup>	\$200/Irrigation account <sup>3</sup>	0	15%
				CUWA Report page A-11		CUWA Report	Within the range cited in BMP 5	Customer's contractor adjusts	MWM experience, straight-
				1 1 0		page 20	Handbook, pg. 2-19	irrigation controller, no cost	forward but tedious
						1.0		assigned	
5.	Clothes Washer Rebate	RSF. RMF:	As per new BMP 6 2005-2007.	35%-Interior water savings, end use is Laundry <sup>1</sup>	3	Permanent	\$75/fixture	\$200/fixture	30%
		Indoor	4.8% of dwelling units in target						
			water user group accounts with	Reduced slightly from the range in the C&S		MWM judgment	Typical rebates currently offered	Estimate of added cost for an	MWM experience in consultation
			applicable end use by 2007	Study range on pg. 2-13 due to high household		that user will not		efficient machine	with BAWSCA
			11 5	sizes in the Bay Area and the potential for shared		replace an			
				laundry loads; specific savings based on fixture		efficient			
				modeling		machine with an			
				0		inefficient one			
						given pending			
						state standards			
					1	State Standards	1		

Table 3-2uservation Measure Variable

				Conservation	Measure Variable	es			
		Target Water		Measure Water Savings (as a percent of total	Measure Design				Utility Administration and
		User Group;		water usage (per end use) on each account to	Length	Measure Life	Utility Unit Cost		Marketing Cost
	Measure	End use	Market Penetration Goal	which the measure is applied)	(years)	(years)	(for contractor)	<b>Retail Customer Unit Cost</b>	(percentage per participant)
6.	Public Information Program	RSF; Indoor and Outdoor	100% of target water user group accounts with applicable end	1% water savings on all indoor and outdoor end uses	Indefinitely	2	\$2/RSF Account/yr	0	0
	C		use	MWM estimate, assuming a robust conservation program accompanies public education, but that most of customer water savings are accounted for	Program planned to continue indefinitely so savings stay at the level reached after 2	MWM judgment that public education has a limited life and	MWM experience	Customer actions are voluntary.	Cost included in utility cost
				in other programs	years	must be continued to maintain savings			
7.	Commercial water Audits	Outdoor	of target water user group accounts with applicable end use	<ul> <li>(potential for 30% savings of all site end uses</li> <li>(potential for 30% savings but only implement 40% of the potential)<sup>1,6</sup></li> <li>Default value for BMP 9, see MOU pg 44, also within range of savings reported in C&amp;S Study pgs 2-62-65, allowing for a 40% implementation of identified potential</li> </ul>	10	MWM experience that potential is in equipment changes, likely to be permanent, rather than behavioral changes	(top 10% of water users) <sup>1</sup> In range of costs cited in C&S Study, pg 2-66, mean analyst surveys adjusted for inflation since 1995	MWM experience, excludes costs for cost-effective projects paid by facility, covers facility contract administration costs	MWM experience, marketing is difficult
8.	ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	CII; Indoor	3% of target water user group accounts with pre-1992 Toilets	Water savings are variable percentage of COM Toilet use, varies with current toilet stock <sup>7</sup> ; Savings calculated by CUWCC with toilet data by zip code. Savings based on CII ULFT Savings Study	3	Permanent	\$200/fixture Typical rebates currently offered, higher than residential rebates due to higher toilet use and savings	\$200/fixture MWM experience, assumes replacement fixture is usually a flushometer type toilet	25% MWM experience, many examples are available to follow, but still labor intensive
9.	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	RSF, RMF; Indoor	Result of 10 years of replacement at resale rate less natural replacement rate for applicable target water user group accounts	Water savings is approximately 60% of RSF and RMF toilet end use water usage, savings varies with current toilet stock <sup>3</sup> Savings set up in fixture models, see <i>SFPUC</i> <i>Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections</i> <i>Technical Report</i> (URS 2004)	10	Permanent	\$50/fixture Typical rebates currently offered	\$75 per fixture Estimate of added cost for an efficient machine	25% MWM experience, many examples are available to follow, but still labor intensive
10.	Require 1.6 gal per flush	RSF, RMF;	Approximately 100% of target	Water savings is approximately 60% of RSF and	Varies with resale	Permanent	\$10/account	\$150/fixture	15%
	time of sale of existing buildings	indoor	applicable end use (varies by city ~7%/yr)	Savings set up in fixture models, see SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections Technical Report (URS 2004)	approximately 10 years		MWM experience	Estimate of total cost for an efficient machine, installed	MWM experience for regulatory program that is somewhat complicated and not routine
11.	Home Leak Detection and Repair	RSF; Indoor and Outdoor	Offer to top 20% of accounts (highest water users) in target water user group, complete approximately half of those offered (10% of total accounts in target water user group)	90% water savings, end use is Int./Ext. Leakage use <sup>3</sup> Savings assume 90% of leaks removed by plumber, leakage amount based on AWWARF REUS	10	5 MWM experience, new leaks will appear	\$200/account MWM experience, cost of plumber and leak repair materials	0 Utility subsidizes entire cost of repair	25% MWM experience, new program

				Conservation	Measure Variable	es	1		
	Measure	Target Water User Group; End use	Market Penetration Goal	Measure Water Savings (as a percent of total water usage (per end use) on each account to which the measure is applied)	Measure Design Length (years)	Measure Life (years)	Utility Unit Cost (for contractor)	Retail Customer Unit Cost	Utility Administration and Marketing Cost (percentage per participant)
12.	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	RSF, RMF; Indoor	25% of target water user group accounts with applicable end	Water savings is approximately 67%, end use is Toilets, varies with current toilet stock <sup>3</sup>	10	Permanent	\$100/fixture	\$50/fixture	25%
			use	Savings based on DSS Model toilet fixture models, which were based on AWWARF REUS, adjusted for lower flush volume		flush toilet replaced eventually with a like model	future price reduction from current levels	installation cost	Ni w W experience, new program
13.	ET Controller Rebates	RSF, RMF, CII, PUB; Outdoor	50% of accounts in target water user group with applicable end use are eligible, Assume approximately 20% of those eligible accept	<ul> <li>15% water savings, end use is Irrigation<sup>1</sup></li> <li>Savings based on C&amp;S Study, pg 2-2 that reports on IRWD findings, slightly reduced to account for different climate in Bay Area from Orange County where studies were done.</li> </ul>	20	Permanent Assumes ET Controller replaced eventually with a like model	\$150/rebate per account MWM experience, assumes future price reduction from current levels	\$100/account MWM experience, covers installation cost	50% MWM experience, high due to new technology and more difficult marketing and probable call backs to adjust settings
14.	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	RSF; Outdoor	10 classes per site (training center) per year, each 300 homeowners/year (in target water user group with applicable end use)	15% water savings, end use is Irrigation New measure, no published data available, MWM estimate	Indefinitely Small program done every year to reach significant population	Permanent Assumes permanent landscape conversions on part of landscaped area	\$300/class; ten per year per training site MWM experience, covers training cost	\$200/account MWM experience, covers new plant material purchase cost	10% MWM experience, easy to administer once established
15.	Homeowner irrigation classes	RSF; Outdoor	200 homeowners (in target water user group with applicable end use) per training site per year	10% water savings, end use is Irrigation New measure, no published data available, MWM estimate	Indefinitely Small program done every year to reach significant population	Permanent Assumes permanent irrigation system upgrade on part of landscaped area	\$300/class; ten per year MWM experience, covers training cost	\$300/account MWM experience, covers new irrigation system material purchase cost	10% MWM experience, easy to administer once established
16.	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	RSF; Outdoor	10% of new homes in target water user group with applicable end use	10% water savings, end use is Irrigation No published data available yet; measure being implemented in several places, MWM estimate	Indefinitely Small program done for larger subdivisions every year to reach significant population	Permanent Assumes permanent low water use landscape installation	\$100/account MWM experience, covers possible incentive to new home buyers	\$1,000/account MWM experience, covers added cost of low water use plants instead of turf	20% MWM experience, covers coordinating with developers
17.	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	CII; Indoor	50% of target water user group accounts with applicable end use by the year 2007	35% water savings, end use is Laundry <sup>1</sup> Reduced slightly from the range in the C&S Study range on pg. 2-13 due to new measure; specific savings based on service area data collected	3	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace an efficient machine with an inefficient one, given pending standards	\$300/washer MWM experience, covers rebate cost which can be higher than for a residential machine because commercial machine used much more frequently	\$100/washer MWM experience, covers added cost of efficient commercial machine	25% MWM experience, new program, but sites have been identified

				Conservation	Measure Variahl	65			
	Measure	Target Water User Group; End use	Market Penetration Goal	Measure Water Savings (as a percent of total water usage (per end use) on each account to which the measure is applied)	Measure Design Length (years)	Measure Life (years)	Utility Unit Cost (for contractor)	Retail Customer Unit Cost	Utility Administration and Marketing Cost (percentage per participant)
18.	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	RMF; Indoor	25% of the number of multifamily buildings (with more than 20 units in the building), new and existing buildings are included	10% water savings of all indoor end uses <sup>1</sup> New measure, savings estimate consistent with C&S Study, pg 2-26 for data available in 2003.	10	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not remove sub- meters	\$1,000/account MWM experience, covers planned average rebate cost which would be based on building units	\$100/unit + \$5/month per unit metered MWM experience, covers installation (retrofit) cost and meter read and bill cost	25% MWM experience, new program, difficult to accomplish equitably
19.	Require sub-metering multifamily units	RMF; Indoor	90% of new units in target water user group (RMF), applies to all building sizes.	10% water savings of all indoor end uses <sup>1</sup> New measure, savings estimate consistent with C&S Study, pg 2-26 for data available in 2003	Indefinitely Applies to all new units	Permanent Removal not allowed	\$10/unit MWM experience, covers added design review and inspection cost	\$50/unit + \$5/month/unit metered MWM experience, covers installation on new units cost and meter read and bill cost	10% MWM experience, administered through normal building code enforcement
20.	Rebate RMF efficient clothes washers	RMF; Indoor	50% of target water user group accounts by the year 2007	35% water savings, end use is Laundry <sup>1</sup> Reduced slightly from the range in the C&S Study range on pg. 2-13 due to new measure; specific savings based on service area data collected	3	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace an efficient machine with an inefficient one, given pending standards	\$200/washer MWM experience, covers rebate cost which can be higher than for a Single-Family Residential machine but less than public coin-op machine based on use frequency	\$100/washer MWM experience, covers added cost of efficient heavy duty machine	25% MWM experience, new program, targets not identified
21.	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	RMF, CII; Outdoor	70% of new installations in target water user groups with applicable end uses	15% water savings, end use is Irrigation New measure enforcing existing AB325 regulations, MWM estimate	Indefinitely Applies to all new Non-Residential accounts	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace an efficient landscape with an inefficient landscape	\$50 per new Non-Residential account MWM experience, covers added new site design review and inspection cost	\$500 per account MWM experience, covers added cost of low water landscaping versus turf	15% MWM experience, covers landscape industry education and compliance checking
22.	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	CII; Indoor	75% of restaurants, colleges, and hospitals (derived based on billing and census data and wholesale customer feedback specific to their service area)	50% water savings of spray nozzle usage (150 gpd/site) <sup>8</sup> Based on year one CA PUC sponsored retrofit, 2003 reported savings (since revised down 8%)	5	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace an efficient valve with an inefficient valve	\$200/site <sup>8</sup> Based on year one CA PUC sponsored retrofit, plus 10% to account for wider installation program	0 Provided free and installed for customer	15% MWM experience, assuming centrally organized and contracted out, expand existing program
23.	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	CII; Indoor and Outdoor	50% of hotel and motels (derived based on billing and census data, and wholesale customer feedback specific to their service area)	15% water savings on all Hotel/Motel end uses <sup>1</sup> Within range of savings reported in C&S Study pgs 2-62-65, allowing for a 40% implementation of identified potential plus MWM experience with hotel audits	10	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace retrofitted efficient equipment with inefficient equipment	\$3,000/site <sup>1</sup> In range of costs cited in C&S Study, pg 2-66, mean analyst surveys adjusted for inflation since 1995; assumes audits are done in large numbers and done efficiently	\$2,000/site MWM experience with hotel audits, excludes cost-effective project costs; allows for hotels administration costs	25% MWM experience, assuming centrally organized and contracted out

# **SECTION**THREE

				Conservation	Measure Variab	les			
	Measure	Target Water User Group; End use	Market Penetration Goal	Measure Water Savings (as a percent of total water usage (per end use) on each account to which the measure is applied)	Measure Design Length (years)	Measure Life (years)	Utility Unit Cost (for contractor)	Retail Customer Unit Cost	Utility Administration and Marketing Cost (percentage per participant)
24	. WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	CII; Indoor	10% of hotels and motels (derived based on billing and	5% water savings on all Hotel/Motel end uses	10	Permanent	\$200/site	\$5,000/site	15%
			census data, and wholesale customer feedback specific to their service area)	New measure relies on voluntary compliance; no published savings, MWM estimate		MWM judgment that owner will not replace retrofitted efficient equipment with inefficient equipment	Direct utility costs for promoting program	MWM experience with hotel audits, includes in-house cost of doing water audit and using EPA provided software to identify cost-effective retrofit projects	Covers other utility costs for promoting program
25	. Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	CII; Indoor	20% of hotels and motels (derived based on billing and	20% water savings on all Hotel/Motel end uses <sup>1</sup>	10	Permanent	\$100/room	\$100/room	25%
			census data, and wholesale customer feedback specific to their service area)	Within range of savings reported in C&S Study pgs 2-62-65, allowing for a 40% implementation of identified potential plus effects of financial assistance		MWM judgment that owner will not replace retrofitted efficient equipment with inefficient equipment	Utility rebate for subsidizing retrofit program	Balance of cost to retrofit room (new toilet, showerhead, faucet aerator)	MWM experience, covers other utility costs for promoting program and working with hotels to accomplish retrofits
26	<ul> <li>Award program for water savings by businesses</li> </ul>	CII; Indoor and Outdoor	3 accounts every other year for each wholesale customer with significant number CII water using accounts (large customers in target water user group only)	25% water savings, end use is commercial <sup>1</sup> Within range of savings reported in C&S Study pgs 2-62-65, allowing for a 40% implementation of identified potential plus effects of reward (for businesses who achieve this level of savings)	Indefinitely	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace retrofitted efficient equipment with inefficient equipment	\$1000/account (top 5% of applicable accounts) for publicity, judging MWM experience, (budgeted number for program)	\$5,000/account MWM experience, excludes costs for cost-effective projects, covers water audit cost and facility contract administration costs	15% MWM experience
27	<ul> <li>Replace inefficient water using equipment</li> </ul>	CII; Indoor	10% of accounts in target water user group with applicable end use	15% water savings, end use is Process use <sup>1</sup> Within range of savings reported in C&S Study pgs 2-62-65, allowing for a 40% implementation of identified potential plus effects of reward (targeted at process use by large customers)	10	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace retrofitted efficient equipment with inefficient equipment	\$1,000/account Utility rebate for approved retrofit program	\$5,000/account MWM experience, excludes costs for cost-effective projects, covers water audit cost and facility contract administration costs	15% MWM experience, sites contact utilities for rebate, lower promotion costs than for CII surveys
28	. Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	CII; Indoor	70% of new accounts in target water user group with applicable end use	50% water savings, end use is Urinals <sup>1</sup> Within range of savings reported in C&S Study pg 2-92; assumes average of 0.5 gal/flush urinal used instead of 1 gal/flush urinal, currently required.	Indefinitely Applies to all new Non-Residential accounts	Permanent	\$25/new CII Account with urinals Utility budget for extra checking during building approval and construction phases	0 MWM experience, assumes no added cost of low water use flush valve	10% MWM experience, administered through normal building code enforcement

				Conservation	Measure Variabl	es			
	Measure	Target Water User Group; End use	Market Penetration Goal	Measure Water Savings (as a percent of total water usage (per end use) on each account to which the measure is applied)	Measure Design Length (years)	Measure Life (years)	Utility Unit Cost (for contractor)	Retail Customer Unit Cost	Utility Administration and Marketing Cost (percentage per participant)
29.	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	CII; Outdoor	75% of sites in applicable target water user group with irrigation meters	<ul> <li>15% water savings (on top of water budget savings), end use is Irrigation<sup>2,4</sup></li> <li>Within range of savings reported by CUWA pg A-11 and BMP 5 handbook, pg 2-17</li> </ul>	Indefinitely Permanent change for sites with irrigation meters	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace efficient irrigation equipment with inefficient	\$500/account Average utility rebate for those sites that reduce use	\$1000/account MWM experience, covers cost of irrigation retrofit to meet water budget	10% MWM experience onregulatory program
30.	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	CII; Outdoor	100% of new sites in applicable target water user group with irrigation or mixed use meters	15% water savings, end use is Irrigation <sup>1</sup> Cost & Savings Study (C&S Study) in the range reported on pages 2-99,100	Indefinitely Applies to all new Non-Residential accounts	Permanent Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace efficient irrigation equipment with inefficient equipment	\$500/account Average utility rebate per site	\$500/account MWM experience, covers cost of new site to install more efficient irrigation equipment than is normal practice	25% MWM experience with City of Pleasanton and others (East Bay Municipal Utilities District (EBMUD), and Contra Costa Water District (CCWD)) who have implemented this program
31.	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	CII; Outdoor	50% of new CII accounts where no irrigation meters currently exist	Apply water budget savings from measure 4 to all new targeted Irrigation accounts <sup>2</sup> CUWA Report page A-11	Indefinitely Applies to all new Non-Residential accounts	Permanent MWM judgment that owner will not replace efficient irrigation equipment with inefficient equipment	\$10/account/year Average utility cost per site to read and bill more irrigation meters than would otherwise occur.	\$1000/account MWM experience, covers cost of new site to install more efficient irrigation system, motivated by link to water budget	10% MWM experience on regulatory program
32.	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	PUB; Indoor and Outdoor	50% of city departments (derived from billing data and census data, and wholesale customer feedback specific to their service area)	10% water savings in indoor end uses, 15 % water savings in City, County Irrigation usage <sup>1</sup> Within range of savings reported in C&S Study pgs 2-62-65, allowing for a 40% implementation of identified potential plus MWM experience with hotel audits	10	Permanent MWM judgment that city will not replace efficient equipment with inefficient equipment	\$500/account Average water utility rebate per site	\$2,000/account MWM experience, covers cost to install more efficient equipment and devices	15% MWM experience on agency to agency communication program

Table 3-2

Notes: CII: Industrial/Commercial/Institutional PUB: Public

RSF: Residential Single-Family RMF: Residential Multi-Family

<sup>1</sup>California Urban Water Conservation Council (CUWCC)BMP Cost and Savings Study, October 2004 version

<sup>2</sup> California Urban Water Agencies (CUWA) Urban Water Conservation Potential, August 2001. <sup>3</sup> American Water Works Association, Residential End Uses of Water Study (REUS), 1999

<sup>4</sup>CUWCC BMP 5 Handbook, April 1999

<sup>5</sup>BMP Reporting Database water Savings Calculations, Memo from David Mitchell to CUWCC R&E Committee, April 2003

<sup>6</sup>California Urban Water Conservation Council (CUWCC) MOU, December 2002

<sup>7</sup>CUWCC CII ULFT Savings Study, 1997.

<sup>8</sup> CUWCC Potential Best Management Practices, Year 1 Report, June, 2004. <sup>9</sup>Nelson, J.O. Residential End Uses of Water and Demand Management Opportunities, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Efficient Water Use in Urban Areas: Innovative Ways of Finding Water for Cities, Kobe, Japan, 1999

<sup>10</sup> Under Market Penetration Goal, the number of target water user group accounts was derived based on billing data and census data and was projected using the DSS model (SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections Technical Report (URS 2004)

• Compute the benefits to the wholesale customer based on the water savings for each year the measure is implemented. The benefits calculated for this study include avoided cost of water and reduced hot water use.

The result of these steps provides estimated costs and benefits for each conservation measure assuming each measure is implemented on its own with no other measures implemented concurrently.

The following section describes how the costs and benefits are compared from the utility and retail customer perspective.

#### 3.4.3 Analyzing Benefits and Costs

#### Perspectives of Benefits and Costs of Conservation Measures

Benefit-cost analyses can be performed from several different perspectives. The perspectives most commonly used for benefit-cost analyses include the utility and the community perspectives. The "utility" benefit-cost analysis is based on the benefits and costs to the water provider. The utility perspective offers two advantages for analyzing the benefits and costs of implementing conservation measures. First, it considers only the program costs that will be directly borne by the utility. This enables the utility to fairly compare various water supply options and the potential investments for saving water separate from purchasing water. Second, because revenue shifts are treated as transfer payments, the analysis is not complicated with uncertainties associated with long-term rate projections and rate design assumptions. The principal weakness of the utility. Costs incurred by retail customers striving to save water while participating in conservation programs are not considered in the utility perspective.

To consider the costs incurred to retail customers, the community perspective is employed. The "community" benefit-cost analysis includes both the utility benefit and costs combined with retail customer benefits and costs. For this study, these include benefits derived from reduced hot water use and costs of implementing the measure beyond the utility's responsibility.

Both the utility and retail customer perspectives are evaluated in this study. The following describes how the conservation measures were compared to one another from this perspective.

#### Comparison of Measures Using Benefits and Costs

The conservation measures were evaluated individually for all the wholesale customers, and the results of these evaluations are included in Appendix D. The output presents how much water the measures would save, how much they would cost and what the benefit-cost ratios are if the measures were run on a stand-alone basis, i.e. without interaction or overlap from other measures that might address the same end use(s). Benefits and costs are defined below. Table 3-3 presents an example of how benefits and costs are illustrated for each wholesale customer.

- Utility benefits and costs: those benefits and costs that the utility would receive or spend.
- Utility-Customer benefits and costs: utility-customer benefits equal utility benefits plus retail customer energy benefits (cost to heat water). Utility-customer costs include the sum of utility and retail customer costs.

- Water savings benefits: these are based on assigning a typical unit value for avoided cost of water. In this instance, \$1076/acre-foot was utilized for SFPUC purchases. Wholesale customers with alternate supplies were able to utilize a blended cost of water for 2015.
- Costs for the utility: annual administration costs and payment of rebates or purchase of devices or services as specified in the measure design (Table 3-2).
- Retail Customer costs: costs to retail customers of implementing the measure and maintaining its effectiveness over the life of the measure (Table 3-2).

Potential benefits and costs not included in this evaluation and the reasons they were excluded is described in a subsection below.

	(		((20			
			"30-year"			
			Average	Cost of		
	Water	Utility-	Annual	Savings per		
	Utility	Customer	Water	Unit		<b>First Five</b>
	Benefit-	Benefit-	Savings	Volume	Net Utility	Years
<b>Conservation Measure</b>	<b>Cost Ratio</b>	<b>Cost Ratio</b>	(MGD)	(\$/MG)	Benefit	<b>Utility Cost</b>
1. Residential Water	0.7	1.2	0.100	ф <u>1</u> 117	(\$700.051)	<b>\$502.042</b>
Surveys	0.7	1.3	0.182	\$1,117	(\$/28,051)	\$582,863
2. Residential Retrofit	1.4	7.4	0.048	\$583	\$122,938	\$324,553
3. Large Landscape	0.7	0.6	0.095	¢1 105	(\$271.922)	\$208.002
Conservation	0.7	0.0	0.085	\$1,123	(\$571,822)	\$308,092
4. Water Budgets	14.2	14.2	0.631	\$54	\$5,117,703	\$388,120
5. Clothes Washer Rebate	1.2	2.1	0.058	\$689	\$78,689	\$482,097
6. Public Information	0.7	17	0.206	¢1 154	(\$926 221)	\$742 780
Program	0.7	1./	0.200	\$1,134	(\$830,231)	\$743,780
7. Commercial Water	1.6	2.1	0 227	\$480	\$608 067	\$742 507
Audits	1.0	2.1	0.227	\$480	\$098,907	\$742,307
8. Commercial ULF						
Toilet and Urinal	2.5	1.4	0.005	\$323	\$24,814	\$18,652
Rebates						
9. Residential ULF Toilet	0.5	0.2	0 503	\$1.475	(\$4,005,150)	\$5 155 240
Rebate	0.5	0.2	0.505	\$1,475	(\$4,005,159)	\$5,155,240
10. Require 1.6 gal per						
flush toilets to be						
installed at the time of	4.1	0.3	0.615	\$191	\$4,071,508	\$838,565
sale of existing						
buildings						
11. Home Leak Detection	0.3	0.2	0.020	\$3.616	(\$1 179 121)	\$076 204
and Repair	0.5	0.5	0.039	\$3,040	(\$1,170,131)	\$720,274
12. Rebates for 6/3 dual	0.8	0.6	0.467	\$908	(\$769.295)	\$2 778 748
flush or 4 liter toilets	0.0	0.0	0.407	\$200	(\$709,295)	ψ2,770,740
13. ET Controller Rebates	0.5	0.4	0.092	\$1,343	(\$657,871)	\$450,424

# Table 3-3 Summary of SFPUC Conservation Evaluation Results by Measure (example wholesale customer)

	(сла	inple who	csale custom			
Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Customer Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Annual Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
14. Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	6.4	0.3	0.05	\$109	\$334,292	\$16,500
15. Homeowner irrigation classes	2.9	0.2	0.022	\$243	\$116,054	\$16,500
16. Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.4	0	0.006	\$1,662	(\$59,877)	\$38,662
17. Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin- operated laundries	1.2	5.4	0.007	\$634	\$12,784	\$55,125
18. Incentives for retrofitting sub- metering	1.7	1	0.016	\$444	\$57,097	\$46,853
19. Require sub-metering multifamily units	3	1.4	0.048	\$241	\$258,394	\$47,830
20. Rebate efficient clothes washers	5	19.5	0.063	\$162	\$464,197	\$123,663
21. Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	5.1	0.7	0.046	\$138	\$293,709	\$20,967
22. Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	5.9	32.1	0.042	\$133	\$306,960	\$69,063
23. Focused water audits for hotels/motels	8.9	5.8	0.062	\$85	\$466,308	\$34,688
24. WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	48.3	2.1	0.004	\$16	\$34,305	\$426
25. Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	2.4	1.3	0.033	\$318	\$161,732	\$69,500
26. Award program for water savings by businesses	1.9	0.6	0.009	\$377	\$33,758	\$10,350
27. Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.9	0.2	0.041	\$817	(\$31,207)	\$215,594
28. Require 0.5 gal/flush	9	9	0.005	\$79	\$34,354	\$1,138

 Table 3-3

 Summary of SFPUC Conservation Evaluation Results by Measure (example wholesale customer)

Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Utility- Customer Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Annual Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
29. Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	5.6	2	0.377	\$133	\$2,641,928	\$361,142
30. Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.3	0.7	0.006	\$562	\$10,018	\$11,074
31. Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3	0.4	0.026	\$235	\$138,551	\$4,400
32. Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	6.5	1.4	0.085	\$116	\$609,365	\$64,606

 Table 3-3

 Summary of SFPUC Conservation Evaluation Results by Measure (example wholesale customer)

Notes:

Source: DSS Models

(\$31,207) Results shown in red and in parentheses indicate a negative value.

#### Present Value Costs

The time value of money is explicitly considered in this study. The value of all future costs and benefits is discounted to 2001 (the base year) at an interest rate of 3.0 percent. The DSS model calculates this "real" interest rate, by adjusting the current nominal interest rate (assumed to be 6.1 percent) by the rate of inflation (assumed to be 3 percent). Cash flows discounted in this manner are referred to as "Present Value" sums throughout this report. The higher the discount rate, the lower the present value of future expenditures. So, if the discount rate is 5 percent, then the impact of future costs and benefits would be less than using a discount rate of 3 percent. If the discount rate is zero percent, then future costs and benefits are counted at their actual value and they would enter the benefit-cost ratio calculation without any reduction due to discounting.

Conservation measure costs are normally expended over 5-10 years and the benefits rise with the expenditure and then continue on after the costs have been expended, sometimes indefinitely. If costs were all expended in year one but benefits occurred over 30 years then raising the discount rate would lower the present value of benefits and, thereby, lower the benefit-cost ratio.

#### Avoided Cost of Water

The major benefit to the wholesale customer considered for this study was the avoided price of purchased SFPUC water. Because the cost of water is scheduled to increase, in part to cover the cost of the Capital Improvement Program, the future 2015 projected price of SFPUC water was

used in this study.<sup>13</sup> Table 3-4 provides the estimated cost of SFPUC water from 2003 to 2015. The year 2015 is midway between the beginning and end of the 30-year planning horizon of this study and is towards the end of the implementation period for most of the included measures (10-15 years). With this rapid rise in the price of water, many conservation measures are cost-effective for the wholesale customers.

A few wholesale customers requested the potential use of a cost of water other than that shown in Table 3-4. For example, Alameda County Water District (ACWD) blends their SFPUC water with lower quality groundwater. Therefore, for this study the benefits for ACWD were evaluated based on a blended cost of water.

SFLU	C Cost of Water Schedule 2003	-2013
Year	\$/MG	\$/AF
2003	1,176.57	383.33
2004	1,492.54	486.27
2005	1,672.76	544.99
2006	1,735.79	565.52
2007	1,920.32	625.64
2008	1,986.03	647.05
2009	2,234.57	728.02
2010	2,635.86	858.76
2011	2,946.20	959.87
2012	3,334.05	1,086.23
2013	3,297.98	1,074.48
2014	3,453.86	1,125.27
2015	3,302.52	1,075.96

Table 3-4SFPUC Cost of Water Schedule 2003–2015

Source: SFPUC Capital Improvement Program Long-Range Financial Plan Update 2003

#### Potential Benefits and Costs Not Evaluated

There are several externalities that are often considered to provide benefits and costs to implementing water use efficiency programs, including conservation. However, these externalities are often omitted from cost-effectiveness analyses because they are difficult to quantify and have varying values to different communities and interested parties.<sup>14</sup> Because this study was performed by the SFPUC as a wholesale water agency, the SFPUC did not find it appropriate to assign generic values to such externalities and did not include them in the cost-effectiveness analysis. Similarly, because the future cost of SFPUC water is estimated to be

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> If a lower cost of water, such as the current price of water, was used for the cost-effectiveness evaluation, the benefit-cost ratios would tend to be lower. If a higher cost of water, such as what we expect to see beyond 2015, was used for the cost-effectiveness evaluation, the benefit-cost ratios would tend to be higher.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The California Urban Water Conservation Council recently embarked on an environmental benefits study, to research a way to account for and quantify these externalities. This work should be available in several years.

three times higher than the current cost of water, the majority of the conservation measures considered were found to be cost-effective without considering such externalities. The SFPUC also anticipates that the wholesale customers will determine if other costs or benefits should be incorporated into their decision-making process as they move forward in designing conservation programs to implement in their service areas. The following provides a list of potential benefits and costs that were not considered in this study. These include:<sup>15</sup>

- Reduced water runoff from reduced excess irrigation. This may improve stream and Bay water quality due to the reduction in pesticides and herbicides applied to the land and contained in the irrigation runoff. However, irrigation runoff water also serves to increase summer stream flows, as possible environmental benefit.
- Reduced solid waste from more native and less dense landscapes due to a possible shift away from turf oriented landscapes.
- Reduced wastewater collection and treatment can reduce chemical use, energy consumption and the volume discharged into receiving waters. This is a motivating factor for conservation and flow reduction for the cities that discharge into South San Francisco Bay (Milpitas, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale).
- Reduced energy use in treating water delivered by SFPUC and distribution by wholesale customers, and other ancillary benefits such as reduced greenhouse gas emissions from power plants where some of this energy is generated.
- Increased economic activity by those involved in conservation program implementation (contractors and vendors).
- Reduced income of landscape maintenance companies and nurseries due to a shift away from high maintenance type landscapes.
- Increased retail customer cost in hiring landscape architect companies to design low water use landscapes as opposed to simplistic turf landscaping.

Value of the homeowner or landscape contractor's time in being more vigilant about irrigation including adjusting irrigation times more frequently and repairing leaks.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Water Conservation Programs - A Planning Manual, American Water Works Association, Manual M52, final draft to be published 2005.

#### 4.1 INTRODUCTION

This section describes the process the wholesale customers used to build the three water conservation programs. Using the results of the benefit-cost analysis and other factors related to implementability, each wholesale customer selected conservation measures and packaged them into three individual conservation programs, referred to as Programs A, B, and C. The three potential programs chosen by each wholesale customer provide a range of water conservation savings specifically related to the measures chosen for their overall conservation program.

#### 4.2 DEVELOPMENT OF POTENTIAL WHOLESALE CUSTOMER CONSERVATION PROGRAMS

In order to identify the range of water conservation savings that are reasonable and costeffective, the water conservation measures were grouped together into packages. Each wholesale customer selected water conservation measures for the three packages (programs) based on the following factors:

- cost-effectiveness of individual measure
- potential water savings for individual measures
- service area water use characteristics
- retail customer behavioral patterns
- implementation
- budgetary consideration
- ease of implementation

Other factors included whether or not a future regional water conservation program offered by SFPUC or BAWSCA would be a better forum for implementing a specific measure, particularly those measures that would benefit from the economies of scale that a regional program can provide.<sup>16</sup>

Three programs (Programs A, B, and C) were designed to accomplish an increasing level of water savings, and define the range of conservation that appears to be reasonable and costeffective for each wholesale customer. The packages of measures (programs) are not intended to be rigid programs but rather to demonstrate the range in savings that could be generated if selected measures were run together. In this step the DSS model accounts for the overlap in water savings and benefits, and estimates the combined savings and benefits from the packages of measures as programs.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The SFPUC and BAWSCA are conducting independent reviews of the potential for a regional water conservation program that would be accessible to the wholesale customers. Verbal feedback from wholesale customers indicated that there are specific measures that were not included in an individual agency's conservation programs but that the agency would be interested in implementing if it were part of a regional program. This applied primarily to educational programs, audits, and surveys that often require the use of outside expertise and specialized consultants.

# **SECTION**FOUR Wholesale Customer Conservation Program Development

It is useful to compare the cumulative costs of the conservation programs with the additional water savings achieved (including the plumbing code) from Program A to Program C. This illustrates whether the addition of conservation measures in Programs B and C is cost-effective. Figure 4-1 illustrates the increasing level of water savings for money spent over time showing this relationship for an example wholesale customer's total potential water savings due to the plumbing code and Programs A, B, and C in the year 2031.<sup>17</sup> The slope of the line between the programs is indicative of the cost-effectiveness of going to the next increasing program. For example, if the slope of the line is flat, then the water savings are not very high for the amount of money spent. If the slope of the line is steep, then the savings are great compared to the amount of money spent.

In general, as more conservation measures are added, a point is reached beyond which the water savings increase only marginally in response to spending more money. Appendix D provides a similar illustrative figure for each wholesale customer that displays the savings from the plumbing code and Programs A, B, and C.



Figure 4-1 Present Value of Utility Costs versus Water Saved (30-Year Analysis) (example customer)

#### 4.2.1 Definition of Conservation Programs

The three programs of conservation measures were developed to define the range of conservation savings that appear to be reasonable and cost-effective. The following describes Programs A, B, and C:

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Because a thirty-year analysis was completed using the base year 2001, wholesale customers received information through the year 2031, which is shown in the example Figure 4-1 and in wholesale customer results presented in Appendix D.

- Program A is defined as a continuation of the measures from the short list of 32 measures that the wholesale customer is currently running.
- Programs B contains the measures from Program A plus the additional measures that increase conservation incorporating measures that are most cost-effective and implementable for wholesale customers and that provide the greatest amount of savings for monies expended.
- Program C contains the Program A and the Program B measures plus the additional measures creating an upper bound conservation program that is considered achievable and cost-effective.

#### 4.2.2 Selection of Measures for Program A

For the most part, Program A consisted of those conservation measures from the list of 32 measures that each wholesale customer is currently implementing. In some cases, the wholesale customer was not implementing the conservation measure to the full extent described in Table 3-2 in terms of market penetration goal or conservation measure length. Therefore, some wholesale customers chose to include such measures in Program A acknowledging the revised implementation factors or they included them in Program B. Some wholesale customers are implementing conservation measures not included in the list of 32 measures identified for this study, such as conservation pricing, outreach or educational programs. It is difficult to quantify savings for these measures and thus, they were excluded from this study as described in Section 2.4. Appendix A provides a list of measures currently being implemented by each wholesale customer and Appendix D provides the list of measures currently being implemented by each wholesale customer and the measures included in their Program A.

#### 4.2.3 Selection of Measures for Programs B and C

Each wholesale customer was presented with the individual measure cost-effectiveness analysis in order to package Programs B and C for their service area. The overall goal was to find the full range of conservation based on what appeared cost-effective and implementable.

A collective process was used to develop the programs. Using the information from the benefitcost analysis, additional evaluation factors, and specific assumptions and terms of the measures evaluated for service areas, each wholesale customer selected measures for Program B based on what they perceived as a reasonable representation of potentially achievable water savings by the end of the study period. Wholesale customers selected measures for Program C based on the full extent of what appeared cost-effective and implementable. Appendix D provides detailed information regarding the wholesale customers' Programs A, B, and C, including selected conservation measures for each program, and the benefits and costs of each measure evaluated, similar to the example table(Table 3-3). **SECTION**FIVE

#### 5.1 INTRODUCTION

This section provides the results of the benefit-cost analysis of conservation programs A, B, and C for each wholesale customer developed through the process described in Section 4 of this Report. As described below, a benefit-cost analysis was conducted for each of the three conservation programs, calculating the same type of benefits and costs that were computed for the individual conservation measures. In addition to describing the benefit-cost analysis for the conservation programs, this section also describes the concurrence process of the conservation input and outputs by the wholesale customers.

The results presented in this section are relevant to the individual wholesale customers and the specific conservation programs chosen for each program. The water savings presented in this section are savings considered achievable for the individual wholesale customers if they were to implement the conservation measures included in their three programs. The water savings do not necessarily reflect water savings for the SFPUC regional water system because several wholesale customers meet their demands with multiple sources of supply.

#### 5.2 BENEFIT-COST ANALYSIS OF WHOLESALE CUSTOMER PROGRAMS

Tables 5-1 and 5-2 show the results of the benefit-cost analysis of the three conservation programs developed by each wholesale customer. For each wholesale customer, the benefit-cost ratio, costs of program implementation, 2030 water savings, cost of saved water and water savings as a percent of the 2030 new demand are shown for all three conservation programs. The factors summarized in the tables are defined in the glossary of this report.

#### Conservation Measure Overlap

One critical factor that must be considered in performing a benefit-cost analysis on the three conservation programs is water savings overlap among certain conservation measures. This was not necessary to consider when performing a benefit-cost analysis for the individual conservation measures, only as they were put into programs with other measures. This occurs because many of the individual water conservation measures save water while targeting some of the same end uses. When a program of measures is identified that has overlapping targeted end uses, the DSS Model accounts for the overlap and calculates the actual savings based on the conservation measures working together. The DSS model accomplishes this by assigning each measure a water savings impact factor. An impact factor is defined to be 1.0 minus the measure's water savings expressed as a decimal. For example, an impact factor for a measure that reduces a specific end use, such as residential irrigation use, 10 percent is expressed as 0.9. If two measures operate on this same end use, with each having an impact factor of 0.9, then the combined savings is not the sum of the two (20 percent) but rather 1.0 minus the product of the two ( $[1 - 0.9 \times 0.9] = [1 - 0.81$ ] or a 19 percent savings). This process avoids double counting water savings.

### **SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area Conservation Evaluation Results**

			2030 Demand		2030		isconner		
	2001 DSS	2030 DSS	Increase	l w	2000 /ater Savin	σε	v	Vater Savin	σs
	Base Year	Projected	(Total New	Due to Co	nservation	Programs	95	a Percentag	e of
	Total Water	Total Water	Demand)	Due 10 00	(MGD)	1105141115	2030 T	otal New D	emand
	Demand <sup>1</sup>	Demand <sup>1</sup>	from 2001				2000 1		
SFPUC Wholesale Customer	(MGD)	(MGD)	(MGD)	Α	<b>B</b> <sup>2</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>	Α	<b>B</b> <sup>2</sup>	C <sup>3</sup>
Alameda County Water District	51.1	59.3	8.20	2.020	3.159	3.483	24.64%	38.53%	42.48%
Brisbane, City of	0.4	0.93	0.49	0.002	0.041	0.050	0.50%	8.37%	10.14%
Burlingame, City of	4.8	4.9	0.12	0.113	0.245	0.375	94.46%	203.82%	312.80%
California Water Service Company - Bear Gulch District	13.4	13.9	0.48	0.217	0.930	0.962	45.22%	193.74%	200.45%
California Water Service Company - Mid-Peninsula District	17.2	18.1	0.94	0.415	0.863	1.166	44.18%	91.84%	124.07%
California Water Service Company - South San Francisco District	8.9	9.9	1.00	0.208	0.560	0.650	20.84%	56.04%	64.96%
Coastside County Water District	2.6	3.2	0.63	0.125	0.183	0.239	19.80%	29.02%	37.92%
Daly City, City of	8.7	9.1	0.44	0.093	0.448	0.531	21.22%	101.71%	120.65%
East Palo Alto, City of	2.5	4.8	2.30	0.009	0.092	0.163	0.40%	4.00%	7.11%
Estero Municipal Improvement District	5.8	6.8	0.98	0.469	0.624	0.720	47.83%	63.67%	73.49%
Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District	0.3	0.81	0.49	0.001	0.097	0.098	0.27%	19.88%	20.06%
Hayward, City of	19.3	28.7	9.40	0.195	0.755	1.202	2.07%	8.04%	12.79%
Hillsborough, Town of	3.7	3.9	0.20	0.056	0.308	0.427	28.22%	154.18%	213.26%
Los Trancos County Water District	0.1	0.14	0.03	0.002	0.002	0.003	4.55%	6.92%	9.79%
Menlo Park, City of	4.1	4.7	0.61	0.014	0.160	0.349	2.22%	26.30%	57.18%
Mid-Peninsula Water District	3.7	3.8	0.15	0.048	0.102	0.129	32.22%	68.01%	86.10%
Millbrae, City of	3.1	3.3	0.17	0.078	0.113	0.236	46.11%	66.66%	138.88%
Milpitas, City of	12.0	17.7	5.74	0.361	0.601	0.968	6.28%	10.47%	16.87%
Mountain View, City of	13.3	14.8	1.53	0.241	0.945	1.207	15.72%	61.76%	78.89%
North Coast County Water District	3.6	3.8	0.17	0.126	0.185	0.300	74.01%	108.98%	176.58%
Palo Alto, City of	14.2	14.7	0.49	0.229	0.466	0.592	46.84%	95.13%	120.83%
Purissima Hills Water District	2.2	3.3	1.12	0.055	0.077	0.288	4.89%	6.85%	25.76%
Redwood City, City of	11.9	13.4	1.54	0.593	0.828	1.026	38.53%	53.77%	66.61%
San Bruno, City of	4.4	4.5	0.07	0.028	0.185	0.266	39.59%	264.75%	380.48%
San Jose, City of (portion of north San Jose)	5.2	6.5	1.31	0.155	0.157	0.595	11.85%	11.99%	45.42%
Santa Clara, City of	25.8	33.9	8.10	0.647	1.011	1.233	7.98%	12.48%	15.22%
Stanford University	0.2	0.31	0.14	0.003	0.009	0.015	2.27%	6.50%	11.00%
Skyline County Water District	3.9	6.8	2.94	0.488	0.646	0.663	16.59%	21.98%	22.53%
Sunnyvale, City of	24.8	26.8	1.99	0.640	0.711	1.596	32.14%	35.75%	80.20%
Westborough Water District	1.0	0.88	-0.11	0.015	0.020	0.055	-13.72%	-18.60%	-49.58%
Total:	272	324	52	7.65	14.53	19.59	15%	28%	38%

Table 5-1
Conservation Savings as a Percentage of Total New Demand by SFPUC Wholesale Customer

<sup>1</sup>SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections (URS 2004). 2030 demand includes plumbing code savings.

<sup>2</sup>Program B is Program A plus additional measures. <sup>3</sup>Program C is Program B plus additional measures.

Source: DSS Models

	Water Utility						<u></u>									
	Benefit-Cost Ratio (30-Year Period)			Present Value of Water Utility Costs (\$1,000) (30-Year Period)			2030 Water Savings due to Conservation Programs (MGD)				2030 Outdo Conserva	or Water Sa tion Progran	vings due to ns (MGD)	Cost of Water Saved (\$/AF) (30-Year Period)		
SFPUC Wholesale Customer	А	В	С	Α	В	С	(Plumbing Code) <sup>1</sup>	Α	В	С	Α	В	С	Α	В	С
Alameda County Water District	1.64	1.44	1.51	\$8,507.22	\$14,976.62	\$15,320.87	4.73	2.020	3.159	3.483	1.273	1.781	1.979	\$153.17	\$172.78	\$164.09
Brisbane, City of	1.04	7.90	7.49	\$46.29	\$72.83	\$99.60	0.16	0.002	0.041	0.050	0.000	0.035	0.035	\$639.68	\$79.60	\$84.43
Burlingame, City of	1.57	2.15	2.22	\$1,599.80	\$2,072.71	\$3,027.30	0.63	0.113	0.245	0.375	0.017	0.083	0.134	\$420.18	\$300.05	\$290.88
California Water Service Company - Bear Gulch District	2.43	3.84	3.74	\$1,986.45	\$3,709.05	\$3,925.12	1.08	0.217	0.930	0.962	0.068	0.635	0.658	\$274.67	\$164.79	\$169.01
California Water Service Company - Mid-Peninsula District	1.33	1.66	1.52	\$7,293.09	\$10,406.64	\$14,204.31	2.08	0.415	0.863	1.166	0.038	0.167	0.375	\$497.41	\$393.93	\$424.50
California Water Service Company - South San Francisco District	1.08	1.62	1.75	\$4,344.91	\$6,511.28	\$6,699.77	0.92	0.208	0.560	0.650	0.047	0.139	0.170	\$608.43	\$399.81	\$366.97
Coastside County Water District	2.15	2.16	1.86	\$1,098.06	\$1,537.43	\$2,296.57	0.26	0.125	0.183	0.239	0.071	0.115	0.135	\$301.67	\$299.25	\$347.66
Daly City, City of	1.52	1.91	1.86	\$1,241.75	\$4,759.30	\$5,604.29	1.06	0.093	0.448	0.531	0.020	0.076	0.106	\$437.88	\$341.95	\$349.91
East Palo Alto, City of	1.47	2.26	2.26	\$134.40	\$865.87	\$1,401.72	0.33	0.009	0.092	0.163	0.001	0.024	0.043	\$462.08	\$292.63	\$288.97
Estero Municipal Improvement District	5.29	5.89	5.01	\$1,584.51	\$1,817.26	\$2,405.02	0.42	0.469	0.624	0.720	0.373	0.479	0.538	\$122.49	\$109.47	\$128.20
Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District	0.63	20.15	18.34	\$30.05	\$56.66	\$63.56	0.03	0.001	0.097	0.098	0.000	0.096	0.096	\$1,058.43	\$32.15	\$35.36
Hayward, City of	2.51	2.53	2.83	\$1,461.35	\$4,268.16	\$6,287.63	1.45	0.195	0.755	1.202	0.042	0.493	0.511	\$264.48	\$248.37	\$222.26
Hillsborough, Town of	2.65	6.51	6.09	\$460.88	\$712.33	\$1,030.60	0.17	0.056	0.308	0.427	0.020	0.259	0.353	\$251.33	\$96.78	\$103.10
Los Trancos County Water District	2.08	2.02	1.81	\$14.73	\$20.00	\$31.18	0.01	0.002	0.002	0.003	0.001	0.002	0.002	\$321.09	\$321.35	\$356.27
Menlo Park, City of	1.97	9.41	4.04	\$144.02	\$269.05	\$1,407.87	0.22	0.014	0.160	0.349	0.005	0.141	0.243	\$341.59	\$67.85	\$157.58
Mid-Peninsula Water District	1.74	3.04	1.84	\$576.26	\$737.76	\$1,557.89	0.40	0.048	0.102	0.129	0.016	0.016	0.016	\$384.03	\$217.32	\$357.76
Millbrae, City of	1.45	1.75	2.71	\$1,168.33	\$1,295.73	\$1,548.93	0.34	0.078	0.113	0.236	0.016	0.051	0.130	\$455.13	\$374.78	\$237.02
Milpitas, City of	2.55	3.89	3.72	\$2,578.37	\$2,762.32	\$4,174.56	0.72	0.361	0.601	0.968	0.108	0.277	0.536	\$253.27	\$166.30	\$170.89
Mountain View, City of	1.61	4.78	4.60	\$2,986.05	\$3,390.77	\$4,493.57	1.20	0.241	0.945	1.207	0.056	0.760	0.822	\$400.01	\$132.20	\$137.47
North Coast County Water District	1.51	1.82	1.99	\$1,814.38	\$1,974.94	\$2,703.70	0.55	0.126	0.185	0.300	0.028	0.073	0.125	\$436.92	\$357.82	\$323.27
Palo Alto, City of	2.99	2.64	2.68	\$1,395.82	\$3,179.26	\$3,943.01	1.24	0.229	0.466	0.592	0.108	0.174	0.220	\$218.51	\$245.08	\$241.09
Purissima Hills Water District	6.24	6.41	10.02	\$149.49	\$184.84	\$410.81	0.02	0.055	0.077	0.288	0.043	0.066	0.278	\$104.10	\$99.12	\$62.67
Redwood City, City of	2.45	2.44	2.40	\$5,058.12	\$6,532.89	\$7,949.23	1.51	0.593	0.828	1.026	0.292	0.489	0.546	\$269.55	\$267.33	\$270.39
San Bruno, City of	1.42	1.90	2.08	\$422.46	\$1,929.49	\$2,297.25	0.68	0.028	0.185	0.266	0.004	0.028	0.082	\$475.79	\$341.86	\$309.46
San Jose, City of (portion of north San Jose)	4.55	4.55	11.25	\$563.22	\$570.75	\$896.29	0.17	0.155	0.157	0.595	0.054	0.054	0.485	\$139.39	\$139.55	\$57.07
Santa Clara, City of	1.72	2.12	2.03	\$5,440.79	\$6,064.03	\$7,683.17	1.77	0.647	1.011	1.233	0.276	0.568	0.633	\$297.51	\$237.57	\$248.23
Stanford University	1.47	2.32	1.90	\$51.96	\$82.22	\$150.44	0.04	0.003	0.009	0.015	0.000	0.001	0.004	\$447.35	\$279.12	\$338.59
Skyline County Water District	2.43	2.19	2.24	\$3,514.67	\$5,431.40	\$5,508.50	0.42	0.488	0.646	0.663	0.341	0.491	0.491	\$305.94	\$335.00	\$329.91
Sunnyvale, City of	1.95	2.15	2.78	\$6,469.89	\$6,614.58	\$10,070.61	2.72	0.640	0.711	1.596	0.199	0.199	0.779	\$333.34	\$303.93	\$231.40
Westborough Water District	0.76	0.81	1.61	\$463.32	\$578.89	\$672.79	0.13	0.015	0.020	0.055	0.001	0.001	0.031	\$867.84	\$815.44	\$407.51

Table 5-2 Program Specific Conservation Evaluation Results by SFPUC Wholesale Customer

<sup>1</sup>Plumbing code savings represent water use savings associated with the natural replacement of plumbing fixtures with water-efficient models (i.e., toilets, showerheads, and washing machines).

### SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area Conservation Evaluation Results

Source: DSS Models

#### 5.3 CONSERVATION EVALUATION RESULTS

Tables 5-3 and 5-4 show the conservation evaluation results as tabulated for the entire SFPUC wholesale customer service area.

Table 5-3
<b>Program-Specific Conservation Evaluation Results for</b>
SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area

SFPUC Wholesale Customer Area Conservation Program	Water Utility Benefit-Cost Ratio (30-Year Period)	Present Value of Water Utility Costs (\$1,000) (30-Year Period)	2030 Water Savings due to Conservation Programs (MGD)	2030 Outdoor Water Savings due to Conservation Programs (MGD)	Cost of Water Saved (\$/AF) (30-Year Period)	Total Potential 2030 Water Savings (MGD)
(Plumbing Code) <sup>1</sup>	NA	NA	-	NA	NA	25.4
Program A	1.95	\$62,601	7.65	3.52	\$280	33.1 <sup>2</sup>
Program B	2.35	\$93,385	14.53	7.77	\$235	$40.0^{3}$
Program C	2.50	\$117,866	19.59	10.56	\$226	45.0 <sup>4</sup>

Source: DSS Models

<sup>1</sup>Plumbing code savings represent water use savings associated with the natural replacement of plumbing fixtures with waterefficient models (i.e., toilets, showerheads, or washing machines).

<sup>2</sup>Includes plumbing code savings and Program A savings.

<sup>3</sup>Includes plumbing code savings and Programs A and B savings.

<sup>4</sup>Includes plumbing code savings and Programs A, B, and C savings.

# Table 5-4Conservation Savings as a Percentage of Total New Demand for<br/>SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area

	2001 DSS Base Year Total Water	2030 DSS Projected Total Water	2030 Demand Increase (Total New Demand)	2030 Wa to C F	ater Savi onservat rograms (MGD)	Water Savings as a Percentage of 2030 Total New Demand			
	Demand <sup>1</sup> (MGD)	Demand <sup>1</sup> (MGD)	from 2001 (MGD)	А	В	С	А	В	С
SFPUC Wholesale Customer Service Area	272	324	52	7.65	14.53	19.59	15%	28%	38%
							So	urce: DS	S Models

<sup>1</sup>SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections (URS 2004). 2030 demand includes plumbing code savings.

As indicated in Tables 5-3 and 5-4, the range in potential water savings in the year 2030 in the wholesale customer service area is 7.65 to 19.59 mgd, in addition to 25.4 mgd savings from the existing plumbing codes. This corresponds with a range in water savings as a percent of 2030 total new water demand from 15 percent to 38 percent over the wholesale customer service area. The range in percent of 2030 total new water demand among individual wholesale customers, shown in Tables 5-1 and 5-2 is considerably greater, reflecting the unique characteristics of the individual wholesale customers. This clearly shows why conservation evaluation at the

individual agency (wholesale customer) level is so important, as general water savings estimates rarely apply to individual agencies.

As can be deduced from Table 5-3, about half the conservation program savings occur from indoor water use reduction. The indoor water use savings are <u>in addition</u> to the savings resulting from the plumbing codes.

The programs have an overall benefit-cost ratio of 1.95 - 2.5 to 1, rendering them cost-effective. The cost of water saved, in the range of \$226-\$280 per acre-foot is lower than the current cost of SFPUC water and considerably lower than future projected cost of SFPUC water. However, the cost to achieve these water savings is considerable, ranging from a present value of about \$60 - \$120 million over the 30-year study period.

#### 5.4 CONCURRENCE PROCESS

Wholesale customers concurred in writing that they reviewed the estimated water savings resulting from the conservation analysis and, to the best of their knowledge, considered the water savings estimate to reflect a reasonable range of potential water conservation savings for long-range planning purposes.

#### 5.4.1 Workshops and One-on-One Meetings

SFPUC organized four workshops to assist the wholesale customers in understanding the modeling process, how each of their inputs would be used to generate results, and how those results will be used for future SFPUC planning purposes. The workshops were given for this study by the SFPUC and its consultants. The consulting team included five individuals who actually performed the modeling (the DSS modelers). One-on-one time was available with DSS modelers during one of the workshops and many wholesale customers used this time to work with their modeler for customizing their model to their agency or for answering technical or process questions. The consultant team also met with each of the wholesale customers one-on-one to go over the conservation analysis and support the development of conservation programs A through C.

In addition to the workshops, SFPUC and its consultants arranged one-on-one meetings with each wholesale customer and BAWSCA. The wholesale customers were additionally provided drafts of their results as model runs were improved and completed. Each round of wholesale customer feedback was addressed by revising the model as needed and making wholesale customer specific adjustments in cases where necessary.

Once the wholesale customers were satisfied with their input and results, they submitted their concurrence forms.

# SECTIONSIX

American Water Works Association, Residential End Uses of Water Study (REUS), 1999.

Bay Area Water Users Association (BAWUA). 2002. 2001–2002 BAWUA Annual Survey.

California Urban Water Agencies (CUWA) Urban Water Conservation Potential, August 2001.

California Urban Water Conservation Council (CUWCC) MOU, December 2002.

California Urban Water Conservation Council (CUWCC). 2000. BMP Costs and Savings Study.

- California Urban Water Conservation Council (CUWCC)BMP Cost and Savings Study, October 2004 version.
- CUWA Urban Water Conservation Potential, August 2001.
- CUWCC BMP 5 Handbook, April 1999.

CUWCC BMP 9 Handbook, June 2001.

CUWCC CII ULFT Savings Study, 1997.

CUWCC Costs and Savings Study, July 2000.

- CUWCC MOU, December 2002.
- CUWCC Potential Best Management Practices, Year 1 Report, June, 2004.
- Maddaus Water Management. 2003. DSS Model.

Maddaus Water Management. 2004. DSS SFPUC Wholesale Customer Models. August 31.

- Nelson, J.O. Residential End Uses of Water and Demand Management Opportunities, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Efficient Water Use in Urban Areas: Innovative Ways of Finding Water for Cities, Kobe, Japan, 1999.
- Raines Melton & Carella, Inc. (RMC). 2004. SFPUC Wholesale Customer Recycled Water Potential. November.
- Reporting Database water Savings Calculations, Memo from David Mitchell to CUWCC R&E Committee, April 2003.
- San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC). 2004. City and County of San Francisco Retail Water Demands and Conservation Potential. November.
- San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC). 2004. SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Purchase Estimates.

URS Corporation. 2004. SFPUC Wholesale Customer Water Demand Projections. November.

Water Conservation Programs - A Planning Manual, American Water Works Association, Manual M52, final draft to be published 2005.

#### Additional Material Reviewed:

Alameda County Water District. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan.

Burlingame, City of. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. November.

CWS - Bear Gulch District. 1998. Urban Water Management Plan. July.

# SECTIONSIX

CWS - Mid Peninsula District. 2001. Urban Water Management Plan. August.

CWS - South San Francisco District. 2000. Urban Water Management District. August.

Coastside County Water District. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Daly City, City of. 2001. Urban Water Management Plan. August.

East Palo Alto, City of. 2003. Urban Water Management Plan. February.

Estero MID-Foster City. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. November.

Hayward, City of. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Hayward, City of. 2002. Water System Master Plan Update. December.

Hillsborough, Town of. 2001. Urban Water Management Plan. January.

Los Trancos County Water District. 2002. Water Demand/Forecast Study. January.

Mid-Peninsula Water District. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Millbrae, City of. 2002. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Milpitas, City of. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Milpitas, City of. 2002. Water Master Plan. December.

Mountain View, City of. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

North Coast County Water District. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan.

Palo Alto, City of. 1993. Water Integrated Resource Plan. Utilities Department Resource Planning. September.

- Palo Alto, City of. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.
- Redwood City, City of. 2002. Urban Water Management Plan. Public Works Services Department. July.
- Redwood City, City of. 2003. Urban Water Management Plan. Public Works Services Department. June.

San Bruno, City of. 2001. Urban Water Management Plan. July.

San Jose, City of (portion of north San Jose). 2001. 2000 Urban Water Management Plan Update. February.

Santa Clara, City of. 1995. 1995 Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Santa Clara, City of. 2002. Water Master Plan.

Stanford University. 2003. Water Conservation, Reuse and Recycling Master Plan. October.

Sunnyvale, City of. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Westborough Water District. 2000. Urban Water Management Plan. December.

Appendix A Description of SFPUC Wholesale Customers

#### SFPUC WHOLESALE CUSTOMER PROFILES

#### Alameda County Water District

The Alameda County Water District service area consists of approximately 103 square miles in southwestern Alameda County. The District supplies water to the cities of Fremont, Newark, and Union City. The combined population of the three cities in the service area in 2001 was 316,523. The District's highest served population is single-family, owner-occupied homes. Only 24.3 percent of the District's water was provided by the San Francisco Public Utilities Commission (SFPUC) in 2001–2002, while groundwater, local surface-water, and other water supplies meet the remaining need.

#### City of Brisbane

The City of Brisbane is located in northern San Mateo County. In 2001, the City had a residential population of approximately 3,174 residents. The service area encompasses approximately 3.5 square miles, nearly half of which is vacant and in the process of being developed. The City operates two water districts concurrently: City of Brisbane Water District and Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District. The City's only source of potable water is the SFPUC.

#### City of Burlingame

The City of Burlingame is situated in central San Mateo County and in 2001 had a population of 30,154 residents. The City's water system serves the entire area within its city limits of approximately 5.5 square miles. The system serves portions of the unincorporated Burlingame Hills area and a few properties in the City of San Mateo and Town of Hillsborough as well. The predominant land use is residential, but about 34 percent of the area served is commercial/industrial. The City receives all of its water from the SFPUC.

#### California Water Service Company–Bear Gulch District

California Water Service Company–Bear Gulch District, located in southern San Mateo County, serves the communities of Atherton, Portola Valley, Woodside, and adjacent unincorporated portions of San Mateo County including West Menlo Park, Ladera, North Fair Oaks, and Menlo Oaks. The District serves approximately 66,000 residents and encompasses nearly 23.8 square miles. The service area comprises predominantly single-family residences, many of which are located on large, landscaped lots. In 2001–2002, water purchased from the SFPUC supplied 90.6 percent of the District's needs, with the balance being supplied by local surface water supply.

#### California Water Service Company–Mid Peninsula District

California Water Service Company–Mid Peninsula District is located in central San Mateo County and serves the Cities of San Carlos and San Mateo and adjacent unincorporated portions of San Mateo County, including The Highlands and Palomar Park. In 2001, the District served a population of 120,856 residents and covered approximately 17 square miles. The western portion of the District is hilly and comprised of low density, single-family housing and open space. Lower elevations to the east are composed of higher-density single-family and multi-family residences intermixed with commercial development. All of the District's water is supplied by the SFPUC.

#### California Water Service Company–South San Francisco District

California Water Service Company–South San Francisco District is located in northern San Mateo County, serves the cities of South San Francisco, Colma, a small portion of Daly City, and the unincorporated area known as Broadmoor, which lies between Daly City and Colma. In 2001, the District served a population of 49,207 and encompassed approximately 11.2 square miles. Land use in the service area comprises both residential and commercial areas. In 2001–2002 approximately 89 percent of the District's water supply was provided by the SFPUC. The remaining was met by groundwater supply.

#### Coastside County Water District

Coastside County Water District provides water to the City of Half Moon Bay and several unincorporated coastal communities in San Mateo County, including El Granada, Miramar, and Princeton by the Sea (Pillar Point Harbor). The District's service area encompasses approximately 14 square miles. In 2001, the District served 18,319 people. The predominant land use is residential surrounded by agricultural or light ranching activities. In 2001–2002 approximately 70 percent of CCWD water was provided by the SFPUC, with the balance provided by local surface water and groundwater.

#### City of Daly City

The City of Daly City is located in northern San Mateo County adjacent to the southern boundary of the City and County of San Francisco. In 2001, the City served a population of 106,117. The service area encompasses approximately 7.4 square miles. The predominant land use is residential with a solid core of retail commercial. Daly City receives its water from two primary sources: local groundwater and surface water provided by the SFPUC. In 2001–2002, Daly City purchased approximately 63 percent of it water supply from the SFPUC.

#### City of East Palo Alto

The City of East Palo Alto is located in southern San Mateo County. The City is a residential community with some commercial and industrial development. The area is characterized with mostly single-family housing. In 2001, the City had a residential population of 24,395. The City's service area encompasses approximately 2.5 square miles. The City's only source of supply is the SFPUC.

#### Estero Municipal Improvement District

Estero Municipal Improvement District is situated in central San Mateo County immediately adjacent to the Bay. The area served is predominantly residential with a broad cross section of commercial and light industrial development. The District's service area consists of the City of Foster City and a part of the City of San Mateo. The District serves a population of nearly 35,000 residents and covers approximately 4 square miles. SFPUC provides 100 percent of the District's water.

#### Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvements District

Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvements District, located in northern San Mateo County, consists primarily of an industrial park development located within the Brisbane City limits, and a small single-family residential enclave. The City of Brisbane operates the District's water utility. The District's service area comprises approximately half of a square mile. In 2001, the service area's residential population was 446, while the transient daytime population was roughly 5,000. The District's only source of potable water is the SFPUC.

#### City of Hayward

The City of Hayward, located in southern Alameda County on the east shore of San Francisco Bay, occupies an area of about 61 square miles and in 2001 served a population of roughly 140,000 residents. A balance exists between single-family and multi-family housing with new growth in its industrial sector. Hayward obtains its entire water supply from the SFPUC.

#### Town of Hillsborough

The Town of Hillsborough is situated in central San Mateo County. The Town is a single-family residential community zoned for residential estates. In 2001, the Town's population was 11,618. The Town's service area consists of approximately 6.25 square miles and includes the Town of Hillsborough and portions of unincorporated San Mateo County. The Town purchases all of its water from the SFPUC.

#### Los Trancos County Water District

Los Trancos County Water District is located in the rural foothills west of Highway 280 near the Town of Portola Valley. It serves a single-family residential population of nearly 740 people and encompasses roughly 4.5 square miles. The District's only source of supply is the SFPUC.

#### City of Menlo Park

The City of Menlo Park is located in southern San Mateo County. The City serves a balanced mix of residential, commercial, and industrial users. Though the population of the city of Menlo Park is over 30,785, the Menlo Park Municipal Water Department served only 12,153 residences in 2001. The remaining portions of Menlo Park are operated by the California Water Service's Bear Gulch District, and O'Conner Water District. The Water Department service area encompasses almost 4 square miles. About 96 percent of the water supply is purchased from the SFPUC.

#### Mid-Peninsula Water District

Mid-Peninsula Water District is located in central San Mateo County, and encompasses approximately 5 square miles. The predominant land use is residential, and in 2001 the District served 26,443 residents living within the City of Belmont, portions of San Carlos, and unincorporated areas. All of the District's water supply is provided by the SFPUC.

#### City of Millbrae

The City of Millbrae is a residential community situated in northern San Mateo County; the area contains regional commercial and light industrial development. In 2001, the City had a residential population of 21,460. The City owns and operates its water utility. The City's service area consists of approximately 3.2 square miles and includes Capuchino High School in San Bruno. The City's only source of water is the SFPUC.

#### City of Milpitas

The City of Milpitas is situated in Santa Clara County, and occupies an area of about 13.6 square miles. In 2001, the service area population was 62,756 residents. The City owns and operates its own water utility. In 2001–2002, the City purchased approximately 59.3 percent of its water supply from the SFPUC, while other water sources and recycled water met the remaining need.

#### City of Mountain View

The City of Mountain View is located in northern Santa Clara County between the cities of Sunnyvale and Palo Alto. The area has a balance of single-family and multi-family housing. In 2001, Mountain View provided water to 71,160 residents. The California Water Service Company serves approximately 625 customers in Mountain View. The City's service area encompasses 11.7 square miles. In 2001–2002, approximately 89.4 percent of the City's water was provided by the SFPUC, and the remaining was provided by groundwater supply and other sources.

#### North Coast County Water District

North Coast County Water District serves the north coastal areas of San Mateo County. The District's boundaries are nearly those of the City of Pacifica. In 2001, the population of Pacifica was 40,457 residents. The District's service area is primarily residential and consists of nearly 12.6 square miles. The SFPUC provides 100 percent of the District's water supply.

#### City of Palo Alto

The City of Palo Alto is the only municipality in California to operate six utilities: electric, water, gas, wastewater collection and treatment, storm drainage, and refuse. In 2001, Palo Alto had a residential population of 59,954. The service area encompasses approximately 26 square miles of land. Palo Alto is situated in northern Santa Clara County. In 2001–2001, approximately 99.4 percent of the City's water supply was provided by the SFPUC, while the remaining need was met by recycled water.

#### Purissima Hills Water District

Purissima Hills Water District provides service to two-thirds of the Town of Los Altos Hills and unincorporated Santa Clara County land to the south. The District covers 8,600 acres, and in 2001 had a population of 6,023 residents and served predominantly single-family homes on minimum 1-acre lots. The largest customer is Foothill College. The District purchases 100 percent of its water from the SFPUC.

#### City of Redwood City

The City of Redwood City is located in southern San Mateo County and supplies water to the City of Redwood City, unincorporated areas of San Mateo County, and portions of the City of San Carlos and the Town of Woodside. In 2001, the City's service area included 81,888 residents and covered roughly 35 square miles. The City purchases all of its potable water from the SFPUC.

#### City of San Bruno

The City of San Bruno is situated in northern San Mateo County. The City is a residential community with regional commercial and light industrial development. The City had a residential population of 40,727 in 2001. The City's service area covers nearly 6.1 square miles and includes the City of San Bruno and unincorporated areas of San Mateo County. Two primary water sources exist: local groundwater and surface water purchased from the SFPUC. In 2001–2002, the City purchased approximately 64 percent of its water supply from the SFPUC.

#### City of San Jose

The City of San Jose is located in Santa Clara County. The SFPUC serves an area of northern San Jose encompassing 5.3 square miles of land that is predominantly industrial with some residential and commercial land use. In 2001, the service area had a residential population of 11,098. In 2001–2002, the SFPUC provided approximately 96 percent of the service area's water. Recycled water supplied the remaining 4 percent.

#### City of Santa Clara

The City of Santa Clara is located at the south end of San Francisco Bay in Santa Clara County. In 2001, the City had a residential population of 104,349. The northern area of the City is predominantly commercial/industrial, while the southern part is primarily residential. The City's service area encompasses nearly 19.4 square miles. Local groundwater is the primary source of potable water. In 2001–2002, the SFPUC provided approximately 16.2 percent of the City's water. Groundwater, recycled water, and other water sources fulfill the remaining need.

#### Skyline County Water District

Skyline County Water District is centrally located in San Mateo County. The District is a rural residential community. In 2001, the District had a population of 1,210. The District's service area consists of about 17 square miles including a portion of the Town of Woodside and unincorporated areas of San Mateo County along Highway 35 (Skyline Boulevard) between Highway 84 and Highway 92. The SFPUC is the sole source of water for the District.

#### Stanford University

Stanford University lands encompass approximately 8,200 acres in northern Santa Clara County. The central campus, which is the main area served by the Stanford Utilities Division, consist of approximately 2,000 acres of 3.1 square miles. The 2001-02 population was approximately 19,700. Stanford has three source of water supply: water purchased from the SFPUC, local ground water, and local surface water supply. In 2001-2002, Stanford purchased approximately 68 percent of its water supply from the SFPUC.

#### City of Sunnyvale

The City of Sunnyvale is located in Santa Clara County. The City is an urban industrial and residential community. In 2001, the City reported a population of 131,356 residents. The service area for the water utility is contiguous with the City limits; however, California Water Service serves several small areas within the City. The service area encompasses nearly 24 square miles. In 2001–2002, approximately 43.6 percent of the City's water supply was provided by the SFPUC. The other sources of water are groundwater, recycled water, and other sources.

#### Westborough Water District

Westborough Water District is located in northern San Mateo County within the City of South San Francisco. In 2001, the District served a population of 10,017 residents and has a service area of approximately 1 square mile. The District provides both water and sewer service. The District acquires 100 percent of its water from the SFPUC.

The following table (Table A-1) is a summary of current conservation BMPs being implemented by the SFPUC wholesale customers in the fiscal year 2004-2005.

Current Conservation BMPs Being Implemented by SFPUC Wholesale Customers FY 2004/2005															
SFPUC Wholesale Customer	Res. Water Surveys (BMP 1)	Res. Retrofit (BMP 2)	System Audits, Leaks (BMP 3)	Metering with Commodity Rates (BMP 4)	Large Landscape Cons. Audits (BMP 5)	Water Budgets (BMP 5)	Res. Clothes Washer Reb. (BMP 6)	Pub. Info. (BMP 7)	School Education (BMP 8)	Com. Water Audits (BMP 9)	ULF Toilet/Urinals (BMP 9)	Cons. Pricing (BMP 11)	Conserv. Coordinator (BMP 12)	Water Waste Prohibition (BMP 13)	Res. ULF Toilet Rebates (BMP 14)
Alameda County Water District	NCE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NCE
Brisbane, City of				$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Burlingame, City of	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
California Water Service Company - Bear Gulch District	NCE	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~			$\checkmark$	~	~	$\checkmark$
California Water Service Company - Mid-Peninsula District	NCE	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
California Water Service Company - South San Francisco District	NCE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~			~	~	~	$\checkmark$
Coastside County Water District		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Daly City, City of	NCE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NCE	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	NCE
East Palo Alto, City of		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
Estero Municipal Improvement District			$\checkmark$	~			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				~		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District				$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	
Hayward, City of		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Hillsborough, Town of				$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$			
Los Trancos County Water District		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	Е	Е	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	E	E	Е	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	
Menlo Park, City of			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	

# Table A-1
Curre	ent Con	iservati		rs dell	FY 20	04/2	nteu by 005	561	FUC W	noiesa	le Custo	omers			
SFPUC Wholesale Customer	Res. Water Surveys (BMP 1)	Res. Retrofit (BMP 2)	System Audits, Leaks (BMP 3)	Metering with Commodity Rates (BMP 4)	Large Landscape Cons. Audits (BMP 5)	Water Budgets (BMP 5)	Res. Clothes Washer Reb. (BMP 6)	Pub. Info. (BMP 7)	School Education (BMP 8)	Com. Water Audits (BMP 9)	ULF Toilet/Urinals (BMP 9)	Cons. Pricing (BMP 11)	Conserv. Coordinator (BMP 12)	Water Waste Prohibition (BMP 13)	Res. ULF Toilet Rebates (BMP 14)
Mid-Peninsula Water District	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$			
Millbrae, City of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Milpitas, City of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Mountain View, City of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
North Coast County Water District	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Palo Alto, City of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Purissima Hills Water District	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$				$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Redwood City, City of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$
San Bruno, City of				$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$			
San Jose, City of (portion of north San Jose)	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	~	$\checkmark$
Santa Clara, City of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Skyline County Water District		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$		Е			$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$
Stanford University	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Sunnyvale, City of	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Westborough Water District	$\checkmark$		$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$			$\checkmark$					$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$

Table A-1
<b>Current Conservation BMPs Being Implemented by SFPUC Wholesale Customers</b>
FV 2004/2005

Some programs may not be fully implemented to meet current BMP requirements. NCE - Not Cost Effective Today

E - Exempt

P - Planning to implement soon

Source: BAWSCA

Appendix **B** 

# MEASURE SCREENING PROCESS

Using the initial list of 75 potential conservation measures, a screening process was undertaken to develop a list of measures considered suitable for the region and to eliminate those measures that are not as well suited to the wholesale customers as other potential measures. It was intended that all measures resulting from the screening process could apply in general to the Bay Area. Each measure was evaluated qualitatively by a team including a representative from the SFPUC, a representative from BAWSCA, a representative from the wholesale customer group, and a member of the consultant team. Each potential measure was screened based on four qualitative criteria (listed below), scored on a scale of 1 to 5, with 1 being the lowest score and 5 being the highest. A maximum score of 20 was possible for each measure. Measures with low scores were eliminated from further consideration, while those with high scores were included in the short list of conservation measures for further evaluation. The results of how each measure scored in each criterion are shown in this appendix. This appendix also provides a detailed explanation of the scoring process.

## Qualitative Screening Criteria:

- Technology/Market Maturity Refers to whether or not the technology needed to implement the conservation measure, such as an irrigation control device, is commercially available and supported by the local service industry. A measure was scored low if the technology was not commercially available or high if the technology was widely available in the service area. A device may be screened out if it is not yet commercially available in the region.
- Service Area Match Refers to whether or not the measure or related technology is appropriate for the area's climate, building stock, or lifestyle. For example, promoting Xeriscape gardens for multi-family or commercial sites may not be appropriate where water use analysis indicates little outdoor irrigation. Thus, a measure scored low in this category if it was not well suited for the area's characteristics and could not save water. A measure scored high in this criterion if it was well suited for the area and could save water.
- Customer Acceptance/Equity Refers to whether or not retail customers within the wholesale customer service area would be willing to implement and accept the conservation measures. For example, would retail customers attend homeowner irrigation classes and implement lessons learned from these classes. If not, then the water savings associated with this measure would not be achieved and a measure with this characteristic would score low for this criterion. This criterion also refers to retail customer equitability (i.e. one category of retail customers receives benefit while another pays the costs without receiving benefits). Retail customer acceptance may be also based on convenience, economics, perceived fairness, or aesthetics.
- **Relative Effectiveness of Measure Available** Refers to the selection of the most effective measure if alternate conservation measures address the same end use. If the measures are equally effective the most appropriate was selected (e.g. the measure was easier to implement or less expensive to implement).

		Criteria and	Criteria and Guideline							
Score	Technology/Market Maturity	Service Area Match	Customer Acceptance/Equity	Relation to Other Measures Available						
5	Technology is commonly available for sale in retail stores	Perfectly suited for the water service areas and will save water	Customers will use the measure enthusiastically	Best way to save water in this group of measures						
4	Technology available for sale such as over the Internet but not in retail stores	Will work in the service area but water savings will be lower than in other areas	Customers will adopt the measure slowly over time	Tied with other measure(s) for best way to save water in this group						
3	Technology has been tested and proven but not mass produced	Marginally suited for service area	Customers will not reject the measure, may be slight inequities	Better measures are available						
2	Technology has been tested and proven but not commercially developed into a workable product	May be suited but considerable uncertainty	Measure would have to be mandatory because considerable customer opposition expected	Unattractive based on rankings for other criteria						
1	Technology unproven	Not suited for service areas	Customer likely to reject measure if voluntary or believe it to be unfair if mandatory	Not a good way to save water in this group of measures; poorly rated						

# Table B-1Scoring Guidelines Used to Rate Measures

Table B-2
<b>Results of Screening Potential Conservation Measures for</b>
SFPUC Wholesale Customers

Measure					teria		Pass
	Device or Program	Implementing Agency	Technology Market Maturity	Service Area Match	Customer Acceptance/ Equity	Better Measure Available	Score (Pass? Yes or No)
Single-Fam	ily Residential – Indoor						
Existing Acco	ounts						
1. Rebates for	or 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	4	4	4	4	16 (No)
2. Promote l	nome leak detection and repair	Water Utility	5	4	3	5	17 (Yes)
3. Sponsor in programs	ncreased school education	Water Utility	5	5	3	2	15 (No)
New Homes							
4. Require h machines	igh efficiency clothes washing	City/County	5	5	2	3	15 (No)
5. Require in	nsulation of hot water piping	City/County	5	5	2	2	14 (No)
6. Rebates f	or 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	4	4	4	5	17 (Yes)
7. Require 6 new home	/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets for es	City/County	4	4	2	3	13 (No)
Single-Fam	ily Residential – Outdoor						
Existing Hom	les						_
8. Regulatio automatic rebates.	ns for rain sensor/shut-offs on systems. Water Utility to provide	Water Utility	5	2	4	3	14 (No)
9. ET contro	ller rebates	Water Utility	4	4	4	5	17 (Yes)
10. Provide a gardens	dditional Xeriscape demonstration	Water Utility	5	5	3	3	16 (No)
11. Provide X training a houses	feriscape education and staff t retail garden/irrigation supply	Water Utility	5	5	5	5	20 (Yes)
12. Provide h	omeowner irrigation classes	Water Utility	5	5	4	5	19 (Yes)
13. Provide fi timers	ree trigger shut-off valves and hose	Water Utility	5	5	4	2	16 (No)
New Homes							
14. Provide E	T Controller Rebate	Water Utility	4	5	4	5	18 (Yes)
15. New hom developer	e efficiency rating requirement for s.	City/County	5	3	2	2	12 (No)

Table B-2
<b>Results of Screening Potential Conservation Measures for</b>
SFPUC Wholesale Customers

Measure		Criteria				
Device or Program	Implementing Agency	Technology Market Maturity	Service Area Match	Customer Acceptance/ Equity	Better Measure Available	Score (Pass? Yes or No)
16. Require model homes be landscaped with low water use landscaping	City/County	5	5	2	4	16 (No)
17. Promote new home efficiency award programs with developers	Water Utility	5	4	4	2	15 (No)
18. Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	Water Utility	5	5	3	5	18 (Yes)
19. Landscape requirements for new homes (turf limitations/regulations)	City/County	5	5	2	4	16 (No)
20. Provide rebates for rain sensor/shut-offs on automatic systems	Water Utility	5	2	4	2	13 (No)
21. Require developer financed off-site conservation projects	Water Utility	5	4	2	3	14 (No)
Multi-Family Residential – Indoor Existing Accounts						
22. Offer rebate incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	Water Utility	5	5	5	5	20 (Yes)
23. Offer rebate incentives for retrofitting sub- metering	Water Utility	5	5	4	5	19 (Yes)
24. Require regulations on sub-metering procedures (to protect tenant)	Water Utility	5	5	1	2	13 (No)
25. Provide rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	4	4	4	3	15 (No)
New Development						
26. Provide rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets	Water Utility	4	4	4	4	16 (No)
27. Require sub-metering multifamily units	City/County	5	5	2	5	17 (Yes)
28. Provide rebates for efficient clothes washers (such as horizontal axis)	Water Utility	5	5	5	5	20 (Yes)
29. Require 6/3 dual flush or 4-liter toilets for new homes	City/County	4	4	2	3	13 (No)

Table B-2
<b>Results of Screening Potential Conservation Measures for</b>
SFPUC Wholesale Customers

Measure		Cri	teria		Pass	
Device or Program	Implementing Agency	Technology Market Maturity	Service Area Match	Customer Acceptance/ Equity	Better Measure Available	Score (Pass? Yes or No)
Multi-Family Residential – Outdoor		_				
Existing Accounts	1			•		
30. Provide rebates for ET controllers	Water Utility	4	4	4	5	17 (Yes)
31. Provide rebates for adding rain-sensor retrofits on existing controllers	Water Utility	5	2	4	2	13 (No)
New Development						
32. Provide rebates for ET controllers	Water Utility	4	5	4	5	18 (Yes)
33. Provide rebates for rain sensor/shut-offs on automatic irrigation systems	Water Utility	5	2	4	2	13 (No)
34. Require new home efficiency rating system of developers	City/County	5	3	2	2	12 (No)
35. Promote new home efficiency award program with developers	Water Utility	5	4	4	2	15 (No)
36. Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations/regulations)	Water Utility Funds	5	5	3	4	17 (Yes)
37. Require efficient irrigation system design standards	City/County	5	5	2	4	16 (No)
<ol> <li>Promote developer financed off-site development conservation projects with private companies</li> </ol>	Water Utility	5	4	2	3	14 (No)
Commercial/Industrial/Institutional – I	Indoor					
Existing Accounts	1			T	n	
39. Rebates for replacing high use commercial urinals with 0.5 gal/flush	Water Utility	5	5	3	2	15 (No)
40. Require 1.6 gal/flush toilet to be installed at the time of sale	City/County	5	5	2	2	14 (No)
41. Offer rebate incentives for replacement or lease of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	Water Utility	5	5	5	5	20 (Yes)
42. Require car washes to recycle water	City/County	4	4	2	2	12 (No)
43. Offer rebates for meters on cooling towers	Water Utility	5	5	3	3	16 (No)

Table B-2
<b>Results of Screening Potential Conservation Measures for</b>
SFPUC Wholesale Customers

Measure	Criteria				Pass	
Device or Program	Implementing Agency	Technology Market Maturity	Service Area Match	Customer Acceptance/ Equity	Better Measure Available	Score (Pass? Yes or No)
44. Initiate cooling tower regulations	Water Utility	4	5	2	2	13 (No)
45. Provide free restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	Water Utility	5	5	4	5	19 (Yes)
46. Provide free focused water audits for hotels/motels	Water Utility	5	5	5	5	20 (Yes)
47. Promote WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	Water Utility	5	5	4	4	18 (Yes)
48. Provide rebates for hotel retrofits (w/financial assistance)	Water Utility	5	5	4	4	18 (Yes)
49. Provide employee education programs	Water Utility	5	5	4	2	16 (No)
50. Sponsor award programs for water savings by businesses	Water Utility	5	5	5	5	20 (Yes)
51. Provide rebates for capacity buy-back for process improvements	Water Utility	5	5	3	3	16 (No)
52. Provide rebates for X-Ray recycling units	Water Utility	4	2	3	2	11 (No)
53. Provide rebates for replacement of inefficient water using equipment	Water Utility	5	5	4	4	18 (Yes)
New Accounts		ii				
54. Require car washes to recycle water	City/County	5	3	2	1	11 (No)
55. Require efficient (such as horizontal axis) clothes washers	City/County	5	5	2	2	14 (No)
56. Provide rebates for waterless urinals	Water Utility	4	3	3	2	12 (No)
57. Promote and /or provide rebates for laundry recycle systems at commercial laundries	Water Utility	4	3	3	2	12 (No)
58. Require self-closing faucets	City/County	5	5	2	3	15 (No)
59. Require efficient process equipment for selected businesses (restaurants, hotels/motels, office sanitation)	City/County	5	4	2	2	13 (No)
60. Initiate requirement to prohibit once through cooling and non-recycling fountains, other non efficient water features	City/County	5	3	2	3	13 (No)
61. Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	City/County	5	5	2	5	17 (Yes)

Table B-2
<b>Results of Screening Potential Conservation Measures for</b>
SFPUC Wholesale Customers

Measure			Criteria					
Device or Program	Implementing Agency	Technology Market Maturity	Service Area Match	Customer Acceptance/ Equity	Better Measure Available	Score (Pass? Yes or No)		
Commercial/Industrial/Institutional – C	Dutdoor							
Existing Accounts				1				
62. Provide rebates for ET irrigation controllers for irrigation accounts	Water Utility	4	4	4	5	17 (Yes)		
63. Provide rebates for adding rain-sensor retrofits on existing controllers	Water Utility	5	2	4	2	13 (No)		
64. Initiate requirement for financial incentives for water use complying with budget	Water Utility	5	5	3	4	17 (Yes)		
65. Provide financial incentives, rebates for irrigation upgrades	Water Utility	5	5	4	5	19 (Yes)		
New Accounts	·							
66. Provide rebates for rain sensor/shut-offs on automatic systems	Water Utility	5	2	4	2	13 (No)		
67. Require dedicated irrigation meters	Water Utility	5	5	5	5	20 (Yes)		
68. Provide ET controller rebates	Water Utility	4	5	4	5	18 (Yes)		
69. Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations/regulations)	Water Utility Funds	5	5	3	4	17 (Yes)		
70. Require efficient irrigation system design standards	City/County	5	5	2	4	16 (No)		
71. Initiate requirement for financial incentives for complying with water use budget	Water Utility	5	5	3	4	17 (Yes)		
Water Utility / City – Indoor	Water Utility / City – Indoor							
72. Provide installation of waterless urinals, dual flush toilets	Water Utility	4	4	4	4	16 (No)		
73. Provide Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	Water Utility	5	4	4	4	17 (Yes)		
Water Utility / City – Outdoor and System								
74. Provide public swimming pool water audits	Water Utility	3	3	4	2	12 (No)		
75. Provide ET controllers	Water Utility	4	4	5	5	18 (Yes)		

Ratings are on a scale of 1 through 5 with 5 being the most acceptable

**Appendix C** 

Additional Assumptions for Evaluation of DSS Conservation Measures

# Appendix C Additional Assumptions for Evaluation of DSS Conservation Measures

	Measure	Parameter	Assumption
1.	Residential Water Surveys		None beyond Table 3-2
2.	Residential Retrofit		None beyond Table 3-2
		End use water savings	30 percent, double BMP 5 normal value to reflect additional savings when large accounts are surveyed versus accounts with average water use that are represented in the model
3.	Large Landscape	Water application rate, determines how many acres are irrigated on mixed use meters	6.0 feet per year, ETo for turf grass with 50 percent irrigation efficiency
4.	Water Budgets		None beyond Table 3-2
5.	Clothes Washer Rebate		None beyond Table 3-2
6.	Public Information Program		None beyond Table 3-2
7.	Commercial Water Audits	End use water savings	24 percent, double BMP 7 normal value to reflect additional savings when large accounts are surveyed versus accounts with average water use that are represented in the model
8.	CII ULF Toilet Rebates		None beyond Table 3-2
9a.	Single-Family Residential	Rebates provided per dwelling unit	2.0 (CUWCC MOU, Exhibit 6)
	OLF TOHER REDates	Free riders	32 percent CUWCC ULFT Free rider report
9b.	Multifamily Residential ULF	Rebates provided per dwelling unit	1.0 (CUWCC MOU, Exhibit 6)
	Tollet Rebates	Free riders	19 percent CUWCC ULFT Free rider report
10.	Residential Retrofit on Resale		None beyond Table 3-2
11.	Home Leak Detection Repair		None beyond Table 3-2
12.	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	Rebates provided per dwelling unit	2.0 Single-Family (CUWCC MOU, Exhibit 6) 1.0 multifamily
13.	ET Controller Rebates		None beyond Table 3-2
14.	Xeriscape Education Classes		None beyond Table 3-2
15.	Homeowner Irrigation Classes		None beyond Table 3-2
16.	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes		None beyond Table 3-2
17.	Coin-op clothes washer rebates		None beyond Table 3-2
18.	Incentives for retrofitting sub-meters		None beyond Table 3-2
19.	Require sub-metering multifamily units		None beyond Table 3-2
20.	Rebate efficient clothes washers		None beyond Table 3-2
21.	Enforce landscape requirements		None beyond Table 3-2

# Appendix C Additional Assumptions for Evaluation of DSS Conservation Measures

Measure	Parameter	Assumption
22. Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles		None beyond Table 3-2
23. Hotel Audits		None beyond Table 3-2
24. Hotel WAVE Program		None beyond Table 3-2
25. Hotel Retrofit		None beyond Table 3-2
26. Award program for water savings by businesses		None beyond Table 3-2
27. Replace inefficient water using equipment		None beyond Table 3-2
28. Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings		None beyond Table 3-2
29. Financial incentives for complying with water use budget		None beyond Table 3-2
30. Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades		None beyond Table 3-2
31. Require dedicated irrigation meters		None beyond Table 3-2
32. Water Utility/City Department water reduction goals		None beyond Table 3-2

Appendix D

**SFPUC Wholesale Customer Conservation Information** 

This Appendix contains results presented to SFPUC wholesale customers during the study. Each sub-appendix (one for each wholesale customer) contains the following tables:

- Results of conservation Measures Evaluation
- Summary of Current Conservation Programs
- Summary Conservation Measures Selected in DSS Conservation Programs
- Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved (30-Year Analysis)

A brief description of the factors summarized in the attached tables is below.

Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Water Utility Benefit-Cost Ration is calculated by taking the present value of the water saved (present value of the benefits <i>based on water's projected value in the year 2015</i> ) divided by the present value of the total utility cost of implementing a measure over the 30-year analysis period.
Total Community (Utility-Customer) Benefit-Cost Ratio	Total Community (Utility-Customer) Benefit-Cost Ratio is calculated by taking the present value of the water saved plus reduced customer energy costs (present value of utility water benefits and customer energy benefits <i>based on water's projected value in the year 2015</i> ) divided by the present value of the total utility and retail customer costs of implementing a measure over its life. The tables in Appendix D and the DSS model use the term "community." Also referred to in the report as "utility-customer".
"30-year" Average Water Savings	"30-year" Annual Average Water Savings represents the water savings for implementing a conservation measure averaged over the 30-year analysis period.
Cost of Water Saved (Cost of Savings per Unit Volume)	Cost of Water Saved is calculated by taking the present value of the water utility costs and dividing by the cumulative amount of water saved over the 30-year analysis period. It is expressed as \$/MG in the Appendix D tables.
Net Utility Benefits	Net Utility Benefit is the present value of the utility benefits less the present value of the utility costs. Measures with benefit-cost ratios less than 1.0 have a negative Net Utility Benefit.
First Five Years Utility Cost	First Five Years Utility Cost is the cost (sum of the actual costs) to the utility of implementing the conservation measure during the first five years of the measure.
Present Value of Water- Utility Costs	The present value of the total utility cost of implementing a measure over the 30-year analysis period
2030 Water Savings due to Conservation Programs (Cumulative Water Saved)	The amount of water saved due to the implementation of a conservation program in the year 2030. Also referred to as "Cumulative Water Saved" in the Appendix D graph.

Appendix D1 Alameda County Water District

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Alameda County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	0.7	1.3	0.182	\$1,117	(\$728,051)	\$582,863
2	Residential Retrofit	1.4	7.4	0.048	\$583	\$122,938	\$324,553
3	Large Landscape Conservation	0.7	0.6	0.085	\$1,125	(\$371,822)	\$308,092
4	Water Budgets	14.2	14.2	0.631	\$54	\$5,117,703	\$388,120
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	1.2	2.1	0.058	\$689	\$78,689	\$482,097
6	Public Information Program	0.7	1.7	0.206	\$1,154	(\$836,231)	\$743,780
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.6	2.1	0.227	\$480	\$698,967	\$742,507
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	2.5	1.4	0.005	\$323	\$24,814	\$18,652
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	0.5	0.2	0.503	\$1,475	(\$4,005,159)	\$5,155,240
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	4.1	0.3	0.615	\$191	\$4,071,508	\$838,565
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.3	0.3	0.039	\$3,646	(\$1,178,131)	\$926,294
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	0.8	0.6	0.467	\$908	(\$769,295)	\$2,778,748
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.5	0.4	0.092	\$1,343	(\$657,871)	\$450,424
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	6.4	0.3	0.050	\$109	\$334,292	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	2.9	0.2	0.022	\$243	\$116,054	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.4	0.0	0.006	\$1,662	(\$59,877)	\$38,662
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	1.2	5.4	0.007	\$634	\$12,784	\$55,125
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.7	1.0	0.016	\$444	\$57,097	\$46,853
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	3.0	1.4	0.048	\$241	\$258,394	\$47,830
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	5.0	19.5	0.063	\$162	\$464,197	\$123,663
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	5.1	0.7	0.046	\$138	\$293,709	\$20,967

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Alameda County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	5.9	32.1	0.042	\$133	\$306,960	\$69,063
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	8.9	5.8	0.062	\$85	\$466,308	\$34,688
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	48.3	2.1	0.004	\$16	\$34,305	\$426
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	2.4	1.3	0.033	\$318	\$161,732	\$69,500
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	1.9	0.6	0.009	\$377	\$33,758	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.9	0.2	0.041	\$817	(\$31,207)	\$215,594
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	9.0	9.0	0.005	\$79	\$34,354	\$1,138
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	5.6	2.0	0.377	\$133	\$2,641,928	\$361,142
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.3	0.7	0.006	\$562	\$10,018	\$11,074
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.0	0.4	0.026	\$235	\$138,551	\$4,400
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	6.5	1.4	0.085	\$116	\$609,365	\$64,606

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Alameda County Water District July 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Water Budgets	4
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Commercial Water Audits (CA BMP 9)	7
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Incentives for Replacement of Clothes Washers in Coin-operated Laundries	17
Rebate Efficient Clothes Washers	20
Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles (CA BMP 9)	22
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs ACWD July 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X <sup>1</sup>	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4	X	X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7	X	X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9				X <sup>2</sup>
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X <sup>3</sup>
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12		X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13		X <sup>4</sup>	X <sup>4</sup>	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs ACWD July 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14			X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				<b>X</b> <sup>5</sup>
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17	X	X	X	
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19			Х	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20	X	X	Х	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22	X	X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	Х	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X <sup>6</sup>
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs ACWD July 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29		X	X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30		X	X	
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32			X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		11	16	20	12

<sup>1</sup> ACWD's SFR program is considered as effective as a survey program, and includes seasonal irrigation reminders to all SFR accounts, leak detection at times of meter reading, and notification of high water consumption to high water-using SFR accounts. ACWD has already met the 10-year BMP goals for MFR surveys.

 $^{2}$  ACWD currently offers ULFT rebates to low-income MFRs and has filed a Cost Effectiveness-Exemption with the CUWCC for a large-scale SFR and MFR rebate program. However, a large-scale program may be cost-effective if grant funding becomes available.

<sup>3</sup> ACWD currently has a leak detection program; however, it does not fit the exact program parameters described in this report. Customers are notified during time of meter reading if running water is notices and to check for leaks. Follow-ups include additional meter readings, letters to the customer if continued meter running is observed, and site visit to determine leak location.

<sup>4</sup> ACWD is part of a regional grant program sponsored by the Department of Water Resources to rebate up 124 ET controllers in the service area through 2007. Outcome of this grant program will help determine cost effectiveness of an ET controller program.

<sup>5</sup> ACWD provides information through the mail and at office location on water efficient plantings to all customers, new or old. ACWD currently does not specifically work with developers at the time of new development. Service area City Planning Departments have landscape ordinances in place that developers must adhere to.

<sup>6</sup> ACWD currently offers awards to landscape customers who meet or exceed their water budget during the previous year.



#### FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Alameda County Water District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$8,507	\$14,977	\$15,321
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	5.1	7.1	8.3	8.6

Appendix D2 Brisbane, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Brisbane August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	0.7	0.9	0.001	\$2,933	(\$12,717)	\$9,004
2	Residential Retrofit						
3	Large Landscape Conservation	0.8	0.7	0.002	\$2,246	(\$7,933)	\$11,643
4	Water Budgets	67.2	67.2	0.017	\$29	\$373,899	\$4,945
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	1.8	1.6	0.001	\$1,156	\$5,717	\$7,480
6	Public Information Program	0.9	1.6	0.002	\$2,264	(\$3,977)	\$10,217
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.1	1.1	0.004	\$1,771	\$7,694	\$43,409
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	7.9	4.4	0.000	\$263	\$8,010	\$1,306
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.1	0.4	0.004	\$1,817	\$8,704	\$57,074
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	7.1	0.5	0.005	\$277	\$105,063	\$9,233
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.4	0.4	0.000	\$6,591	(\$13,874)	\$12,680
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.3	0.9	0.004	\$1,551	\$19,753	\$40,887
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.3	0.9	0.002	\$1,395	\$8,087	\$7,570
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses						
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	0.8	0.1	0.001	\$2,484	(\$7,375)	\$8,250
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.2	0.0	0.000	\$9,526	(\$2,667)	\$878
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	4.7	10.1	0.001	\$435	\$17,060	\$4,883
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	0.6	0.2	0.000	\$3,460	(\$128)	\$168
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	0.9	0.3	0.001	\$1,967	(\$1,090)	\$4,094
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	6.1	11.8	0.000	\$346	\$3,035	\$638
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	5.2	0.7	0.006	\$346	\$94,412	\$5,966

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Brisbane August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.001	\$134	\$18,119	\$1,405
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	11.5	13.7	0.002	\$169	\$33,711	\$1,875
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	62.7	5.0	0.000	\$31	\$2,421	\$23
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	2.4	2.4	0.001	\$816	\$11,435	\$4,838
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.0	0.0	0.000	\$60,020	(\$22,324)	\$11,093
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	6.9	6.9	0.002	\$267	\$42,550	\$2,004
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	24.0	8.5	0.010	\$80	\$202,009	\$4,862
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.0	0.6	0.001	\$1,826	(\$99)	\$3,362
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Brisbane July 13, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Brisbane August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1				X
Residential Retrofit	2				X
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4		X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X		X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9				X
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10			X	
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12				X
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Brisbane August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				Х
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				Х
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				Х
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22				X
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23			X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Brisbane August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28		X	X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				Х
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				Х
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		2	4	7	25

FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Brisbane August 30, 2004



	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$46	\$73	\$100
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.16	0.16	0.20	0.21

Appendix D3 Burlingame, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Burlingame August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.4	1.7	0.016	\$1,400	\$107,217	\$65,270
2	Residential Retrofit	2.8	7.4	0.020	\$752	\$306,391	\$183,335
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.3	0.005	\$1,232	\$37,567	\$18,644
4	Water Budgets	14.2	14.2	0.022	\$141	\$453,498	\$34,442
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.3	2.0	0.006	\$930	\$74,898	\$63,458
6	Public Information Program	1.7	2.6	0.018	\$1,231	\$166,325	\$68,144
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.5	1.4	0.025	\$1,308	\$181,817	\$226,174
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	19.2	10.7	0.004	\$110	\$89,099	\$5,520
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.3	0.6	0.051	\$1,544	\$267,829	\$548,567
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10.1	0.7	0.063	\$200	\$1,294,391	\$88,740
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.003	\$4,067	(\$56,436)	\$84,971
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.0	1.4	0.049	\$992	\$551,952	\$319,102
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.2	0.8	0.009	\$1,519	\$33,205	\$51,515
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	11.8	0.9	0.035	\$157	\$669,764	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	5.8	0.4	0.017	\$317	\$297,384	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.0	0.1	0.000	\$1,786	\$108	\$2,131
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.7	7.9	0.001	\$561	\$19,711	\$7,875
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	0.9	0.3	0.001	\$2,161	(\$1,170)	\$7,602
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	1.5	0.4	0.004	\$1,206	\$29,855	\$15,160
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	7.4	14.5	0.002	\$282	\$44,616	\$7,350
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	4.6	0.7	0.003	\$391	\$50,876	\$3,851

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Burlingame August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.0	0.010	\$133	\$219,521	\$16,962
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	12.7	15.0	0.020	\$153	\$412,747	\$20,625
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	67.7	5.4	0.001	\$29	\$28,789	\$253
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	1.4	1.4	0.011	\$1,388	\$67,125	\$97,725
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	1.9	0.5	0.003	\$990	\$28,147	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.3	0.1	0.001	\$6,870	(\$81,964)	\$66,429
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	10.8	10.8	0.000	\$172	\$4,786	\$144
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	6.5	2.3	0.015	\$299	\$271,492	\$31,072
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.2	0.7	0.000	\$1,537	\$803	\$1,279
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	4.0	0.9	0.007	\$485	\$111,953	\$21,571

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## Final Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Burlingame June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Burlingame June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4			X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7		X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8			X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13			X	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Burlingame June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17			X	
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20			X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25			X	
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X
## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Burlingame June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32			X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		5	9	19	13



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Burlingame August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,600	\$2,073	\$3,027
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.68	0.79	0.92	1.05

Appendix D4 California Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation California Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	3.3	3.6	0.071	\$592	\$1,115,848	\$122,390
2	Residential Retrofit	2.6	7.0	0.024	\$800	\$356,563	\$228,770
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.5	1.3	0.012	\$1,259	\$92,674	\$48,676
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.0	1.8	0.012	\$1,038	\$145,679	\$151,805
6	Public Information Program	3.9	5.2	0.096	\$534	\$1,654,540	\$162,462
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.6	1.1	0.036	\$1,242	\$294,106	\$316,032
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	9.4	5.2	0.003	\$223	\$73,067	\$9,766
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.6	0.7	0.077	\$1,218	\$690,478	\$657,621
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10.7	0.8	0.095	\$189	\$1,964,547	\$109,594
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	1.1	1.1	0.014	\$2,242	\$40,364	\$202,655
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.6	1.9	0.105	\$761	\$1,460,247	\$527,260
13	ET Controller Rebates	3.4	2.3	0.049	\$544	\$721,767	\$99,469
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	52.3	3.3	0.156	\$35	\$3,173,453	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	24.3	1.4	0.073	\$75	\$1,444,026	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	3.7	0.4	0.003	\$486	\$38,359	\$4,052
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.4	2.7	0.001	\$598	\$24,128	\$10,553
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	4.4	1.4	0.000	\$449	\$5,559	\$957
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	7.3	2.0	0.001	\$252	\$14,607	\$674
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	0.7	1.3	0.000	\$3,121	(\$520)	\$1,675
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf	6.8	0.9	0.002	\$268	\$34,542	\$1,730

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation California Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
	limitations / regulations)						
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.4	42.0	0.006	\$131	\$129,657	\$9,831
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	6.2	4.0	0.002	\$316	\$41,455	\$4,706
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	33.5	1.5	0.000	\$58	\$3,200	\$58
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	5.9	3.3	0.001	\$331	\$21,896	\$2,635
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	2.9	0.6	0.005	\$621	\$63,712	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.5	0.1	0.003	\$3,997	(\$71,365)	\$80,823
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	12.7	12.7	0.000	\$146	\$17	\$0
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades						
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.8	0.5	0.001	\$483	\$19,736	\$485
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	10.0	2.2	0.011	\$195	\$216,046	\$13,947

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs California Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Cal Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1		X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3			X	
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12		X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Cal Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Homeowner irrigation classes	15		X	X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23			X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Cal Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		4	11	15	17



### FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved California Water Service Company – Bear Gulch District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C	
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,986	\$3,709	\$3,925	
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	1.16	1.37	2.10	2.13	

Appendix D5 California Water Service Company – Mid Peninsula District

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation California Water Service Company – Mid-Peninsula District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.6	1.9	0.068	\$1,275	\$544,563	\$249,261
2	Residential Retrofit	2.9	7.8	0.042	\$719	\$655,017	\$355,332
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.4	0.032	\$1,196	\$267,446	\$123,485
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.6	2.3	0.023	\$802	\$332,075	\$218,455
6	Public Information Program	1.8	2.8	0.088	\$1,169	\$890,176	\$323,533
7	Commercial Water Audits	0.7	0.7	0.080	\$2,797	(\$765,760)	\$1,545,329
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	11.4	6.3	0.011	\$185	\$234,753	\$25,524
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.1	0.5	0.273	\$1,815	\$589,450	\$3,450,286
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	6.9	0.5	0.307	\$293	\$6,018,875	\$558,209
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.016	\$3,864	(\$245,753)	\$403,093
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.0	1.4	0.189	\$1,001	\$2,100,046	\$1,237,903
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.2	0.8	0.035	\$1,590	\$99,378	\$206,682
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	15.5	0.9	0.047	\$117	\$899,280	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	7.1	0.4	0.021	\$257	\$375,537	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.1	0.1	0.002	\$1,668	\$4,116	\$13,750
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	0.6	1.2	0.001	\$5,127	(\$29,683)	\$70,875
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	4.4	1.5	0.008	\$443	\$130,649	\$22,033
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	7.9	2.2	0.011	\$236	\$201,414	\$10,686
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	8.7	16.9	0.008	\$241	\$165,725	\$22,838

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation California Water Service Company – Mid-Peninsula District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	5.4	0.8	0.010	\$337	\$172,827	\$10,935
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.2	41.3	0.028	\$133	\$600,122	\$46,272
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	18.2	11.9	0.030	\$107	\$633,748	\$21,563
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	99.1	4.4	0.002	\$20	\$44,250	\$265
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	6.2	3.4	0.016	\$316	\$299,525	\$34,063
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	2.6	0.6	0.004	\$693	\$53,596	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.3	0.0	0.005	\$7,532	(\$290,556)	\$225,226
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	23.9	23.9	0.000	\$78	\$7,790	\$90
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	0.7	0.4	0.001	\$2,707	(\$6,376)	\$5,314
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.0	0.4	0.006	\$605	\$88,096	\$2,775
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	8.8	2.0	0.034	\$222	\$656,979	\$49,037

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs California Water Service Company - Mid-Peninsula Water District June 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Cal Water Service Company – Mid-Peninsula Water District June 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1		X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3			X	
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7			X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12		X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13			X	
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Cal Water Service Company – Mid-Peninsula Water District June 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				Х
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18			X	
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20		X	X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25			X	
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Cal Water Service Company – Mid-Peninsula Water District June 21, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31			X	
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		4	11	19	13



## FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved California Water Service Company – Mid-Peninsula District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$7,293	\$10,407	\$14,204
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	2.14	2.54	2.99	3.30

Appendix D6

California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.0	1.4	0.019	\$1,973	\$2,018	\$106,453
2	Residential Retrofit	2.9	7.6	0.014	\$735	\$217,219	\$121,057
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.3	0.029	\$1,210	\$237,035	\$112,981
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.8	2.5	0.009	\$752	\$129,312	\$76,776
6	Public Information Program	1.3	2.2	0.028	\$1,622	\$139,012	\$139,762
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.3	2.1	0.075	\$851	\$936,667	\$445,711
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	9.8	5.4	0.005	\$215	\$110,592	\$14,212
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	0.9	0.4	0.128	\$2,253	(\$331,631)	\$2,113,229
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	4.7	0.3	0.140	\$439	\$2,536,580	\$341,878
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.006	\$4,419	(\$130,571)	\$174,247
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.9	1.4	0.077	\$1,031	\$827,543	\$518,088
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.9	0.6	0.012	\$2,153	(\$41,623)	\$91,707
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	5.3	0.3	0.016	\$347	\$264,781	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	2.5	0.1	0.007	\$738	\$91,030	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.4	0.0	0.000	\$4,887	(\$13,636)	\$4,844
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	4.1	8.7	0.001	\$506	\$22,672	\$7,875
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	3.6	1.2	0.001	\$542	\$19,162	\$4,228
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	5.7	1.6	0.003	\$316	\$46,898	\$2,241
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	9.2	17.8	0.001	\$229	\$29,996	\$3,900

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	8.7	1.2	0.008	\$206	\$134,630	\$3,936
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.010	\$134	\$223,466	\$17,328
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	22.4	26.5	0.058	\$87	\$1,231,093	\$33,750
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	121.7	9.7	0.004	\$16	\$85,204	\$414
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	9.2	9.3	0.031	\$211	\$612,802	\$43,675
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	5.8	1.6	0.009	\$315	\$157,177	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	1.0	0.2	0.010	\$1,948	(\$285)	\$126,925
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	11.2	11.2	0.000	\$164	\$1,934	\$43
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.2	0.7	0.001	\$1,480	\$3,723	\$4,020
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	5.6	0.7	0.005	\$317	\$88,789	\$1,164
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	8.9	2.0	0.023	\$220	\$441,629	\$32,552

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1		X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7		X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8			X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12		X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25			X	
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31		X	X	
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32		X	X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		5	13	18	14



## FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved California Water Service Company – South San Francisco District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C	
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$4,345	\$6,511	\$6,700	
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	1.22	1.42	1.78	1.87	

Appendix D7 Coastside County Water District

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Coastside County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	0.9	1.2	0.007	\$2,285	(\$26,406)	\$46,142
2	Residential Retrofit						
3	Large Landscape Conservation	0.7	0.6	0.005	\$2,672	(\$44,708)	\$45,092
4	Water Budgets	62.7	62.7	0.028	\$32	\$614,586	\$9,253
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.6	2.3	0.003	\$800	\$47,553	\$31,161
6	Public Information Program	1.3	2.4	0.011	\$1,544	\$62,375	\$50,517
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.0	1.8	0.011	\$983	\$121,972	\$75,592
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	10.2	5.7	0.001	\$205	\$17,087	\$2,097
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.5	0.7	0.035	\$1,307	\$271,624	\$310,299
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	12.3	0.9	0.039	\$164	\$816,352	\$50,218
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.4	0.4	0.002	\$5,853	(\$63,164)	\$62,687
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.9	1.3	0.027	\$1,053	\$282,677	\$183,921
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.9	0.6	0.005	\$1,980	(\$8,246)	\$34,741
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	1.2	0.4	0.024	\$1,616	\$105,133	\$115,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	0.8	0.2	0.015	\$2,556	(\$97,492)	\$115,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.4	0.0	0.000	\$4,368	(\$7,512)	\$4,613
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries						
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	0.4	0.3	0.000	\$5,088	(\$1,295)	\$1,199
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	2.8	0.5	0.002	\$675	\$32,977	\$6,639
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	7.0	13.5	0.000	\$301	\$3,508	\$625
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	9.1	1.3	0.006	\$203	\$108,326	\$4,587

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Coastside County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.004	\$134	\$90,594	\$7,025
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	2.5	2.9	0.003	\$787	\$40,071	\$15,938
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	13.4	1.1	0.000	\$145	\$4,150	\$196
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	2.7	2.7	0.002	\$730	\$22,416	\$7,888
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.4	0.1	0.001	\$5,221	(\$26,244)	\$23,949
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	9.8	9.8	0.000	\$191	\$1,668	\$59
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	25.0	8.9	0.017	\$78	\$368,257	\$9,158
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	9.6	5.3	0.002	\$193	\$30,924	\$1,200
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	17.7	3.9	0.011	\$110	\$224,991	\$7,679

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Coastside County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Water Budgets (CA BMP 5)	4
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Financial Incentives for Complying with Water Use Budget	29
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Measure not evaluated in model.

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Coastside County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2				X
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4	X	X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Coastside County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19			X	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23			X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Coastside County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29	X	X	X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30			X	
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32		X	X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		8	12	17	15



## FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Coastside County Water District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C	
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,098	\$1,537	\$2,297	
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.27	0.39	0.45	0.51	

Appendix D8 Daly City, City of

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Daly City August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.1	1.6	0.035	\$1,754	\$93,751	\$178,914
2	Residential Retrofit	3.5	9.6	0.003	\$591	\$48,828	\$19,727
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.1	0.9	0.007	\$1,712	\$15,415	\$37,376
4	Water Budgets	14.5	14.5	0.016	\$138	\$337,265	\$26,070
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.7	2.4	0.016	\$774	\$240,099	\$149,271
6	Public Information Program	1.3	2.4	0.038	\$1,567	\$220,095	\$191,781
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.4	1.3	0.018	\$1,390	\$113,546	\$172,050
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	18.6	10.3	0.004	\$112	\$79,931	\$5,110
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.5	0.7	0.131	\$1,362	\$979,069	\$1,359,2 45
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	11.6	0.9	0.146	\$176	\$3,077,912	\$219,907
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.009	\$4,041	(\$157,220)	\$239,123
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.0	1.4	0.124	\$980	\$1,399,713	\$799,582
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.5	0.3	0.010	\$3,639	(\$196,219)	\$129,690
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	4.4	0.3	0.013	\$413	\$211,812	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	2.0	0.1	0.006	\$890	\$64,685	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.4	0.0	0.000	\$5,156	(\$8,804)	\$5,640
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.8	8.2	0.006	\$535	\$104,956	\$39,375
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.1	0.4	0.001	\$1,835	\$1,933	\$16,357
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	2.1	0.6	0.009	\$899	\$96,077	\$35,366
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	10.0	19.4	0.019	\$210	\$413,839	\$48,988
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf	8.7	1.2	0.007	\$221	\$135,393	\$5,770
## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Daly City August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
	limitations / regulations)						
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.022	\$134	\$467,064	\$36,218
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	3.8	4.5	0.004	\$515	\$71,177	\$15,000
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	20.6	1.6	0.000	\$95	\$6,137	\$184
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	4.6	4.7	0.002	\$421	\$40,434	\$6,550
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.5	0.1	0.002	\$4,229	(\$48,292)	\$51,307
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	19.4	19.4	0.000	\$99	\$66	\$1
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	5.8	2.1	0.009	\$339	\$170,204	\$23,472
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	3.9	2.2	0.001	\$499	\$16,634	\$2,002
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	6.1	1.4	0.008	\$317	\$146,075	\$16,485

#### Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Daly City July 13, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Commercial Water Audits (CA BMP 9)	7
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	Other

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Daly City July 13, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1			X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4		X	Х	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	Х	X	Х	
Public Information Program	6	Х	X	Х	
Commercial Water Audits	7	Х	X	Х	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9		X	Х	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12		X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	Х	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Daly City July 13, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19			X	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20		X	X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23			X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25			X	
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Daly City July 13, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29		X	X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		5	13	19	13



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Daly City August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,242	\$4,759	\$5,604
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	1.1	1.2	1.5	1.6

Appendix D9 East Palo Alto, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Cal Am Water/East Palo Alto August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.6	2.3	0.008	\$1,250	\$64,682	\$28,626
2	Residential Retrofit	4.0	10.8	0.005	\$522	\$96,422	\$32,704
3	Large Landscape Conservation	5.0	4.2	0.007	\$361	\$108,531	\$7,768
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	3.3	2.9	0.004	\$637	\$66,972	\$31,066
6	Public Information Program	1.6	3.0	0.009	\$1,258	\$85,995	\$35,650
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.2	2.3	0.005	\$890	\$58,864	\$30,598
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	15.9	8.8	0.000	\$132	\$10,686	\$807
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.9	0.9	0.046	\$1,070	\$531,583	\$521,149
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	13.3	1.0	0.054	\$157	\$1,175,068	\$84,308
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.9	0.9	0.005	\$2,904	(\$20,411)	\$86,782
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	3.3	2.3	0.039	\$608	\$609,817	\$154,491
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.7	0.5	0.003	\$2,474	(\$20,156)	\$22,706
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	2.8	0.3	0.008	\$664	\$114,410	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	1.5	0.1	0.004	\$1,248	\$30,466	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.3	0.0	0.000	\$5,710	(\$5,956)	\$2,262
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.7	8.1	0.001	\$546	\$20,409	\$7,875
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	3.2	1.1	0.002	\$617	\$24,018	\$6,277
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	5.2	1.5	0.005	\$354	\$90,296	\$5,622
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	10.9	21.2	0.002	\$193	\$34,770	\$3,738
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	11.8	1.7	0.013	\$148	\$238,188	\$2,821

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Cal Am Water/East Palo Alto August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.002	\$134	\$32,211	\$2,498
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels						
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels						
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)						
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.8	0.1	0.001	\$2,505	(\$7,108)	\$15,916
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	5.5	5.5	0.001	\$323	\$11,644	\$303
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.9	1.1	0.002	\$914	\$18,757	\$2,838
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	7.7	1.0	0.011	\$230	\$188,896	\$754
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	7.9	1.8	0.003	\$246	\$49,076	\$4,074

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs East Palo Alto-Cal Am Water July 19, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Public Information Program	6

## FINAL Summary of Options Package Programs East Palo Alto – Cal Am Water

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1			X	
Residential Retrofit	2		X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3			X	
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5		X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7			X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9		X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				Х
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				Х
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	

## FINAL Summary of Options Package Programs East Palo Alto – Cal Am Water

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17			X	
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18			X	
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19		X	X	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20		X	X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22				X
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				Х
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				Х
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28		X	X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X

FINAL Summary of Options Package Programs					
East Palo Alto – Cal Am Water					

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31			X	
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32		X	X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		1	10	18	14



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Cal Am Water/East Palo Alto August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$134	\$866	\$1,402
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.37	0.38	0.46	0.53

Appendix D10 Estero Municipal. Improvement District/Foster City

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Estero Municipal Improvement District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.5	1.8	0.018	\$1,307	\$138,935	\$69,296
2	Residential Retrofit						
3	Large Landscape Conservation	2.6	2.2	0.003	\$738	\$37,081	\$6,594
4	Water Budgets	39.5	39.5	0.182	\$51	\$4,009,725	\$104,797
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.4	2.1	0.006	\$882	\$78,884	\$60,988
6	Public Information Program	1.8	2.9	0.014	\$1,124	\$146,727	\$48,941
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.3	1.9	0.008	\$857	\$105,464	\$50,230
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	43.2	24.0	0.003	\$48	\$60,384	\$1,613
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.2	0.6	0.033	\$1,680	\$148,482	\$615,924
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	9.0	0.8	0.032	\$234	\$665,863	\$90,962
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.8	0.8	0.003	\$3,239	(\$24,134)	\$60,967
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.3	1.6	0.048	\$863	\$601,247	\$269,228
13	ET Controller Rebates	2.8	1.9	0.020	\$662	\$261,099	\$47,659
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	7.7	1.0	0.044	\$250	\$828,583	\$33,000
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	5.5	0.4	0.016	\$338	\$276,837	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.5	0.2	0.000	\$1,220	\$3,024	\$2,059
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries						
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	0.8	0.3	0.001	\$2,417	(\$2,702)	\$8,237
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	1.4	0.4	0.010	\$1,283	\$62,544	\$48,664
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	9.3	18.1	0.015	\$225	\$307,292	\$39,325
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	17.9	2.5	0.022	\$100	\$414,054	\$7,544

FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation
Estero Municipal Improvement District
August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.006	\$134	\$126,631	\$9,819
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	48.2	57.1	0.016	\$40	\$344,404	\$4,613
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	149.5	11.9	0.001	\$13	\$23,290	\$92
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	5.4	5.5	0.009	\$359	\$152,960	\$20,300
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.6	0.1	0.001	\$3,441	(\$12,768)	\$16,926
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	35.0	35.0	0.000	\$52	\$8,922	\$59
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	13.5	4.8	0.105	\$143	\$2,126,284	\$97,658
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	5.2	2.9	0.002	\$347	\$24,718	\$1,431
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	6.1	0.7	0.001	\$296	\$18,338	\$232
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	4.5	1.0	0.011	\$436	\$184,876	\$30,906

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Estero Municipal Improvement District June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Water Budgets (CA BMP 5)	4
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Rebates for 6/3 Dual Flush or 4 Liter Toilets	12
Financial Incentives for Complying with Water Use Budget	29
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Estero Municipal Improvement District June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1			X	
Residential Retrofit	2				X
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4	X	X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12	X	X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13			X	

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Estero Municipal Improvement District June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19			X	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20		X	X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22			X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24		X	X	
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Estero Municipal Improvement District June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29	X	X	X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32		X	X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		7	14	19	13



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Estero Municipal Improvement District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,585	\$1,817	\$2,405
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.43	0.90	1.06	1.15

Appendix D11 Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	0.5	0.6	0.000	\$4,116	(\$12,520)	\$3,901
2	Residential Retrofit						
3	Large Landscape Conservation	0.2	0.2	0.001	\$10,027	(\$60,603)	\$21,231
4	Water Budgets	35.0	35.0	0.039	\$54	\$820,007	\$12,976
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	1.0	0.9	0.000	\$2,121	(\$21)	\$2,288
6	Public Information Program	0.6	1.0	0.001	\$3,210	(\$11,075)	\$5,357
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.1	0.9	0.003	\$1,841	\$3,832	\$37,870
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	10.7	5.9	0.001	\$196	\$10,762	\$1,251
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	0.6	0.3	0.001	\$3,656	(\$17,788)	\$24,314
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	4.6	0.3	0.001	\$447	\$17,460	\$3,933
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.3	0.3	0.000	\$8,760	(\$9,038)	\$6,501
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	0.5	0.3	0.001	\$4,065	(\$16,280)	\$16,253
13	ET Controller Rebates	3.1	2.2	0.003	\$580	\$34,934	\$4,225
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses						
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	0.9	0.1	0.001	\$2,185	(\$4,208)	\$8,250
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.3	0.0	0.000	\$6,953	(\$5,205)	\$1,877
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.8	0.001	\$565	\$13,001	\$5,250
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering						
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units						
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers						
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	55.4	7.8	0.015	\$33	\$310,800	\$1,524

# FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.001	\$134	\$16,226	\$1,258
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels						
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels						
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)						
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.2	0.0	0.000	\$10,266	(\$13,651)	\$9,678
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	8.1	8.1	0.000	\$228	\$3,947	\$149
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	14.4	5.1	0.031	\$130	\$606,886	\$18,198
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	8.5	4.7	0.002	\$212	\$41,585	\$1,495
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District June 14, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1				X
Residential Retrofit	2				X
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4		X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X		X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9				X
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10			X	
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12				X
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22				X
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28		X	X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		2	4	6	26



#### FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Guadalupe Valley Municipal Improvement District August 30, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$30	\$57	\$64
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.04	0.04	0.14	0.14

Appendix D12 Hayward, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Hayward August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.8	2.2	0.074	\$1,086	\$725,519	\$220,549
2	Residential Retrofit	3.5	9.2	0.035	\$603	\$591,552	\$247,486
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.7	1.5	0.055	\$1,108	\$508,018	\$196,864
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.6	2.5	0.023	\$790	\$340,997	\$220,638
6	Public Information Program	1.8	2.8	0.080	\$1,110	\$816,160	\$269,220
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.5	1.5	0.086	\$1,273	\$651,556	\$771,750
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	20.3	11.3	0.010	\$103	\$221,041	\$12,863
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.2	0.5	0.228	\$1,694	\$821,033	\$2,792,441
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	7.2	0.5	0.297	\$276	\$5,793,369	\$460,049
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.013	\$3,986	(\$217,741)	\$335,056
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.8	2.0	0.251	\$707	\$3,584,856	\$1,160,235
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.5	1.1	0.042	\$1,194	\$300,419	\$180,676
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14.6	0.8	0.044	\$123	\$839,364	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	6.6	0.4	0.020	\$270	\$349,182	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.7	0.2	0.012	\$1,090	\$95,674	\$39,642
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	0.0	0.1	0.000	\$65,908	(\$100,699)	\$110,250
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	3.3	1.1	0.008	\$603	\$118,169	\$30,431
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	5.5	1.5	0.016	\$339	\$274,732	\$21,009
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	0.9	1.7	0.005	\$2,333	(\$14,429)	\$150,000
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	22.7	3.2	0.032	\$80	\$626,161	\$8,951

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Hayward August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.021	\$134	\$440,892	\$34,189
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	21.2	13.8	0.022	\$92	\$451,994	\$13,125
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	115.2	5.1	0.001	\$17	\$31,350	\$161
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	7.9	4.4	0.011	\$245	\$221,134	\$18,688
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	12.6	2.8	0.048	\$144	\$907,124	\$20,700
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	1.3	0.2	0.020	\$1,527	\$92,337	\$198,916
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	31.6	31.6	0.010	\$57	\$194,812	\$1,871
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades						
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	17.0	2.1	0.022	\$107	\$419,233	\$2,114
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value

MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Hayward June 25, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles (CA BMP 9)	22
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Hayward June 25, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1				X
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3		X	X	
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7		X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9				X
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Hayward June 25, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Homeowner irrigation classes	15		X	X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16		X	X	
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19			X	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22	X	X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28			X	
## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Hayward June 25, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts (This measure is intended only for agencies that do not currently have dedicated irrigation meters)	31		X	X	
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		4	14	18	14



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Hayward August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,461	\$4,268	\$6,288
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	1.43	1.63	2.20	2.65

Appendix D13 Hillsborough, Town of

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Town of Hillsborough August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	4.9	4.7	0.027	\$408	\$487,968	\$32,720
2	Residential Retrofit	2.7	7.4	0.005	\$762	\$72,509	\$43,163
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.3	0.001	\$1,236	\$8,980	\$4,494
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.8	2.5	0.002	\$761	\$33,570	\$20,340
6	Public Information Program	5.2	6.2	0.034	\$398	\$646,084	\$43,711
7	Commercial Water Audits	3.0	2.4	0.002	\$649	\$27,877	\$8,547
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates						
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.3	0.6	0.016	\$1,548	\$85,386	\$177,755
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10.2	0.8	0.029	\$191	\$569,110	\$28,757
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	1.5	1.5	0.005	\$1,640	\$49,259	\$54,533
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.8	1.3	0.019	\$1,110	\$184,885	\$136,334
13	ET Controller Rebates	3.9	2.7	0.014	\$472	\$218,538	\$24,754
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	41.8	4.0	0.121	\$45	\$2,526,250	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	21.5	1.7	0.063	\$86	\$1,268,442	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	4.6	0.5	0.001	\$401	\$11,295	\$1,081
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries						
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering						
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units						
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers						
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	24.0	3.4	0.000	\$77	\$4,464	\$63

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Town of Hillsborough August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles						
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels						
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels						
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)						
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.5	0.1	0.000	\$3,916	(\$976)	\$1,122
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings						
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	3.2	1.8	0.000	\$585	\$228	\$34
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	12.9	1.7	0.000	\$143	\$3,086	\$17
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Town of Hillsborough June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Town of Hillsborough June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1		X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2			X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4				Х
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				Х
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Town of Hillsborough June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16		X	X	
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22				X
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				Х

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Town of Hillsborough June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		3	7	10	22



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Town of Hillsborough August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$461	\$712	\$1,031
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.17	0.23	0.48	0.60

Appendix D14 Los Trancos County Water District

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Los Trancos County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.4	1.4	0.001	\$1,415	\$4,547	\$2,735
2	Residential Retrofit	2.0	5.3	0.000	\$1,059	\$1,806	\$1,892
3	Large Landscape Conservation						
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.1	1.9	0.000	\$983	\$1,413	\$1,324
6	Public Information Program	2.1	2.8	0.001	\$988	\$12,501	\$2,949
7	Commercial Water Audits						
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates						
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.1	0.5	0.000	\$1,879	\$34	\$363
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	6.4	0.5	0.000	\$280	\$1,226	\$58
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.000	\$4,172	(\$2,658)	\$3,636
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	0.8	0.6	0.001	\$2,378	(\$3,005)	\$9,090
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.8	1.2	0.000	\$1,039	\$4,275	\$1,636
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses						
15	Homeowner irrigation classes						
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.3	0.1	0.000	\$1,393	\$308	\$442
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries						
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering						
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units						
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers						
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)						

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Los Trancos County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles						
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels						
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels						
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)						
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment						
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings						
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades						
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Los Trancos County Water District June 8, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Los Trancos County Water District June 8, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1			X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9				X
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12				X
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Los Trancos County Water District June 8, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				Х
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22				Х
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				Х
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				Х

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Los Trancos County Water District June 8, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		3	4	5	27



### FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Los Trancos County Water District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$15	\$20	\$31
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.006	0.008	0.008	0.009

Appendix D15 Menlo Park, City of

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Menlo Park August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.8	2.1	0.009	\$1,096	\$85,760	\$27,136
2	Residential Retrofit	2.5	6.5	0.003	\$850	\$43,103	\$30,147
3	Large Landscape Conservation	2.4	2.0	0.014	\$795	\$173,257	\$34,427
4	Water Budgets	38.6	38.6	0.039	\$52	\$864,723	\$23,452
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.2	2.0	0.002	\$945	\$27,388	\$23,839
6	Public Information Program	1.9	2.8	0.010	\$1,080	\$112,090	\$34,466
7	Commercial Water Audits	6.1	6.0	0.043	\$318	\$796,499	\$93,811
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	28.9	16.1	0.002	\$73	\$35,876	\$1,447
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.2	0.5	0.025	\$1,722	\$81,820	\$301,638
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	8.2	0.6	0.028	\$247	\$564,609	\$48,801
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.8	0.8	0.002	\$3,253	(\$17,012)	\$43,005
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.8	1.3	0.018	\$1,111	\$181,115	\$133,625
13	ET Controller Rebates	3.1	2.2	0.011	\$585	\$152,178	\$23,168
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	13.3	1.4	0.038	\$142	\$763,646	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	7.0	0.6	0.021	\$266	\$374,094	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.4	0.2	0.000	\$1,245	\$1,406	\$796
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.1	6.6	0.001	\$669	\$10,117	\$5,198
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	2.2	0.7	0.000	\$884	\$2,961	\$1,406
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	3.6	1.0	0.001	\$507	\$10,714	\$1,044
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	6.3	12.3	0.001	\$331	\$24,401	\$4,850
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	29.8	1.3	0.009	\$61	\$177,136	\$1,648

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Menlo Park August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.003	\$134	\$61,184	\$4,740
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels						
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels						
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)						
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	2.9	0.5	0.006	\$671	\$86,238	\$25,865
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	23.0	23.0	0.001	\$81	\$19,716	\$245
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	15.3	5.4	0.023	\$127	\$469,239	\$20,882
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	3.8	2.1	0.001	\$506	\$8,608	\$1,673
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	28.7	7.4	0.011	\$68	\$225,112	\$4,727

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Menlo Park June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Menlo Park June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1				X
Residential Retrofit	2		X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3			X	
Water Budgets	4		X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7			X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9			X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13			X	

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Menlo Park June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Menlo Park June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28			X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29			X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32		X	X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		2	8	16	16

0.70 0.60 Cumulative Water Saved in 2031 (MGD) 0.50 0.40 Program C 0.30 Program B 0.20 Program A **Plumbing Codes** 0.10 0.00 \$200 \$400 \$1,000 **\$-**\$600 \$800 \$1,200 \$1,400 \$1,600 Present Value of Utility Costs (\$1,000s) Period of Analysis = 2001 - 2031

FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Menlo Park August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$144	\$269	\$1,408
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.22	0.24	0.39	0.57

Appendix D16 Mid-Peninsula Water District

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Mid-Peninsula Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.5	1.8	0.014	\$1,353	\$101,169	\$56,182
2	Residential Retrofit	2.6	7.0	0.009	\$799	\$134,422	\$86,075
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.4	0.007	\$1,193	\$60,747	\$28,167
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.1	1.9	0.004	\$1,001	\$53,870	\$52,313
6	Public Information Program	1.5	2.3	0.016	\$1,384	\$125,026	\$71,590
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.9	1.8	0.017	\$1,034	\$174,221	\$120,237
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	12.5	6.9	0.002	\$169	\$36,725	\$3,609
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.0	0.5	0.037	\$1,957	\$21,401	\$505,999
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	7.3	0.5	0.050	\$274	\$968,946	\$81,857
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.5	0.5	0.003	\$4,552	(\$68,946)	\$89,369
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.8	1.3	0.038	\$1,130	\$372,013	\$286,807
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.2	0.9	0.008	\$1,490	\$31,857	\$44,920
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	12.7	1.0	0.037	\$146	\$724,417	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	6.2	0.4	0.018	\$295	\$323,840	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.2	0.1	0.000	\$1,576	\$587	\$1,329
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.8	0.002	\$569	\$36,746	\$14,963
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	3.3	1.1	0.002	\$596	\$23,839	\$6,064
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	6.1	1.6	0.001	\$312	\$19,040	\$1,612
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	6.9	13.4	0.000	\$304	\$1,123	\$203
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	5.2	0.7	0.003	\$336	\$39,729	\$798

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Mid-Peninsula Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.005	\$134	\$112,542	\$8,724
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	13.3	15.7	0.008	\$147	\$156,908	\$7,500
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	72.1	5.8	0.001	\$27	\$11,156	\$92
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	6.0	6.1	0.004	\$322	\$75,542	\$8,775
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.6	0.1	0.002	\$3,472	(\$27,359)	\$36,005
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	9.9	9.9	0.000	\$176	\$2,749	\$23
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	0.6	0.4	0.000	\$2,701	(\$1,902)	\$437
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.5	0.4	0.002	\$497	\$23,980	\$289
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	7.9	1.8	0.008	\$246	\$155,973	\$13,195

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Mid-Peninsula Water District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Mid-Peninsula Water District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9			X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10		X	X	
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12				X
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Mid-Peninsula Water District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16			X	
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24		X	X	
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Mid-Peninsula Water District June 15, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28			X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		4	7	10	22



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Mid-Peninsula Water District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$576	\$738	\$1,558
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.40	0.45	0.51	0.53

Appendix D17 Millbrae, City of

### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Millbrae August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.2	1.6	0.011	\$1,601	\$47,104	\$50,066
2	Residential Retrofit	2.9	7.7	0.004	\$735	\$65,158	\$36,034
3	Large Landscape Conservation	0.7	0.6	0.003	\$2,792	(\$33,746)	\$31,247
4	Water Budgets	34.4	34.4	0.018	\$58	\$389,182	\$12,144
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.3	2.0	0.004	\$928	\$49,525	\$41,817
6	Public Information Program	1.4	2.3	0.014	\$1,435	\$97,898	\$62,462
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.9	1.8	0.010	\$1,018	\$105,384	\$70,794
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	14.9	8.3	0.001	\$141	\$24,918	\$2,019
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.2	0.5	0.030	\$1,678	\$112,318	\$352,161
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	8.8	0.6	0.047	\$224	\$932,435	\$56,969
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.5	0.5	0.002	\$5,276	(\$70,432)	\$77,804
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.9	1.4	0.035	\$1,033	\$376,474	\$237,688
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.1	0.8	0.006	\$1,668	\$12,320	\$39,282
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	9.2	0.7	0.027	\$203	\$506,868	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	4.6	0.3	0.013	\$405	\$220,083	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.0	0.1	0.000	\$1,977	(\$152)	\$3,976
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.7	0.002	\$569	\$38,610	\$15,750
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.8	0.6	0.001	\$1,109	\$4,948	\$3,717
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	3.6	1.0	0.002	\$541	\$32,801	\$7,316
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	8.3	16.1	0.009	\$254	\$185,208	\$27,075
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	11.7	1.6	0.002	\$166	\$42,495	\$2,324
#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Millbrae August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.008	\$134	\$161,057	\$12,489
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	20.6	24.5	0.010	\$94	\$219,668	\$6,563
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	112.1	9.0	0.001	\$17	\$15,253	\$81
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	3.4	3.4	0.006	\$580	\$86,463	\$21,500
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	9.1	2.6	0.029	\$200	\$530,811	\$20,700
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	1.0	0.2	0.002	\$1,886	\$1,236	\$22,555
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	19.9	19.9	0.000	\$100	\$1,472	\$46
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	13.7	6.5	0.011	\$143	\$216,842	\$11,458
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	2.9	1.6	0.000	\$669	\$2,947	\$915
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.6	0.5	0.001	\$532	\$8,752	\$507
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	4.3	0.9	0.001	\$458	\$24,614	\$4,400

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Millbrae June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles (CA BMP 9)	22

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Millbrae June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4		X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10			X	
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12				X
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Millbrae June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14			X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17			X	
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19			X	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22	X	X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24			X	
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Millbrae June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28			X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29			X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		8	11	19	13

FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Millbrae August 4, 2004



	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,168	\$1,296	\$1,549
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.35	0.43	0.46	0.58

Appendix D18 Milpitas, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Milpitas August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.6	2.0	0.036	\$1,190	\$309,288	\$116,047
2	Residential Retrofit	3.2	8.5	0.002	\$661	\$24,928	\$11,812
3	Large Landscape Conservation	2.9	2.5	0.023	\$639	\$318,233	\$46,876
4	Water Budgets	23.8	23.8	0.128	\$83	\$2,764,260	\$111,732
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.8	2.5	0.010	\$742	\$158,929	\$92,541
6	Public Information Program	2.4	3.7	0.044	\$829	\$588,062	\$119,550
7	Commercial Water Audits	6.1	6.3	0.103	\$317	\$1,885,948	\$219,346
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	31.5	17.5	0.005	\$66	\$112,664	\$4,159
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.6	0.7	0.073	\$1,308	\$624,422	\$1,036,528
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	12.4	0.9	0.083	\$167	\$1,795,278	\$167,686
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.8	0.8	0.007	\$3,111	(\$50,513)	\$149,438
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.9	1.3	0.078	\$1,053	\$801,002	\$527,876
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.8	1.3	0.026	\$1,000	\$240,612	\$90,558
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	15.7	1.0	0.047	\$115	\$910,734	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	10.6	0.8	0.032	\$173	\$590,735	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	2.6	0.3	0.005	\$698	\$61,264	\$10,538
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.7	0.005	\$577	\$75,866	\$31,500
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.1	0.3	0.000	\$1,838	\$398	\$3,435
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	1.8	0.5	0.016	\$1,023	\$150,379	\$52,524
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	8.9	17.3	0.011	\$237	\$237,069	\$32,000
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	23.6	2.9	0.043	\$77	\$840,876	\$6,265

# FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Milpitas August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	40.1	0.029	\$134	\$612,015	\$47,458
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	20.9	24.8	0.029	\$93	\$603,796	\$17,813
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	113.5	9.1	0.002	\$17	\$41,905	\$219
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	5.5	5.6	0.015	\$352	\$276,986	\$35,913
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	7.0	1.7	0.015	\$255	\$252,692	\$11,471
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	2.6	0.5	0.013	\$733	\$172,838	\$58,772
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	36.0	36.0	0.003	\$52	\$69,767	\$658
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	9.1	3.2	0.059	\$210	\$1,133,713	\$65,301
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	5.3	2.9	0.006	\$345	\$106,699	\$7,091
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	16.9	3.8	0.017	\$115	\$357,211	\$12,700

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Milpitas June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Commercial Water Audits (CA BMP 9)	7
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Wholesale Agency Assistance Program (CA BMP 10)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Milpitas June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4		X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7	X	X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13			X	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Milpitas June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Milpitas June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29			X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		8	11	17	15

FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Milpitas August 4, 2004



	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$2,578	\$2,762	\$4,175
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.66	1.02	1.26	1.63

Appendix D19 Mountain View, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Mountain View August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.9	2.4	0.036	\$1,049	\$359,024	\$106,856
2	Residential Retrofit	2.7	7.4	0.019	\$753	\$274,309	\$163,492
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.3	0.012	\$1,175	\$99,356	\$46,635
4	Water Budgets	47.0	47.0	0.325	\$42 \$7,061,1	\$7,061,169	\$154,581
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.0	1.8	0.013	\$1,040	\$144,165	\$157,416
6	Public Information Program	1.3	2.1	0.027	\$1,503	\$160,241	\$129,177
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.7	1.7	0.036	\$1,106	\$327,762	\$270,046
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	23.3	12.9	0.008	\$88	\$175,725	\$8,875
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.1	0.5	0.059	\$1,801	\$107,683	\$737,813
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	8.7	0.6	0.083	\$221	\$1,601,627	\$119,355
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.5	0.5	0.005	\$4,984	(\$141,652)	\$160,999
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.5	1.8	0.101	\$763	\$1,345,143	\$505,632
13	ET Controller Rebates	2.8	1.9	0.038	\$648	\$498,084	\$90,552
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	10.5	0.7	0.032	\$171	\$586,507	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	4.9	0.3	0.015	\$363	\$242,961	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.9	0.1	0.001	\$2,120	(\$2,188)	\$4,661
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.8	0.010	\$564	\$170,971	\$70,875
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	4.7	1.5	0.012	\$406	\$205,890	\$31,953
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	8.7	2.3	0.017	\$209	\$305,364	\$12,563
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	7.7	15.2	0.054	\$266	\$1,084,223	\$171,250
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	28.4	4.0	0.040	\$63	\$786,480	\$8,820

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Mountain View August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	14.8	40.8	0.008	\$134	\$157,434	\$12,489
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	11.1	13.2	0.020	\$172	\$401,747	\$23,438
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	60.1	4.8	0.001	\$32	\$28,957	\$288
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	5.8	5.9	0.011	\$328	\$195,082	\$23,750
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	3.6	0.8	0.006	\$493	\$86,324	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.7	0.1	0.005	\$2,673	(\$47,453)	\$93,718
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	58.4	58.4	0.004	\$31	\$84,737	\$452
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	16.3	5.8	0.188	\$116	\$3,788,597	\$147,800
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	4.1	2.3	0.005	\$440	\$80,894	\$8,114
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Mountain View June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Commercial Water Audits (CA BMP 9)	7
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles (CA BMP 9)	22
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Mountain View June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4		X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	Х	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7	X	X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Mountain View June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14			X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20			X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22	X	X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23			X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Mountain View June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29		X	X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				Х
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		9	12	17	15

FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Mountain View August 4, 2004



	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$2,986	\$3,391	\$4,494
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	1.23	1.46	2.17	2.44

Appendix D20 North Coast County Water District

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation North Coast County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.2	1.5	0.016	\$1,676	\$56,530	\$77,572
2	Residential Retrofit	3.3	8.7	0.007	\$643	\$109,694	\$49,715
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.3	0.005	\$1,200	\$44,912	\$20,857
4	Water Budgets	7.8	7.8	0.005	\$256	\$90,042	\$12,701
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.6	2.3	0.006	\$813	\$89,998	\$60,585
6	Public Information Program	1.4	2.4	0.022	\$1,434	\$154,959	\$97,156
7	Commercial Water Audits	0.8	0.7	0.003	\$2,517	(\$21,932)	\$59,347
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8.8	4.9	0.001	\$239	\$13,497	\$1,946
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.4	0.6	0.060	\$1,453	\$375,563	\$605,024
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10.5	0.8	0.074	\$192	\$1,523,586	\$97,883
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.5	0.5	0.004	\$4,638	(\$96,598)	\$121,006
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.1	1.5	0.057	\$965	\$656,989	\$358,966
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.8	0.5	0.007	\$2,336	(\$39,173)	\$59,242
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	4.4	0.4	0.025	\$430	\$415,077	\$33,000
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	5.0	0.4	0.029	\$373	\$490,580	\$33,000
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.6	0.1	0.000	\$2,836	(\$4,669)	\$4,299
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	2.9	0.002	\$563	\$39,238	\$15,750
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.6	0.5	0.001	\$1,215	\$4,669	\$4,365
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	2.8	0.8	0.003	\$661	\$41,402	\$7,468
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	9.3	18.1	0.004	\$225	\$92,908	\$11,850
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	7.2	1.0	0.002	\$254	\$41,620	\$2,296

# FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation North Coast County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.005	\$134	\$102,674	\$7,962
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	7.0	4.5	0.003	\$280	\$57,084	\$5,625
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	37.8	1.7	0.000	\$52	\$4,327	\$69
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	7.5	4.2	0.002	\$259	\$30,828	\$2,775
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.0	0.0	0.000	\$54,228	(\$25,761)	\$15,166
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	9.3	9.3	0.000	\$204	\$7,953	\$355
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	3.1	1.1	0.003	\$623	\$39,598	\$11,702
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.2	0.7	0.000	\$1,562	\$780	\$1,573
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.7	0.4	0.001	\$494	\$22,277	\$596
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs North Coast County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Water Budgets (CA BMP 5)	4
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates(CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs North Coast County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4	X	X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8			X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs North Coast County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17			X	
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20		X	X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25			X	
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs North Coast County Water District June 11, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29		X	X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		7	12	18	14



## FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved North Coast County Water District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,814	\$1,975	\$2,704
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.56	0.68	0.74	0.86

Appendix D21 Palo Alto, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Palo Alto August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.9	2.0	0.046	\$1,049	\$482,098	\$138,080
2	Residential Retrofit	3.0	6.7	0.021	\$703	\$324,902	\$169,797
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.5	1.3	0.049	\$1,253	\$378,720	\$196,141
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.5	1.9	0.012	\$842	\$168,072	\$119,838
6	Public Information Program	4.4	5.9	0.053	\$467	\$959,753	\$76,479
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.5	2.0	0.078	\$785	\$1,033,404	\$428,534
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	21.8	12.1	0.010	\$96	\$228,125	\$12,361
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.3	0.6	0.078	\$1,502	\$447,117	\$818,305
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10.6	0.8	0.122	\$187	\$2,453,335	\$132,383
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.8	0.8	0.009	\$3,304	(\$79,798)	\$190,010
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.1	1.5	0.099	\$968	\$1,145,519	\$631,506
13	ET Controller Rebates	2.0	1.4	0.031	\$940	\$316,199	\$107,703
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	22.6	1.4	0.067	\$81	\$1,333,252	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	10.5	0.6	0.032	\$173	\$589,006	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.8	0.2	0.001	\$1,030	\$13,841	\$6,600
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	6.5	0.005	\$566	\$77,875	\$31,500
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.2	0.3	0.001	\$1,650	\$4,950	\$15,191
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	2.2	0.5	0.010	\$848	\$111,501	\$35,378
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	8.4	0.1	0.045	\$249	\$943,342	\$134,950
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	18.8	1.3	0.007	\$98	\$140,421	\$2,487

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Palo Alto August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.3	34.5	0.023	\$132	\$484,332	\$36,967
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	14.1	14.6	0.043	\$138	\$877,803	\$39,375
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	76.5	5.4	0.003	\$25	\$62,173	\$483
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	9.4	8.4	0.023	\$207	\$450,513	\$31,350
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	4.3	1.0	0.007	\$426	\$108,024	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.6	0.1	0.006	\$3,414	(\$93,683)	\$126,706
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	25.6	25.6	0.000	\$73	\$6,454	\$76
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	2.9	1.6	0.001	\$636	\$9,925	\$1,699
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	14.0	1.8	0.005	\$132	\$103,466	\$529
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	18.3	4.1	0.014	\$106	\$285,715	\$9,572

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Palo Alto June 29, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
ETcontroller Rebates	13
Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles (CA BMP 9)	22
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
High-efficiency dishwasher Rebate Program	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Palo Alto August 9, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3		X	X	
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7			X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8			X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9				X
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12		X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13	Х	X	X	
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Palo Alto August 9, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17			X	
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22	X	X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Palo Alto August 9, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		6	9	13	19

2.50 2.00 Cumulative Water Saved in 2031 (MGD) 1.50 Program B Program C Program A 1.00 Plumbing Codes 0.50 0.00 **\$-**\$500 \$1,000 \$1,500 \$2,000 \$2,500 \$3,000 \$3,500 \$4,000 \$4,500 Present Value of Utility Costs (\$1,000s) Period of Analysis = 2001 - 2031

FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Palo Alto August 9, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$1,396	\$3,179	\$3,943
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	1.26	1.49	1.73	1.85

Appendix D22 Purissima Hills Water District

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Purissima Hills Water District August 30, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	5.9	5.6	0.017	\$329	\$303,948	\$16,092
2	Residential Retrofit	2.7	6.8	0.002	\$785	\$27,555	\$17,229
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.5	1.3	0.003	\$1,280	\$23,080	\$12,713
4	Water Budgets	12.5	12.5	0.139	\$153	\$2,768,802	\$152,245
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.5	2.2	0.001	\$838	\$13,877	\$9,991
6	Public Information Program	6.7	7.6	0.022	\$302	\$428,819	\$21,514
7	Commercial Water Audits	4.0	3.2	0.004	\$486	\$68,992	\$14,166
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates						
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.6	0.7	0.013	\$1,235	\$116,639	\$120,782
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	13.5	1.0	0.016	\$151	\$343,625	\$19,543
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	1.4	1.4	0.002	\$1,768	\$18,973	\$26,819
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.6	1.9	0.014	\$751	\$185,338	\$67,048
13	ET Controller Rebates	7.5	5.2	0.014	\$244		\$12,423
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses						
15	Homeowner irrigation classes						
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	13.8	1.5	0.005	\$133		\$2,428
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries						
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering						
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units						
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers						
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	35.5	5.0	0.000	\$50	\$7,253	\$44

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Purissima Hills Water District August 30, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles						
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels						
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels						
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)						
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.8	0.2	0.000	\$2,334	(\$1,034)	\$3,620
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	5.0	5.0	0.000	\$361		\$15
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades						
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	21.7	2.6	0.000	\$82		\$11
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value

MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Purissima Hills Water District August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	Other
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	Other
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	Other
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	Other

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Purissima Hills Water District August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	None
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4			X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5				X
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9				X
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12				X
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Purissima Hills Water District August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	None
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				Х
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				Х
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22				X
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Purissima Hills Water District August 30, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	None
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		3	4	5	27



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Purissima Hills Water District August 30, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$149	\$185	\$411
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.03	0.08	0.10	0.32

Appendix D23 Redwood City, City of

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Redwood City August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.6	2.0	0.046	\$1,230	\$391,511	\$164,282
2	Residential Retrofit	2.8	7.5	0.032	\$744	\$484,038	\$277,610
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.6	1.4	0.015	\$1,180	\$124,742	\$55,679
4	Water Budgets	61.0	61.0	0.172	\$32	\$3,799,502	\$53,076
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.5	2.2	0.015	\$838	\$217,362	\$153,489
6	Public Information Program	1.6	2.5	0.043	\$1,342	\$356,950	\$187,025
7	Commercial Water Audits	1.7	1.5	0.043	\$1,153	\$387,447	\$339,944
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	12.6	7.0	0.005	\$166	\$115,332	\$11,177
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.3	0.6	0.189	\$1,652	\$894,014	\$3,078,469
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	7.7	0.6	0.241	\$264	\$4,813,084	\$498,656
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.008	\$4,206	(\$161,406)	\$233,653
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.1	1.5	0.133	\$949	\$1,552,133	\$814,927
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.8	1.3	0.034	\$999	\$325,749	\$126,960
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	13.5	0.8	0.040	\$136	\$771,574	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	6.2	0.4	0.019	\$292	\$324,342	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.2	0.1	0.000	\$1,563	\$633	\$1,505
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.8	0.008	\$569	\$135,505	\$55,125
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.4	0.5	0.002	\$1,378	\$11,214	\$14,305
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	2.8	0.7	0.059	\$683	\$801,885	\$181,187
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	9.2	17.9	0.001	\$228	\$20,315	\$2,625
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	10.7	1.5	0.036	\$172	\$676,208	\$25,639

# FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Redwood City August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.2	41.5	0.018	\$133	\$375,473	\$28,818
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	9.4	6.2	0.014	\$207	\$269,612	\$18,750
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	166.7	7.3	0.003	\$12	\$64,993	\$230
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	1.9	1.1	0.007	\$1,009	\$77,525	\$48,863
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	3.5	0.8	0.007	\$523	\$96,987	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.6	0.1	0.004	\$3,513	(\$78,928)	\$101,618
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	15.3	15.3	0.003	\$121	\$68,377	\$1,301
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	27.3	9.7	0.103	\$71	\$2,187,186	\$49,628
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	3.1	1.7	0.002	\$596	\$21,894	\$3,060
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.6	0.4	0.018	\$509	\$270,053	\$6,455
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	8.2	1.8	0.001	\$238	\$12,355	\$996

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Redwood City July 22, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Water Budgets (CA BMP 5)	4
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14) <sup>1</sup>	9
Incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20
Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles (CA BMP 9)	22
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>2</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>2</sup>
Artificial Turf Replacement	None <sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Residential ULF Toilet Program has not been implemented to date but is currently planned for FY 2004/2005 <sup>2</sup>Measure not evaluated in model

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Redwood City July 22, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4	X	X	X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	Х	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7		X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			Х	
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Redwood City July 22, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17	X	X	X	
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				Х
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20	X	X	X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22	X	X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Redwood City July 22, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28			X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29		X	X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30		X	X	
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts Note: This measure only applies to agencies that do not currently require irrigation meters.	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		11	15	18	14



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Redwood City August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$5,058	\$6,533	\$7,949
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	1.6	2.1	2.4	2.6

Appendix D24 San Bruno, City of

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of San Bruno August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.0	1.4	0.015	\$1,992	(\$918)	\$88,092
2	Residential Retrofit						
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.7	1.4	0.010	\$1,138	\$87,908	\$36,258
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.5	2.2	0.007	\$840	\$101,108	\$71,886
6	Public Information Program	1.2	2.1	0.018	\$1,715	\$74,025	\$99,135
7	Commercial Water Audits	0.7	0.7	0.014	\$2,840	(\$143,530)	\$277,910
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	15.8	8.8	0.003	\$133	\$60,415	\$4,583
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.7	1.1	0.055	\$1,159	\$526,676	\$556,317
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	12.2	1.0	0.069	\$165	\$1,439,140	\$90,020
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.5	0.5	0.004	\$5,171	(\$110,861)	\$123,267
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.0	1.4	0.067	\$1,003	\$740,126	\$434,379
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.6	0.4	0.006	\$3,001	(\$80,799)	\$66,868
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	4.4	0.3	0.013	\$422	\$207,937	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	2.1	0.1	0.006	\$880	\$66,927	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.4	0.0	0.000	\$4,910	(\$8,421)	\$6,257
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.7	0.001	\$576	\$18,972	\$7,875
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.0	0.5	0.001	\$2,058	(\$1,486)	\$18,807
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	4.5	0.9	0.006	\$419	\$93,886	\$12,138
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	9.2	17.9	0.003	\$228	\$71,268	\$9,225
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	5.0	0.7	0.005	\$361	\$85,426	\$6,147

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of San Bruno August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	40.7	0.006	\$134	\$132,872	\$10,303
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	4.9	5.8	0.004	\$396	\$68,893	\$10,313
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	26.7	2.1	0.000	\$73	\$5,549	\$127
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	5.8	5.9	0.002	\$333	\$38,235	\$4,625
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	2.5	0.6	0.004	\$740	\$48,252	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.3	0.1	0.001	\$5,711	(\$41,123)	\$35,511
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	10.0	10.0	0.002	\$180	\$36,071	\$631
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	0.7	0.4	0.001	\$2,743	(\$7,869)	\$6,753
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	3.9	0.5	0.003	\$459	\$45,194	\$760
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	3.5	0.8	0.010	\$560	\$153,945	\$35,819

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of San Bruno July 14, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of San Bruno July 14, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1				X
Residential Retrofit	2				X
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3			X	
Water Budgets	4				Х
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				Х
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9		X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12		X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of San Bruno July 14, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			Х	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19			Х	
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20			Х	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			Х	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23			X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25			Х	
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of San Bruno July 14, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28		X	X	
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				X
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31			X	
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32			X	
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		2	8	18	14



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of San Bruno August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$422	\$1,929	\$2,297
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.70	0.72	0.88	0.96

Appendix D25 San Jose, City of

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of San Jose (North San Jose) August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	2.7	3.6	0.005	\$724	\$72,713	\$10,414
2	Residential Retrofit	4.8	12.7	0.002	\$438	\$38,270	\$10,384
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.7	1.4	0.012	\$1,132	\$103,085	\$42,006
4	Water Budgets	77.2	77.2	0.210	\$26	\$4,679,188	\$60,359
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	3.4	3.0	0.002	\$615	\$35,493	\$15,651
6	Public Information Program	1.4	2.3	0.002	\$1,523	\$15,133	\$11,728
7	Commercial Water Audits	9.4	8.4	0.058	\$207	\$1,138,759	\$81,660
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	14.9	8.3	0.000	\$141	\$8,436	\$682
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.2	0.5	0.008	\$1,725	\$29,451	\$115,883
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	6.8	0.5	0.010	\$293	\$197,715	\$18,745
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.001	\$4,442	(\$11,127)	\$14,579
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	2.9	2.1	0.016	\$672	\$240,577	\$71,062
13	ET Controller Rebates	4.0	2.8	0.006	\$458	\$93,936	\$9,892
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	1.9	0.4	0.005	\$1,019	\$58,612	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	1.2	0.2	0.003	\$1,668	\$10,428	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.5	0.1	0.000	\$3,978	(\$1,066)	\$804
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries						
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	6.0	1.9	0.001	\$326	\$12,055	\$1,377
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	11.1	2.9	0.005	\$169	\$98,175	\$3,889
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	15.7	30.6	0.006	\$133	\$133,523	\$9,625
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	65.9	9.3	0.027	\$28	\$559,365	\$2,805

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of San Jose (North San Jose) August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.001	\$134	\$16,106	\$1,249
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	3.9	7.0	0.001	\$497	\$23,339	\$4,688
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	21.3	2.6	0.000	\$91	\$1,991	\$58
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	3.1	4.7	0.001	\$621	\$11,382	\$3,125
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	10.4	2.0	0.009	\$186	\$176,598	\$10,615
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	10.6	10.6	0.001	\$176	\$11,139	\$330
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	30.2	10.7	0.129	\$65	\$2,746,011	\$57,940
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	9.6	5.3	0.004	\$194	\$70,627	\$2,592
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	47.5	10.6	0.011	\$41	\$235,579	\$2,906

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

# FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of San Jose (North San Jose) June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Commercial Water Audits (CA BMP 9)	7
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12
ET Controller Rebates	13
Restaurant Low Flow Spray Rinse Nozzles (CA BMP 9)	22
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of San Jose (North San Jose) June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4			X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7	X	X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9			X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12	X	X	X	
ET Controller Rebates	13	X	X	X	

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of San Jose (North San Jose) June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22	X	X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25			X	
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27	X	X	X	

# FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of San Jose (North San Jose) June 24, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29			X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30	X	X	X	
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		12	13	18	14



## FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of San Jose (North San Jose) August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$563	\$571	\$896
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.18	0.33	0.33	0.77

Appendix D26 Santa Clara, City of

## FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Santa Clara August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.7	1.8	0.072	\$916	\$518,003	\$178,615
2	Residential Retrofit	2.5	5.2	0.028	\$665	\$317,330	\$217,595
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.5	1.3	0.081	\$996	\$487,278	\$257,081
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	1.9	1.3	0.018	\$899	\$155,086	\$193,134
6	Public Information Program	1.8	2.3	0.066	\$910	\$518,431	\$178,078
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.5	2.5	0.150	\$616	\$1,589,199	\$653,040
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	12.0	6.6	0.012	\$140	\$204,574	\$20,999
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.1	0.5	0.106	\$1,443	\$181,499	\$1,079,255
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	8.0	0.6	0.125	\$199	\$1,980,919	\$174,586
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.010	\$3,491	(\$167,593)	\$221,205
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.5	1.0	0.134	\$1,072	\$750,170	\$925,932
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.9	1.3	0.051	\$776	\$394,711	\$141,679
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	18.3	1.1	0.069	\$79	\$1,070,196	\$16,500
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	8.5	0.5	0.032	\$170	\$461,168	\$16,500
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	2.2	0.2	0.006	\$645	\$56,432	\$13,035
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	2.7	6.0	0.008	\$602	\$88,748	\$55,125
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	1.1	0.3	0.003	\$1,408	\$4,834	\$26,217
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	1.9	0.4	0.045	\$780	\$346,202	\$114,417
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	6.9	10.4	0.024	\$242	\$384,834	\$69,275
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	8.1	1.1	0.035	\$174	\$482,055	\$10,086
# FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Santa Clara August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	12.0	33.8	0.009	\$134	\$152,330	\$15,143
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	14.4	17.4	0.038	\$108	\$619,713	\$27,188
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	78.1	6.4	0.003	\$20	\$43,836	\$334
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	4.8	4.9	0.020	\$324	\$280,764	\$43,675
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	4.0	0.9	0.008	\$366	\$97,127	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	2.0	0.4	0.038	\$772	\$330,612	\$193,571
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	100.7	100.7	0.010	\$15	\$165,651	\$516
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	2.2	1.2	0.004	\$656	\$31,587	\$2,155
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	9.0	1.0	0.018	\$158	\$261,203	\$864
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	4.1	0.9	0.021	\$374	\$283,192	\$51,610

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Santa Clara June 16, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Commercial Water Audits (CA BMP 9)	7
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Santa Clara June 16, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7	X	X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13		X	X	

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Santa Clara June 16, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14		X	X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21		X	X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26			X	
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Santa Clara June 16, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				Х
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		7	13	16	16



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Santa Clara August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$5,441	\$6,064	\$7,683
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	2.86	3.51	3.88	4.10

Appendix D27 Skyline County Water District

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Skyline County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	1.4	1.6	0.001	\$1,377	\$8,374	\$4,064
2	Residential Retrofit	2.4	6.5	0.000	\$868	\$4,099	\$2,954
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.5	1.2	0.000	\$1,332	\$1,412	\$866
4	Water Budgets						
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.6	2.3	0.000	\$809	\$3,683	\$2,448
6	Public Information Program	1.7	2.6	0.002	\$1,176	\$18,231	\$5,512
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.5	2.4	0.000	\$788	\$5,340	\$2,250
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	9.1	5.1	0.000	\$230	\$540	\$75
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.3	0.6	0.003	\$1,485	\$16,177	\$26,503
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	11.0	0.8	0.004	\$181	\$76,113	\$4,288
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.6	0.6	0.000	\$4,021	(\$4,852)	\$6,773
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.5	1.1	0.002	\$1,342	\$15,014	\$16,932
13	ET Controller Rebates	1.1	0.7	0.001	\$1,707	\$735	\$3,104
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses						
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	1.5	0.3	0.001	\$1,223	\$6,014	\$3,300
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.3	0.1	0.000	\$1,416	\$1,307	\$1,126
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries						
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering						
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units						
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers						
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)						

# FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Skyline County Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.000	\$134	\$6,040	\$468
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	3.1	2.0	0.000	\$621	\$3,415	\$938
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	17.0	0.7	0.000	\$114	\$315	\$12
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	6.3	3.5	0.000	\$310	\$2,247	\$250
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.1	0.0	0.000	\$30,148	(\$917)	\$575
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings						
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget						
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades						
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Skyline County Water District June 18, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Skyline County Water District June 18, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1		X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4				X
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6			X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8		X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10		X	X	
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Skyline County Water District June 18, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23		X	X	
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

## FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Skyline County Water District June 18, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				Х
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				Х
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		3	8	11	21



#### FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Skyline County Water District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$52	\$82	\$150
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.06

# Appendix D28 Stanford University

**Note:** Stanford University underwent a conservation evaluation in 2002 using the DSS model. For their analysis, specific conservation measures were evaluated that are better suited to Stanford University than those measures evaluated for the other wholesale customers. The following tables present the measures evaluated for Stanford University and the results of the cost-effectiveness analysis.

#### Final Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Stanford University

No	Measure Name	Reference Sheet	Cell Address for Start of Summary	Present Value of Water Utility Benefits:	Present Value of Total Community Benefits:	Present Value of Water Utility Costs:	Present Value of Total Community Costs:	Water Utility Benefit Cost Ratio:	Total Community Benefit Cost Ratio:	Average Water Savings (mgd):	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/mg):	Net Utility Benefit
1	Toilet Replacement	ToiletReplace	d6	\$2,616,770	\$2,616,770	\$1,369,734	\$1,369,734	1.91	1.91	0.084	\$1,437.10	\$1,247,036
2	Shower Replacement	ShowerReplace	d6	\$255,637	\$962,805	\$46,123	\$46,123	5.54	20.87	0.008	\$515.05	\$209,514
3	Urinal Replacement w/0.5 gpf	UrinalReplace	d6	\$686,794	\$686,794	\$264,261	\$264,261	2.60	2.60	0.022	\$1,052.36	\$422,532
4	Student Housing Washer Replacement	SHWasherReplac e	d6	\$342,262	\$1,289,060	\$59,969	\$59,969	5.71	21.50	0.011	\$496.43	\$282,293
5	Public Outreach Program	PublicOutreach	d6	\$255,064	\$457,089	\$933,983	\$933,983	0.27	0.49	0.009	\$8,905.00	-\$678,919
6	Steam Condensate Leak Repair	SteamLeaks	d6	\$506,886	\$506,886	\$3,943,994	\$3,943,994	0.13	0.13	0.017	\$20,377.62	-\$3,437,108
7	CEF Blowdown Reuse	CEFPlantReuse	d6	\$1,415,701	\$1,415,701	\$676,234	\$676,234	2.09	2.09	0.056	\$1,064.07	\$739,468
8	Faculty/Staff Housing Water Audits	FSWaterAudits	d6	\$1,176,586	\$1,536,578	\$665,553	\$665,553	1.77	2.31	0.050	\$1,174.31	\$511,033
9	Landscape Water Management	LandscapeManag ement	d6	\$238,911	\$238,911	\$78,881	\$78,881	3.03	3.03	0.010	\$674.71	\$160,030
10	Landscape Retrofit	LandscapeRetrofit	d6	\$1,003,640	\$1,003,640	\$2,444,443	\$2,444,443	0.41	0.41	0.042	\$5,126.81	-\$1,440,803
11	New Water Efficient Landscape	NewLandscapeEff	d6	\$448,001	\$448,001	\$759,864	\$759,864	0.59	0.59	0.019	\$3,456.12	-\$311,863
12	New Landscape on Lake System	NewLandscapeon Lake	d6	\$2,668,757	\$2,668,757	\$232,437	\$232,437	11.48	11.48	0.123	\$166.80	\$2,436,320
13	Selected Academic Areas on Lake	AcademiconLake	d6	\$307,295	\$307,295	\$23,915	\$23,915	12.85	12.85	0.013	\$166.58	\$283,380
14	Football Practice Field Off Domestic System	PracticeField	d6	\$269,383	\$269,383	\$9,708	\$9,708	27.75	27.75	0.011	\$79.10	\$259,675
15	Stadium Irrigation Off Domestic System	StadiumIrrig	d6	\$331,500	\$331,500	\$145,617	\$145,617	2.28	2.28	0.014	\$932.23	\$185,883
16	ET Controller	ETController	d6	\$2,793,003	\$2,793,003	\$491,875	\$1,371,166	5.68	2.04	0.118	\$367.74	\$2,301,127
17	5-Washing Machine Rebate According to New BMP 6	5WasherRebateS FMF	d6	\$21,547	\$123,750	\$5,384	\$16,941	4.00	7.30	0.001	\$688.08	\$16,163

#### Final Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Stanford University

No.	Measure Name	Reference Sheet	Cell Address for Start of Summary	Present Value of Water Utility Benefits:	Present Value of Total Community Benefits:	Present Value of Water Utility Costs:	Present Value of Total Community Costs:	Water Utility Benefit Cost Ratio:	Total Community Benefit Cost Datio:	Average Water Savings (mgd):	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/mg):	Net Utility Benefit
18	12 - Dual Flush Toilet Rebate	12DualFlushToilet s	d6	\$205,919	\$205,919	\$64,460	\$90,244	3.19	2.28	0.007	\$824.73	\$141,460
19	22 - Low Flow Restaurant Spray Nozzles	22RestrntFlowNzz ls	d6	\$256,713	\$966,857	\$12,124	\$12,124	21.17	79.75	0.008	\$131.72	\$244,589

## FINAL Comparison of Stanford Master Plan and SFPUC Conservation Measures

No.	Measure	Brief Description	Corresponding SFPUC Measure
1.	Ultra Low Flush Toilet Replacement	Replace 90 percent of inefficient toilets with 1.6 gallon/flush models in all campus facilities.	9
2.	Showerhead Retrofit	Replace 90 percent of inefficient showerheads with low flow models in all campus facilities.	2
3.	Urinal Replacement	Continue with current urinal replacement plans but hold-off on the remaining until 0.5 gal/flush units or valves are on the market and use these to attain a 90 percent replacement rate.	28
4.	High-Efficiency Washer Replacement	Replace existing washing machines in student housing with efficient (such as front loading) models. Retain pay-per-use machine types.	5
5.	Public Outreach Programs	Implement a multi-faceted public education program directed at departments, students, and employees stressing the need to conserve water. Highlight programs and rebates available.	6
6.	CEF Blow Down Water Reuse	Prepare preliminary engineering and pilot testing of cooling tower and boiler blow down water for irrigation. Determine best way to integrate this source with the lake system and use to irrigate new and existing areas.	
7.	Faculty/Staff Housing Water Audits	Offer indoor/outdoor water audits to not less than 30 percent of the faculty- staff housing on a repeating five-year cycle. Focus on reduction of irrigation, toilet and washer use.	1
8.	Landscape Water Management	Provide water budgets and tracking of performance on a monthly basis for large irrigated sites. Conduct large turf audits periodically.	4
9.	New Water Efficient Landscape	Amend and require use of Stanford's Landscape Design Guidelines and FDS to ensure predominant use of water efficient plant types is used. Develop and adhere to water budgets. Conduct water efficiency reviews of plans.	21
10.	New Landscape on Lake Water	Put all new landscapes on the lake water system.	
11.	ET Controllers on new Faculty/Staff Housing	Install evapotranspiration (ET) Controllers on all irrigated landscaped areas associated with new Faculty/Staff Housing units	13
12.	Selected Academic Areas on Lake Water	Switch irrigation of five specifically identified landscapes from the domestic to lake system.	
13.	Football Practice Field on Lake	Extend the lake system to irrigate the football practice field.	

## FINAL Summary of Options Package Programs Stanford University

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C
Toilet Replacement	9	X	X	X
Shower Replacement	2	X	X	X
Urinal Replacement w/0.5 gpf	28	X	X	X
Public Outreach Program	6	X	X	X
Student Housing Washer Replacement	5		X	X
CEF Blowdown Reuse	None		X	Х
Faculty/Staff Housing Water Audits	1	X	X	X
Landscape Water Management	4	Х	X	Х
New Water Efficient Landscape	None		X	Х
New Landscape on Lake System	21	X	X	X
ET Controller	13		X	X
Selected Academic Areas on Lake	None	Х	X	Х
Football Practice Field Off Domestic System	None	X	X	X
5-Washing Machine Rebate According to New BMP 6	5			Х

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C
12 - Rebates for 6/3-Dual Flush Toilets	12			X
22 - Low Flow Restaurant Spray Nozzles	22	X		X
TOTAL NUMBER OF PROGRAMS		10	13	16

### FINAL Summary of Options Package Programs Stanford University



## FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Stanford University

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$3,515	\$5,431	\$5,509
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.35	0.85	1.01	1.02

Appendix D29 Sunnyvale, City of

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Sunnyvale August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	2.1	2.6	0.079	\$922	\$948,001	\$210,107
2	Residential Retrofit	3.3	8.7	0.027	\$646	\$439,658	\$200,860
3	Large Landscape Conservation	2.5	2.1	0.046	\$775	\$599,161	\$114,502
4	Water Budgets	27.9	27.9	0.251	\$72	\$5,471,962	\$204,067
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.3	2.0	0.023	\$914	\$309,762	\$254,664
6	Public Information Program	1.9	2.8	0.072	\$1,112	\$774,244	\$252,999
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.6	2.6	0.139	\$754	\$1,898,074	\$718,198
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	13.4	7.5	0.011	\$157	\$246,026	\$22,305
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	1.4	0.6	0.166	\$1,468	\$1,006,263	\$1,698,923
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	9.1	0.7	0.187	\$223	\$3,813,329	\$274,844
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.7	0.7	0.013	\$3,796	(\$185,431)	\$315,519
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.7	1.2	0.161	\$1,182	\$1,456,624	\$1,248,518
13	ET Controller Rebates	2.3	1.6	0.061	\$786	\$721,719	\$175,082
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	13.0	1.0	0.152	\$144	\$2,956,849	\$66,000
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	6.4	0.5	0.076	\$288	\$1,336,928	\$66,000
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	1.1	0.1	0.002	\$1,648	\$2,710	\$7,411
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.6	7.7	0.005	\$571	\$76,880	\$31,500
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	3.9	1.2	0.012	\$508	\$190,984	\$38,782
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	6.2	1.7	0.024	\$293	\$419,771	\$20,665
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	9.1	17.6	0.045	\$231	\$948,131	\$124,800
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	14.7	2.0	0.038	\$122	\$724,067	\$11,800

# FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation City of Sunnyvale August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.024	\$134	\$505,315	\$39,184
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels	16.0	18.9	0.039	\$122	\$812,931	\$31,875
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	86.7	6.9	0.003	\$22	\$57,152	\$391
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	6.1	6.2	0.021	\$319	\$386,670	\$44,500
26	Award program for water savings by businesses	4.7	1.3	0.007	\$393	\$120,272	\$10,350
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	0.3	0.1	0.004	\$6,966	(\$232,680)	\$183,539
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	44.5	44.5	0.028	\$42	\$566,166	\$3,519
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	10.9	3.9	0.144	\$178	\$2,861,360	\$169,657
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	1.8	1.0	0.004	\$990	\$40,153	\$12,712
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	17.5	3.9	0.035	\$111	\$716,740	\$25,210

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

### FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs City of Sunnyvale July 6, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Residential Retrofit (CA BMP 2)	2
Large Landscape Conservation Audits (CA BMP 5)	3
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Public Information Program (CA BMP 7)	6
Commercial Water Audits (CA BMP 9)	7
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates (CA BMP 9)	8
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Sunnyvale August 31, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2	X	X	X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3	X	X	X	
Water Budgets	4			X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6	X	X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7	X	X	X	
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8	X	X	X	
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12			X	
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Sunnyvale August 31, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14				X
Homeowner irrigation classes	15			X	
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20		X	X	
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21				X
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22		X	X	
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs City of Sunnyvale August 31, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				Х
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29				Х
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				Х
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		8	10	13	19



FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved City of Sunnyvale August 31, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program C		
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$6,470	\$6,615	\$10,071	
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	2.8	3.4	3.5	4.4	

Appendix D30 Westborough Water District

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Westborough Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
1	Residential Water Surveys	0.8	1.1	0.003	\$2,581	(\$21,209)	\$25,169
2	Residential Retrofit	2.4	6.4	0.003	\$867	\$45,460	\$33,303
3	Large Landscape Conservation	1.5	1.2	0.000	\$1,323	\$1,997	\$1,201
4	Water Budgets	18.3	18.3	0.013	\$110	\$287,748	\$18,063
5	Clothes Washer Rebate	2.4	2.1	0.002	\$884	\$23,531	\$18,230
6	Public Information Program	1.1	1.9	0.005	\$1,960	\$7,623	\$33,471
7	Commercial Water Audits	2.0	1.9	0.003	\$971	\$27,791	\$17,076
8	Commercial ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8.4	4.7	0.000	\$249	\$3,754	\$569
9	Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	0.7	0.3	0.010	\$3,021	(\$119,950)	\$222,461
10	Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	4.2	0.3	0.022	\$451	\$351,993	\$35,988
11	Home Leak Detection and Repair	0.5	0.5	0.001	\$5,110	(\$36,423)	\$41,826
12	Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	1.9	1.4	0.016	\$1,047	\$173,872	\$113,184
13	ET Controller Rebates	0.5	0.4	0.001	\$3,583	(\$28,626)	\$19,773
14	Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	2.2	0.1	0.001	\$850	\$14,287	\$3,300
15	Homeowner irrigation classes	1.0	0.1	0.001	\$1,818	\$41	\$3,300
16	Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	0.2	0.0	0.000	\$11,889	(\$280)	\$120
17	Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	3.5	7.6	0.001	\$579	\$18,877	\$7,875
18	Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	13.0	2.7	0.001	\$151	\$19,331	\$940
19	Require sub-metering multifamily units	11.9	3.6	0.000	\$157	\$490	\$16
20	Rebate efficient clothes washers	5.8	11.4	0.000	\$358	\$9,136	\$2,000
21	Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	18.8	2.7	0.000	\$98	\$1,426	\$29

#### FINAL Results of Conservation Measures Evaluation Westborough Water District August 4, 2004

	Conservation Measure	Water Utility Benefit- Cost Ratio	Total Community Benefit- Cost Ratio	"30-year" Average Water Savings (MGD)	Cost of Savings per Unit Volume (\$/MG)	Net Utility Benefit	First Five Years Utility Cost
22	Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	15.1	41.1	0.001	\$134	\$22,145	\$1,717
23	Focused water audits for hotels/motels						
24	WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels						
25	Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)						
26	Award program for water savings by businesses						
27	Replace inefficient water using equipment	1.4	0.3	0.000	\$1,356	\$3,244	\$4,364
28	Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	11.2	11.2	0.000	\$169	\$123	\$4
29	Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	7.2	2.5	0.010	\$272	\$191,175	\$18,106
30	Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	2.4	1.4	0.000	\$754		\$31
31	Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts						
32	Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals						

Notes:

Numbers in parentheses indicate a negative value MG – Million Gallons

MGD – Million Gallons per Day

## FINAL Summary of Current Conservation Programs Westborough Water District June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number
Residential Water Surveys (CA BMP 1)	1
Clothes Washer Rebate (CA BMP 6)	5
Residential ULF Toilet Rebates (CA BMP 14)	9
Conservation Pricing (CA BMP 11)	None <sup>1</sup>
System Water Audits, Leak Detection and Repair (CA BMP 3)	None <sup>1</sup>
Metering with Commodity Rates (CA BMP 4)	None <sup>1</sup>
Conservation Coordinator (CA BMP 12)	None <sup>1</sup>
Water Waste Prohibition (CA BMP 13)	None <sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Measure not evaluated in model.

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Westborough Water District June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Residential Water Surveys	1	X	X	X	
Residential Retrofit	2			X	
Large Landscape Conservation Audits	3				X
Water Budgets	4			X	
Clothes Washer Rebate	5	X	X	X	
Public Information Program	6		X	X	
Commercial Water Audits	7				X
ULF Toilet and Urinal Rebates	8				X
Residential ULF Toilet Rebate	9	X	X	X	
Require 1.6 gal per flush toilets to be installed at the time of sale of existing buildings	10				X
Home Leak Detection and Repair	11				X
Rebates for 6/3 dual flush or 4 liter toilets	12				X
ET Controller Rebates	13				X

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Westborough Water District June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Xeriscape education and staff training at retail garden/irrigation supply houses	14			X	
Homeowner irrigation classes	15				X
Promote water efficient plantings at new homes	16				X
Offer incentives for replacement of clothes washers in coin-operated laundries	17				X
Incentives for retrofitting sub-metering	18				X
Require sub-metering multifamily units	19				X
Rebate efficient clothes washers	20				X
Enforce landscape requirements for new landscaping systems (turf limitations / regulations)	21			X	
Restaurant low flow spray rinse nozzles	22				X
Focused water audits for hotels/motels	23				X
WAVE Program (US EPA) for hotels	24				X
Hotel retrofit (w/financial assistance)	25				X
Award program for water savings by businesses	26				X
Replace inefficient water using equipment	27			X	

#### FINAL Summary of SFPUC Measures Selected in Conservation Programs Westborough Water District June 10, 2004

Description of Conservation Activity	Corresponding Measure Number	Program A	Program B	Program C	Not Attractive
Require 0.5 gal/flush urinals in new buildings	28				X
Financial incentives for complying with water use budget	29			X	
Financial incentives for irrigation upgrades	30				X
Require dedicated irrigation meters for new accounts	31				X
Water Utility / City Department water reduction goals	32				X
TOTAL NUMBER OF MEASURES		3	4	10	22


## FINAL Present Value of Utility Costs Versus Water Saved Westborough Water District August 4, 2004

	Plumbing Code	Program A	Program B	Program C
Present Value of Costs (\$1,000s)	\$0	\$463	\$579	\$673
Cumulative Water Saved (MGD)	0.13	0.15	0.15	0.19